

# LECTURE 22

## Last time:

- Gaussian multiple access channel
- Discussions on X-DMA

## Lecture outline

- Broadcast channel
- Capacity region for degraded broadcast channel
- Distributed Source Coding,
- Slepian-Wolf Theorem and Random binning

## Review

- Multiple Access channels
  - Time Sharing
  - Successive Cancellation
- Capacity Region

$$R_1 \leq I(X_1; Y|X_2)$$

$$R_2 \leq I(X_2; Y|X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1, X_2; Y)$$

- Dividing users into subspaces
  - In general suffers from a capacity loss
  - Optimal bandwidth allocation in Gaussian channel  $W_i \propto P_i$
  - non-orthogonal signatures: MMSE and sufficient statistics

## Broadcast Channel

One sender, many receivers.

**Examples** TV, radio, lecture, downlink from the base station, etc.

- The information to different receivers can be divided into separate sub-channels, depends on the protocol.
- Common information to different receivers: less than the worst channel.
- Independent information streams sharing the same medium

**Definition** A broadcast channel  $(\mathcal{X}, P_{Y_1, Y_2|X}, \mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2)$ .

Memoryless:

$$p(\underline{y}_1, \underline{y}_2 | \underline{x}) = \prod_i p(y_{1,i}, y_{2,i} | x_i)$$

A  $(2^{nR_1}, 2^{nR_2}, n)$  code is

$$2^{nR_1} \times 2^{nR_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n$$

embed two messages in one codeword.

## Separation Theorem

- Common information  $(R_0, R_1, R_2)$ .
- Independently compress the incoming data by each user may not be optimal.
- Compare with multiple access channel with partial information of the interferer.
- In general, the capacity region is not known

**Definition** Degraded Broadcast channel

$$p(y_1, y_2|x) = p(y_1|x)p(y_2|y_1)$$

**Example** Gaussian broadcast channel

$$Y_1 = X + W_1$$

$$Y_2 = X + W_2$$

assume  $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2$ .

**Observation** The capacity region only depends on the conditional marginal distributions  $p(y_1|x), p(y_2|x)$ .

Rewrite

$$Y_1 = X + W_1$$

$$Y_2 = Y_1 + W_2'$$

## Degraded Broadcast Channel

**Theorem** The capacity region of sending independent information over the degraded BC  $X \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  is the convex hull of the closure of all  $(R_1, R_2)$  with

$$\begin{aligned}R_2 &\leq I(U; Y_2) \\ R_1 &\leq I(X; Y_1|U)\end{aligned}$$

for some auxiliary random variable  $U$  jointly distributed with  $X$ .

- Auxiliary random variable  $U$ : the message sent to user 2.
- Transmit  $2^{nR_2}$  clouds, each containing  $2^{nR_1}$  codewords.
- $U$  defines the cloud centers that can be observed by both user 1 and 2; while user 1 as the better receiver can distinguish individual codewords within the clouds.

## Outline of the Coding Theorem

- Encoding:
  - generate  $2^{nR_2}$  codewords  $\underline{U}(m_2)$  according to  $p(u)$ .
  - For each codeword  $\underline{U}(m_2)$ , generate  $2^{nR_1}$  codewords  $\underline{X}(m_1, m_2)$  according to  $p(x|u)$  (conditioning on the value  $u_i(m_2)$  ).
- Transmit  $\underline{X}(m_1, m_2)$ .
- Decoding:
  - Receiver 2 find  $\underline{U}$  that is jointly typical with  $\underline{Y}_2$ .
  - Receiver 1 does the same, then find the  $\underline{X}$  that is jointly typical with  $\underline{U}$  and  $\underline{Y}_1$ .

**Proof by AEP** read the book

key difference from before: user 1 can have two different types of error, decoding the cloud center wrong and decoding the code-word wrong given the correct cloud center.

## Example: Gaussian Channels

$$Y_1 = X + W_1$$

$$Y_2 = X + W_2 \stackrel{d}{=} X + W_1 + W_2'$$

Let the noise power be  $N_1, N_2$  and power constraint on  $X$  be  $P$ ,  $W_2'$  has power  $N_2 - N_1$ .

Capacity region

$$R_1 \leq C\left(\frac{\alpha P}{N_1}\right)$$
$$R_2 \leq C\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)P}{N_2 + \alpha P_1}\right)$$

- Generate  $2^{nR_2}$  codewords i.i.d. from  $N(0, (1 - \alpha)P)$ , denote as  $\underline{u}_j$ ,
- For each of these codewords, generate  $2^{nR_1}$  satellite codewords i.i.d. from  $N(0, \alpha P)$ , denote as  $\underline{v}_i$ ,
- Transmit  $\underline{v}_i + \underline{u}_j$

## Example: BSC

$X$  passes through two binary symmetric channels with crossover probability  $p_1 < p_2 < 1/2$ .

- Rewrite in the form of a degraded channel:  $Y_1$  pass through another BSC to get  $Y_2$ , the crossover probability is  $\alpha$  with

$$p_1(1 - \alpha) + (1 - p_1)\alpha = p_2$$

- The input  $U$  (to be transmitted to user 2) must be binary, equiprobable, but jointly distributed with  $X$ . Can be thought as  $U$  passing through a BSC to get  $X$ .

$$R_2 \leq I(U; Y_2) = 1 - H(\beta * p_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &\leq I(X; Y_1 | U) = H(Y_1 | U) - H(Y_1 | X, U) \\ &= H(\beta * p_1) - H(p_1) \end{aligned}$$

- $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , only transmitting information for  $Y_2$ ,  $R_1 = 0$ ,  $R_2 = 1 - H(p_2)$ .
- $\beta \rightarrow 1/2$ , only transmitting information to  $Y_1$ ,  $R_2 = 0$ ,  $R_1 = 1 - H(p_1)$ .

## Distributed Source Coding

**Motivation** Want to represent two correlated sources efficiently. Dual problem to the general broadcast channel

- Recall for coding for single source.  $X$  can be represented by  $H(X)$  bits per symbol.
- Alternative proof: random binning.
  - lay down  $2^{nR}$  bins
  - Randomly throw each possible sequence of  $\underline{X}$  into one of these bins.
  - Use the bin number to represent the source, i.e., for a typical sequence  $\underline{x} \in A_\epsilon$ , use the bin number as the codeword
  - Observing the bin number, decode the typical sequence within that bin. Error occurs only if more than 1 typical sequence is thrown into the same bin.
  - If the number of bins  $\ll$  the number of typical sequences, error probability  $\rightarrow 0$ .

## Slepian-Wolf

Consider  $U, V$  as two correlated random variables. The source generates a sequence of  $(u_i, v_i)$  i.i.d. according to the joint distribution  $P_{U,V}$ .

How many bits about  $U$  and  $V$  separately is required so that the combined description will recover  $\underline{U}, \underline{V}$  with small probability of error.

- If we can observe both  $U$  and  $V$ , then we need in total  $nH(U, V)$  bits.
- If we code for  $U$  and  $V$  separately, we need  $nH(U) + nH(V)$  bits in total.

**Theorem** There exists coding map  $\mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow 2^{nR_1}, \mathcal{V}^n \rightarrow 2^{nR_2}$ , with vanishing error probability, as long as

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &> H(U|V) \\ R_2 &> H(V|U) \\ R_1 + R_2 &> H(U, V) \end{aligned}$$

We don't lose any rate by coding  $U$  and  $V$  separately.

## Intuition

Can we achieve the rate pair

$$R_1 = H(U), R_2 = H(V|U)$$

- with  $R_1 = H(U)$ ,  $\underline{u}$  can be fully described.
- if encoder 2 observes  $\underline{u}$ , she can have a code of rate  $R_2$  to describe all the sequences  $\underline{v}$  that are jointly typical with this  $\underline{u}$ .
- Now she doesn't observe  $\underline{u}$ , so she assigns all possible  $\underline{v}$ 's into  $2^{nR_2}$  bins, and inform this assignment to the decoder.
- The decoder decode  $\underline{u}$  first, and find out the jointly typical set  $A_\epsilon(\underline{V}|\underline{u})$ .
- These jointly typical sequences  $\underline{v} \in A_\epsilon(\underline{V}|\underline{u})$  are assigned into  $2^{nR_2}$  bins, so can be distinguished.

**Conclusion** Encoder 2 does not need to observe  $\underline{u}$ .

## Outline of the Proof

- Random code:
  - randomly assign each possible  $\underline{u}$  into one of  $2^{nR_1}$  bins, and each  $\underline{v}$  into one of  $2^{nR_2}$  bins.
  - Upon observing a typical sequence  $\underline{U}$ , encoder 1 send the corresponding bin number with  $nR_1$  bits; encoder 2 does the same for  $\underline{V}$ ,
- Decoding, look into the product of the two specified bins, decode if there exists unique jointly typical  $(\underline{U}, \underline{V})$

**Idea** form  $2^{nR_1} \times 2^{nR_2}$  product partition in the set  $\mathcal{U}^n \times \mathcal{V}^n$ , if the partition is fine enough, the chance that two typical sequences lie in the same bin is small.

## Achievable rate region

## Back to Broadcast Channel

Consider a deterministic broadcast channel with  $y_1 = f(x)$ ,  $y_2 = g(x)$ .

Fix an input distribution  $p_X$ ,

- the distribution  $p_{Y_1, Y_2}$  is then fixed.
- any typical sequence  $\underline{x}$  corresponds to a jointly typical sequence  $(\underline{y}_1, \underline{y}_2)$ , and vice versa.

Forget about  $Y_2$  for the moment, consider a point-to-point channel from  $X \rightarrow Y_1$ . Can communicate with any rate  $R_1 < H(Y_1)$ .

- divide  $\mathcal{Y}_1^n$  into  $2^{nR_1}$  bins
- To transmit a message  $M_1 \in \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_1}\}$ , find a typical sequence  $\underline{y}_1$  in the corresponding bin, and hope  $\underline{y}_1$  be received.
- This can be done if the number of bins  $2^{nR_1} < |A_\epsilon^{(n)}(Y_1)|$ .
- transmit the corresponding  $\underline{x}$  that produces  $\underline{y}_1$ .

## Capacity Region for Deterministic Broadcast Channel

**Theorem** Fix an input distribution, any rate pair  $(R_1, R_2)$  that satisfies

$$R_1 < H(Y_1)$$

$$R_2 < H(Y_2)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 < H(Y_1, Y_2)$$

can be achieved.

- Divide the output space  $\mathcal{Y}_1^n \times \mathcal{Y}_2^n$  into  $2^{nR_1} \times 2^{nR_2}$  bins,
- For an input message  $M_1 \times M_2 \in \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_2}\}$ , find a typical sequence  $\underline{y}_1 \times \underline{y}_2$  in the corresponding bin. This is the desired output
- Transmit  $\underline{x}$  to produce  $\underline{y}_1, \underline{y}_2$ .
- $R_1 < H(Y_1)$  ensures that exists typical  $\underline{y}_1$  per bin
- $R_1 + R_2 < H(Y_1, Y_2)$  ensures that exists a typical  $\underline{y}_1, \underline{y}_2$  per product bin

## General Broadcast Channel

Now if channel has randomness  $P_{Y_1, Y_2|X}$ .

- Introduce auxiliary random variables  $U, V$ .
- For fixed distribution of  $U, V$ , from the channel output, the number of distinguishable sequences  $\underline{u}$  and  $\underline{v}$  are  $2^{nI(U; Y_1)}$  and  $2^{nI(V; Y_2)}$ .

**Theorem**(Marton 75') The broadcast channel capacity region is given by

$$R_1 \leq I(U; Y_1)$$

$$R_2 \leq I(V; Y_2)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(U; Y_1) + I(V; Y_2) - I(U; V)$$

for a fixed distribution  $P_{U, V, X}$ .

## Outline of Proof

- generate  $2^{nI(U;Y_1)}$  typical sequence  $\underline{u}$ 's and throw into  $2^{nR_1}$  bins, generate  $2^{n(I(V;Y_2))}$  typical  $\underline{v}$ 's throw into  $2^{nR_2}$  bins.
- upon receiving  $\underline{Y}_1$ ,  $\underline{u}$  can be uniquely determined. similar to  $\underline{v}$ .
- There are  $2^{n(I(U;Y_1)+I(V;Y_2))}$  possible  $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$  pairs. Each pair being jointly typical with probability  $2^{-nI(U;V)}$ .
- If  $R_1+R_2 \leq I(U;Y_1)+I(V;Y_2)-I(U;V)$ , then there exists a jointly typical pair  $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$  in each product bin. This is the desired received sequences.
- To transmit that bin number, simply transmit  $\underline{x}$  that is jointly typical with  $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ .