

Interactive Epistemology –III

Haluk Ergin
Muhamet Yildiz

Road Map

1. A state space for games
2. Epistemic Foundations for
 1. Correlated Equilibrium
 2. Rationalizability
 3. Nash Equilibrium
3. Universal Type Space

A state space for games

- Consider a family of games $\{(A, u) | u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\}$ for a fixed strategy space $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$.
- Model: $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I}_i, p_i, \mathbf{u}, s_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ where
 - Ω is state space
 - \mathfrak{I}_i is information partition of i
 - $p_i(\cdot | \cdot)$ a conditional probability system for i ;
 - $\mathbf{u}(\omega)$ is a profile $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of utility functions
 - $s_i: \Omega \rightarrow A_i$
- i knows his utility function and strategy:
 $\omega' \in I_i(\omega) \Rightarrow s_i(\omega') = s_i(\omega) \ \& \ \mathbf{u}_i(\omega') = \mathbf{u}_i(\omega)$.

Example

	l	r
t	2,1	0,0
b	0,0	1,2

1	(t,l)	(t,r)
		(b,r)

Another Example

		l	r		
α	t	2,1	0,0	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> .5 (t,l,α) </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> 0 (t,r,β) </div> </div>	
	b	0,0	1,2		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> (t,l,β) .7 </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> 1 (b,r,α) </div> </div>

		l	r		
β	t	2,2	0,3		
	b	3,0	1,1		

(Bayesian) Rationality

A player i is said to be (Bayesian) rational at ω iff given his beliefs at ω his action at ω maximizes his expected utility, i.e., for all $a_i \in A_i$,

$$\sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} \mathbf{u}_i(\omega')(s_i(\omega), s_{-i}(\omega')) p_i(\omega' | I_i(\omega)) \geq \sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} \mathbf{u}_i(\omega')(a_i, s_{-i}(\omega')) p_i(\omega' | I_i(\omega))$$

If (A, u) is fixed, this simplifies to

$$\sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} u_i(s_i(\omega), s_{-i}(\omega')) p_i(\omega' | I_i(\omega)) \geq \sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} u_i(a_i, s_{-i}(\omega')) p_i(\omega' | I_i(\omega))$$

Common prior Assumption

$$\exists p \in \Delta(\Omega) : (\forall i)(\forall I_i),$$

$$p_i(\cdot | I_i) = p(\cdot | I_i)$$

Example

Underlying game

	C	D
C	(2,2)	(0,0)
D	(0,0)	(1,1)

State space

	c1	d1	d2
C1	.5,.5	.5,.5	0,0
D1	.5,.5	0,0	.5,.5
D2	0,0	.5,.5	.5,.5

Correlated Equilibrium

- Given a finite strategic form game (A, u) , a correlated strategy profile consists of these elements:
 - A finite probability space (Ω', π)
 - For each player i , an information partition \mathcal{P}_i of Ω' .
 - For each player i , a strategy $\sigma_i: \Omega' \rightarrow A_i$ measurable with respect to \mathcal{P}_i .
- The correlated strategy profile is a correlated equilibrium if for each i and each strategy τ_i measurable with respect to \mathcal{P}_i ,

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega'} u_i(\sigma(\omega)) \pi(\omega) \geq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega'} u_i(\tau_i(\omega) \sigma_{-i}(\omega)) \pi(\omega)$$

CPA + CK(Rationality & u) = CE

Theorem: Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{I}_i, p_i, u, s_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be a model for a game (A, u) where Ω is finite. Assume CPA: $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n = p$. If each player is rational at each ω , then the distribution of s_i is a correlated equilibrium distribution.

Proof: Define $(\Omega', \mathcal{P}_i, \pi, \sigma_i) := (\Omega, \mathcal{I}_i, p, s_i)$. Fix i , \mathcal{P}_i -measurable τ_i . For any ω , by rationality,

$$\sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} u_i(s_i(\omega'), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega' | I_i(\omega)) \geq \sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} u_i(\tau_i(\omega'), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega' | I_i(\omega)).$$

Sum over $I_i(\omega)$:

$$\sum_{\omega' \in \Omega} u_i(s_i(\omega'), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega') \geq \sum_{\omega' \in \Omega} u_i(\tau_i(\omega'), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega').$$

Sum over $I_i(\omega)$

$$\sum_{I_i(\omega)} \sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} u_i(s_i(\omega), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega' | I_i(\omega)) p(I_i(\omega)) \geq \sum_{I_i(\omega)} \sum_{\omega' \in I_i(\omega)} u_i(\tau_i(\omega), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega' | I_i(\omega))$$

$$\updownarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \updownarrow$$

$$\sum_{\omega' \in \Omega} u_i(s_i(\omega'), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega') \geq \sum_{\omega' \in \Omega} u_i(\tau_i(\omega'), s_{-i}(\omega')) p(\omega')$$

CK(Rationality & u) = Rationalizability

Definition: R_i := Rationalizable strategies for i.

Theorem: Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_i, p_i, u, s_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be a model for a game (A, u) . If each player is rational at each ω , then $s_i(\omega) \in R_i$ for each i.

Moreover, there exists a model

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_i, p_i, u, s_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ in which $s_i(\Omega) = R_i$ and each player is rational at each ω .