

18.100B, FALL 2002
PRACTICE TEST 1 WITH SOLUTIONS

Try each of the questions; they will be given equal value. You may use theorems from class, or the book, provided you can recall them correctly!

PROBLEM 1

Consider the set S defined as follows. The elements of S are sequences, $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with all entries either 1 or 2 and with the additional property that every 2 is followed by a 1. Said more precisely, for every n , $s_n = 1$ or $s_n = 2$ and if $s_n = 2$ then $s_{n+1} = 1$. Say why precisely one of the following is true

- (a) S is finite
- (b) S is countably infinite
- (c) S is uncountably infinite

and then decide which one is true and *prove* it.

Solution and remarks: By definition a set S is finite if it is either empty or else is in 1-1 correspondence with the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for some n . It is countably infinite if it is in 1-1 correspondence with \mathbb{N} and it is uncountably infinite if it is neither finite nor countably infinite. Only one of these can hold (since we know that \mathbb{N} cannot be in 1-1 correspondence with $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for any finite n .)

The set S is uncountably infinite. Here is a proof that reduces it to the case we looked at in class. Namely, we know that S' which consists of the set of sequences with values 0 or 1 is uncountably infinite. We show that S and S' are in 1-1 correspondence (and hence have the same cardinality by definition). Take a sequence in S' and replace every occurrence of 0 by two terms, 2 followed by 1. This gives a sequence in S . Moreover no two sequences in S' are mapped to the same sequence in S . Thus the map is injective. We can construct an inverse the same way, replace every pair 2, 1 by one element 0. Thus S is indeed uncountably infinite.

It is also fairly straightforward to use the diagonalization procedure, but not completely trivial since you have to make sure that the new sequence is in S and different from the others.

PROBLEM 2

Consider the metric space $M = [0, 1] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ with the usual metric, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. Is the set $A = [0, \frac{1}{2}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2}\}$ open as a subset of M ? What is the closure of A as a subset of M ? Is A compact? Is the closure of A compact? In each case justify your answer.

Solution and remarks: Everything is relative to the metric space $M = [0, 1]$.

- (1) As a subset of M , A is indeed open. If $x \in A$ then $B(x, \epsilon) \subset A$ if $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} - x$, since $|y - x| < \epsilon$, $y \in [0, 1]$ implies $y < \frac{1}{2}$ and hence $y \in A$.
- (2) Clearly $\frac{1}{2}$ is a limit point of A so the closure $\bar{A} \supset [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. By the same argument as above $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is open in $[0, 1]$ so this set is closed and hence $\bar{A} = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

- (3) Since A is not closed it cannot be compact.
 (4) By the Heine-Borel theorem $\bar{A} = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is compact since it is closed and bounded.

Usual errors with this sort of question are to say that A is not open, thinking of it as a subset of \mathbb{R} this is certainly true but it is open as a subset of M . Similarly in the third part it does not follow directly from the fact that A is open that it is not compact! It does follow from the fact that it is not closed, but in a finite metric space (which this is not) there are open compact sets so something else has to be said.

PROBLEM 3

Let M be a *compact* metric space. Suppose $A \subset M$ is *not* compact. Show, directly from the definition or using a theorem proved in class, that A is *not* closed.

Solution: By a theorem in class every closed subset of a compact metric space is compact, hence if A is not compact it is not closed.

PROBLEM 4

Recall that a set S in a metric space M is connected if any separated decomposition of it, $S = A \cup B$ where $\bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap \bar{B}$, is ‘trivial’ in the sense that either A or B is empty. Show that the whole metric space M is connected if and only if the only subsets $A \subset M$ of it which are *both open and closed* are the ‘trivial’ cases $A = \emptyset$ and $A = M$.

Solution: Suppose first that M is connected. Let A be a subset of M which is both open and closed. Then $B = M \setminus A$ is also both open and closed and $M = A \cup B$. Since A and B are separated ($A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\bar{A} = A, \bar{B} = B$) it follows, from the assumption that M is connected, that one of them is empty, so $A = \emptyset$ or $A = M$ are the only sets which are both open and closed.

Conversely, suppose that the only subsets of M which are both open and closed are M and \emptyset . Then let A and B be separated sets in M such that $M = A \cup B$. This means that $B = M \setminus A$ is the complement of A in M . The conditions that A and B be separated imply that $\bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset$, so $\bar{A} \subset M \setminus B = A$ hence A must be closed. Similarly B must be closed and hence A must be both open and closed. Thus one of A or B must be empty and hence, by definition, M is connected.