

1

- (a)  $E[\text{Time for George}] = P(\text{Idle Sys}) * (E[\text{Time to next arrival}] + E[\text{Service time}]) + P(\text{Sys Busy}) * E[\text{Service time}]$

By the sum of rates

$$P(\text{Busy}) = \frac{54}{60}$$

$$P(\text{Idle}) = 1 - \frac{54}{60}$$

$$E[\text{Time for George}] = (1 - \frac{54}{60}) * (\frac{1}{54} + \frac{1}{60}) + (\frac{54}{60}) * (\frac{1}{120}) = 0.661 \text{ min}$$

- (b) Although the service time for each customer type is constant, the queueing system is no longer M/D/1 because the service time of Type 1 customers is different from that of Type 2 customers. We can view this queueing system as an M/G/1 queueing system where the service time distribution is given by the following PMF:

$$p_S(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & s = 1 \text{ minute,} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & s = 2 \text{ minutes.} \end{cases}$$

The total expected cost of waiting time is given by

$$C = (\lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2) W_q = (\lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2) \frac{\lambda(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2)}{2(1 - \rho)}.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{\mu^2} + \sigma_S^2 = E[S]^2 + \sigma_S^2 = E[S^2]$ ,  $C$  can be rewritten as

$$C = (\lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2) \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)}.$$

We have  $\mu = \frac{1}{E[S]} = 1 \text{ customers/min} = 60 \text{ customers/hr}$ ,  $E[S^2] = \frac{1}{3600} \text{ hr}^2$ , and  $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{54}{60}$ .  
Therefore

$$C = (30(2 \times 60) + 24(3 \times 60)) \frac{54(\frac{1}{3600})}{2(1 - \frac{54}{60})} = \$594 \text{ per hour.}$$

- (c) In this part, we have an M/D/1 system (a special case of the M/G/1), where services are deterministic and last exactly 1 minute, regardless of customer type. Let “IC” denote internal

cost and “EC” denote external cost. We know that

$$\begin{aligned} W_q &= \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1-\rho)} \\ \frac{dW_q}{d\lambda_i} &= \frac{(1-\rho)E[S_i^2] + \frac{\lambda}{\mu}E[S^2]}{2(1-\rho)^2} \\ IC(i) &= c_i W_q \\ EC(i) &= c\lambda \frac{dW_q}{d\lambda_i} \end{aligned}$$

In this example,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \$120 \text{ per hour} \\ c_2 &= \$180 \text{ per hour} \\ c &= \frac{30}{54} \cdot 120 + \frac{24}{54} \cdot 180 = \frac{10}{9} + \frac{12}{9} = \frac{440}{9} \\ \rho &= \frac{54}{60} = \frac{9}{10} \\ E[S^2] &= E[S_i^2] = \frac{1}{3600} \text{ hr}^2 \\ W_q &= \frac{\frac{54}{3600}}{\frac{2}{10}} = \frac{3}{40} \\ \frac{dW_q}{d\lambda_i} &= \frac{\frac{1}{10(3600)} + \frac{9}{10(3600)}}{\frac{1}{50}} = \frac{1}{72} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} IC(1) &= 120 \frac{3}{40} = \$9 \text{ per hr} \\ EC(1) &= \frac{440}{3} \cdot 54 \cdot \frac{1}{72} = \$110 \text{ per hr} \\ IC(2) &= 180 \frac{3}{40} = \$13.5 \text{ per hr} \\ EC(2) &= EC(1) = \$110 \text{ per hr} \end{aligned}$$

The external costs are the same, since  $E[S_i^2] = \frac{1}{3600}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

(d)

$$E[\text{George}] = E[\text{George}|\text{Busy}] * P(\text{Busy}) + E[\text{George}|\text{Idle}] * P(\text{Idle})$$

$$P(\text{Busy}) = \frac{54}{60} = \frac{9}{10}$$

$$P(\text{Idle}) = 1 - \frac{54}{60} = \frac{6}{60} = \frac{1}{10}$$

$$E[\text{George}|\text{Busy}] = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} * \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{2} * \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda} * \frac{1}{\mu_2} = 0.5 \text{ min}$$

$$E[\text{George}|\text{Idle}] = E[\text{Timetonextarrival}] + E[\text{Servicetime}]$$

$$E[\text{George}|\text{Idle}] = \frac{1}{54} + E[\text{George}|\text{Busy}] = 2.111\text{min}$$

$$E[\text{George}] = 0.9 * 0.5 + 0.1 * 2.111 = 0.6611\text{min}$$

Which matches the answer in Part A.

(e) Using the formula from the lecture notes:

$$C = (\lambda_1 * c_1 + \lambda_2 * c_2) * W_q$$

$$W_2 = \lambda * \frac{E[s^2]}{2 * (1 - \rho)} = 0.0984\text{hr}$$

$$C = (30 * (2 * 60) + 24 * (3 * 60)) * (0.0984) = \$779.63$$

(f) A randomly selected customer is of type  $i$  w.p.  $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda}$ . Let  $E[S^2 | i] = E[S_i^2]$  denote the expected squared service time, given that the customer is of type  $i$  and  $P(i)$  denote the probability that the customer is type  $i$ .

$$\rho = \frac{30}{120} + 24 \cdot \frac{1.625}{60} = 0.9$$

$$E[S^2] = E[S^2 | 1]P(1) + E[S^2 | 2]P(2) = \left(\frac{1}{120}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{5}{9} + \left(\frac{1.625}{60}\right)^2 \frac{4}{9} = 3.6458 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$W_q = \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)} = 0.098437$$

$$E[S_1^2] = \frac{1}{14400}$$

$$E[S_2^2] = 7.3351 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$IC(1) = 120W_q = \$11.8125 \text{ per hour}$$

$$EC(1) = \frac{440}{3} \cdot 54 \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{10} \cdot E[S_1^2] + \frac{9}{10} \cdot E[S^2]}{0.02} = \$132.6875 \text{ per hr}$$

$$IC(2) = 180W_q = \$17.7188 \text{ per hr}$$

$$EC(2) = \frac{440}{3} \cdot 54 \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{10} \cdot E[S_2^2] + \frac{9}{10} \cdot E[S^2]}{0.02} = \$158.9844 \text{ per hr}$$

In part (f),  $E[S]$  is the same as in part (c). However,  $E[S^2]$  and therefore  $\text{Var}(S)$  have increased causing  $W_q$  and both internal costs to increase. In addition, in part (c), when  $E[S_i^2] = E[S^2]$ ,  $\frac{dW_q}{d\lambda_i} = \frac{E[S^2]}{2(1-\rho)^2}$  for both  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . In contrast, in part (f) since  $E[S_1^2] \neq E[S_2^2]$ , the external costs are different. Both external costs increase in part (f), as compared with part (c). For the type 2 customers, it is easy to see the reason; both  $E[S^2]$  and  $E[S_2^2]$  have increased from their values in part (c). For the type 1 customers,  $E[S_1^2]$  has decreased from its value in part (c), but the  $\frac{dW_q}{d\lambda_1}$  factor in the external cost is dominated by the term involving

$E[S^2]$  rather than  $E[S_1^2]$ . So, the decrease in  $E[S_1^2]$  is outweighed by the increase in  $E[S^2]$ . Lastly, the external cost due to type 2 customers is, as expected, higher than that due to type 1, since the former require a longer service time.

(g) Using the lecture notes we get:

$$f_1 = c_1 * \mu_1 = 14400$$

$$f_2 = c_2 * \mu_2 = 6642$$

Thus, we should assign type one customers priority.

(h) We use the formula

$$C = c_1 * \lambda_1 * W_1 + c_2 * \lambda_2 * W_2$$

Plugging in for the above we get:

$$C = 3600 * 0.013 + 4320 * 0.1312$$

$$C = \$614.25$$

What we learned is that the cost in the priority system is greater than that of the non-priority system, thus giving customers priority is more expensive.

**2** To obtain the result, we have here a special case of (4.101), where  $k = 2$ ,  $\bar{L}_{qi} = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and  $\frac{1}{\mu_0} = \frac{1}{\mu}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W}_q &= \bar{W}_0 + \frac{1}{\mu} \bar{M}_1 \\ \bar{W}_0 &= \rho \frac{\mu E[s^2]}{2/\mu} = \frac{\lambda[\frac{1}{\mu^2} + \sigma_s^2]}{2} \\ \implies \bar{W}_q[1 - \rho] &= \frac{\lambda[\frac{1}{\mu^2} + \sigma_s^2]}{2} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\bar{W}_q = \frac{\lambda[\frac{1}{\mu^2} + \sigma_s^2]}{2(1 - \rho)}$$

**3**

(a) We define the states  $(a, b, c)$

Where:

$a$ =number of planes in first stage of service

$b$ =number of planes in second stage of service

$c$ =number of planes in queue

From that we get the transition diagram given in the handout.

Where we note that we can split a passion process. i.e., if repairs are completed at rate  $\mu$  and the probability another stage of repair is needed occurs with .6 then the transition rate from the first to the second repair stage is  $\mu * .6$ .

- (b) We need to compute the steady state probabilities. These can be found by solving the following set of equations:

$$2P(1, 0, 1) + 0.8P(1, 1, 0) = P(0, 0, 0)$$

$$P(0, 0, 0) + 0.8p(2, 1, 0) + 2P(2, 0, 1) = 1.2p(1, 1, 0) + 0.66666p(1, 1, 0) + .8p(1, 1, 0)$$

$$.66666P(1, 1, 0) + .8P(3, 1, 0) + 2P(3, 0, 1) = 1.2P(2, 1, 0) + .333333P(2, 1, 0)$$

$$.33333P(2, 1, 0) = .8P(3, 1, 0) + 1.2P(3, 1, 0)$$

$$1.2P(1, 1, 0) = 2P(1, 0, 1) + .66666P(1, 0, 1)$$

$$.6666P(1, 0, 1) + 1.2P(2, 1, 0) = 2P(2, 0, 1) + .33333P(2, 0, 1)$$

$$.33333P(2, 0, 1) + 1.2P(3, 1, 0) = 2P(3, 0, 1)$$

$$P(0, 0, 0) + P(1, 1, 0) + P(2, 1, 0) + P(3, 1, 0) + P(1, 0, 1) + P(2, 0, 1) + P(3, 0, 1) = 1$$

Solving these we get:

$$P(0, 0, 0) = .4224$$

$$P(1, 1, 0) = .2485$$

$$P(2, 1, 0) = .0964$$

$$P(3, 1, 0) = .0161$$

$$P(1, 0, 1) = .1118$$

$$P(2, 0, 1) = .0815$$

$$P(3, 0, 1) = .0232$$

Thus the expected value for the number in the system is:

$$(.2485 + .1118) + 2(.0964 + .0815) + 3(.0161 + .0232) = 0.834$$

4 The airport can be modeled as an M/G/1 queueing system with a uniform service time distribution with  $E[S] = 60$  sec and  $\sigma_S^2 = 48$  sec<sup>2</sup>.

(a) The yearly cost to the commercial airlines due to peak-hour delays is computed by

$$C_A = c_A \lambda_A W_q \times 1000,$$

where

- $c_A$  is the average cost of 1 minute's waiting for commercial jets = \$12/min/aircraft,
- $\lambda_A$  is the arrival rate of commercial jets = 40 aircraft/hr.

To compute  $W_q$ , we use the Pollaczek-Khintchine formula (4.81) for the M/G/1 queueing system.

$$W_q = \frac{\lambda(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2)}{2(1 - \rho)},$$

where

- $\lambda = 55$  aircraft/hr =  $\frac{11}{12}$  aircraft/min,
- $\mu = \frac{1}{E[S]} = 1$  aircraft/min,
- $\sigma_S^2 = 48$  sec<sup>2</sup> =  $\frac{1}{75}$  min<sup>2</sup>,
- $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{11}{12}$ .

Note that we are using  $\lambda$  (not  $\lambda_A$ ) in computing  $W_q$ . Plugging the numbers into the formula for  $W_q$ , we have  $W_q = 5.57\bar{3}$  minutes. Therefore

$$C_A = \$12/\text{min}/\text{aircraft} \times 40 \text{ aircraft/hr} \times 5.57\bar{3} \text{ minutes} \times 1000 \text{ hours} = \$2,675,200.$$

(b) Let  $f$  be the amount of increase in landing fees. Then the arrival rate of general aviation is expressed by  $15 - \frac{15}{60}f$  per hour. Since the arrival rate of commercial airlines is not affected by the increases in landing fees, the total arrival rate  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\lambda = \left(40 + 15 - \frac{15}{60}f\right) \text{ per hour} = \left(\frac{11}{12} - \frac{1}{240}f\right) \text{ per minute}.$$

The yearly cost to commercial airlines during the peak hours is now composed of the landing fees cost and the waiting (delay) cost.

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_A &= (\lambda_A f + c_A \lambda_A W_q) \times 1000 \\
 &= \left( \lambda_A f + c_A \lambda_A \frac{\lambda(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2)}{2(1-\rho)} \right) \times 1000 \\
 &= \left( \lambda_A f + c_A \lambda_A \frac{(\frac{11}{12} - \frac{1}{240}f)(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2)}{2(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{240}f)} \right) \times 1000.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to find  $f$  that minimizes  $C_A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dC_A}{df} &= \left( \lambda_A + c_A \lambda_A \frac{-\frac{1}{240}(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2)(\frac{2}{12} + \frac{2}{240}f) - (\frac{11}{12} - \frac{1}{240}f)(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2)\frac{2}{240}}{4(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{240}f)^2} \right) \times 1000 = 0. \\
 \Rightarrow 1 - c_A \frac{\frac{1}{120}(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2)}{4(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{240}f)^2} &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow 4 \left( \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{240}f \right)^2 = \frac{c_A}{120}(1/\mu^2 + \sigma_S^2) = \frac{12}{120} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{75} \right) = \frac{76}{750} \\
 \Rightarrow f &= 18.2
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the optimal amount of increase in landing fees is  $f = \$18.2$  per aircraft.

## 5

(a)  $(a, b) = a$  customers in the first system,  $b$  in the second

$b1 =$  one server in system one is blocked other is idle

$b2 =$  one server in system one is blocked other is busy

$b3 =$  both servers in system one are blocked

See handout for state transition diagram

(b) Clearly  $\lambda = (\# \text{ in system})P(n \text{ in system})$

$$\lambda = 1 * P(1 \text{ in system}) + 2 * P(2 \text{ in system}) + 3 * P(3 \text{ in system})$$

$$\lambda = (P(1,0) + P(0,1)) + 2 * (P(2,0) + P(1,1) + P(b1,1)) + 3 * (P(2,1) + P(b2,1) + P(b3,1))$$