

11.123 BIG PLANS

Spring 2003

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Urban Studies and Planning

READING QUESTION FOR: March 10 **Multiple Interest Planning: World Trade Center, New York City**

Michael Bloomberg, "New York City's Vision for Lower Manhattan," December 12, 2002.
(available at http://lowermanhattan.info/extras/presentations/bloomberg/LM_Vision/Vision_for_Lower_Manhattan.pdf)

Community Board No. 1, City of New York, "Community Board #1 Manhattan Resolutions," February 2002 – February 2003.*

Martin Filler, "Back to Babel," in *The New Republic*, March 31, 2003.
(available at <http://www.tnr.com/doc.mhtml?i=20030203&s=filler020303>)

Lower Manhattan Development Corporation, "A Vision for Lower Manhattan: Context and Program for the Innovative Design Study," October 11, 2002.
(available at <http://www.buildings.com/images/News/Vision.pdf>)

Lower Manhattan Development Corporation and The Port Authority of NY and NJ, "Plans in Progress: Innovative Design Study for the World Trade Center Site," December 2002.

www.renewnyc.com

Studio Daniel Libeskind, "Memory Foundations: World Trade Center Design Study," New York, February 2003.

Edward Wyatt, "Two Finalists Are Selected For the Void at Ground Zero," in *The New York Times*, Section B; Page 1; Column 4; Metropolitan Desk, February 5, 2003, Wednesday, Late Edition – Final.
(available through MIT's VERA http://web.lexis-nexis.com/universe/document?_m=2c5672e24b767e011dccbb230fa1be19&_docnum=7&wchp=dGLbVtb-ISlzV&_md5=6016db6ac834864274954d01a1f24dfd)

Given that the planning for the World Trade Center site in New York City must attend to the concerns of an extremely large and varied constituency (which implicitly also includes each of us in the class), identify some specific differences in the planning process for the area as compared to those we have considered thus far.

How have these differences affected the design of the *process* for reaching consensus and decision-making about rebuilding the World Trade Center?

When thinking about the design of this overall process, comment on the role of the Community Planning Board No. 1 to date and suggest what role it should be as the planning process continues. (refer to the series of Resolutions* from the Board).

DUE MARCH 10