14.31/14.310 Lecture 8

Probability---example
Last time, I said that I would do an example involving the reverse of the probability integral transformation.
Suppose $X \sim V[0,1]$ and $Y=-\log (X) / \lambda, \lambda>0$. What is $f_{Y}(y)$ ?
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Last time, I said that I would do an example involving the reverse of the probability integral transformation.
Suppose $X \sim V[0,1]$ and $Y=-\log (X) / \lambda, \lambda>0$. What is $f_{Y}(y)$ ?
First note that the induced support is $y>=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{Y}(y) & =P(Y<=y) \\
& =P(-\log (X) / \lambda<=y) \\
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\end{aligned}
$$

Probability---example
Last time, I said that I would do an example involving the reverse of the probability integral transformation.
Suppose $X \sim V[0,1]$ and $Y=-\log (X) / \lambda, \lambda>0$. What is $f_{Y}(y)$ ?
First note that the induced support is $y>=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{Y}(y) & =P(Y<=y) \\
& =P(-\log (X) / \lambda<=y) \\
& =P(X>=\exp \{-\lambda y\}) \\
& =1-\exp \{-\lambda y\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by definition of uniform

Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{x}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{1}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

So,

$$
f_{f}(y)=d F_{F}(y) / d y=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{( }(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

So,

$$
f_{1}(y)=d F_{Y}(y) / d y=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

Look familiar?

Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{F}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

So,

$$
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$$

Look familiar? It's the exponential distribution.

Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{r}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

So,

$$
f_{r}(y)=d F_{r}(y) / d y=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

Look familiar? It's the exponential distribution.
Let's take the inverse of the CDF that we fond above, and see if it's the same function that we used to transform the uniform originally.

Probability---example
We have
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F_{x}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{r}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

We find the inverse function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \\
& 1-x=\exp \{-\lambda y\} \\
& \log (1-x)=-\lambda y
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $Y=-\log (1-X) / \lambda$
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Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{x}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

We find the inverse function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \\
& 1-x=\exp \{-\lambda y\} \\
& \log (1-x)=-\lambda y
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $Y=-\log (1-X) / \lambda$
But we used $Y=-\log (X) / \lambda$
What's going on?

Probability---example
We have

$$
F_{Y}(y)=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \quad \text { for } y>0
$$

We find the inverse function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=1-\exp \{-\lambda y\} \\
& 1-x=\exp \{-\lambda y\} \\
& \log (1-x)=-\lambda y
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $Y=-\log (1-X) / \lambda$
But we used $Y=-\log (X) / \lambda$
What's going on? Both work. If $X$ is $V[0,1], 1-X$ is, too.

Probability---moments of a distribution
There is a lot of information in a PDF. Sometimes, too much information. Perhaps we don't care precisely what the shape of the distribution is but just want to summarize some of its most salient features---where it is centered, where it reaches its peak, how spread at it is, whether it is symmetric, how thick its tails are, etc.
We can define the moments of a distribution to help us summarize some of these most salient features.

Probability--moments of a distribution


Probability---moments of a distribution
For instance, mean, median, and mode all describe where the distribution is located, or centered.
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Probability---moments of a distribution
So if we need to find the expectation of a continuous random variable, we just integrate the PDF times the value over the support:

$$
E(X)=\int x f_{x}(x) d x
$$

Probability---moments of a distribution
So if we need to find the expectation of a continuous random variable, we just integrate the PDF times the value over the support:

$$
E(X)=\int x f_{x}(x) d x
$$

Discrete analog:

$$
E(X)=\sum x f_{x}(x)
$$
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We often denote $E(X)$ with $\mu$ (Greek "mu")

Probability---moments of a distribution
So if we need to find the expectation of a continuous random variable, we just integrate the PDF times the value over the support:

$$
E(X)=\int x f_{x}(x) d x
$$

We often denote $E(X)$ with $\mu$ (Greek "mu")

If we think of the PDF literally as a density, the expectation is the balancing point of the density.

Probability---moments of a distribution
So if we need to find the expectation of a continuous random variable, we just integrate the PDF times the valve over the support:

$$
E(X)=\int x f_{x}(x) d x
$$

We often denote $E(X)$ with $\mu$ (Greek "mu")

If we think of the PDF literally as a density, the expectation is the balancing point of the density.

I will use "mean," "expectation," and "expected valve" interchangeably.

Probability---example
Suppose $f_{x}(x)=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} \quad x>=0$

Probability---example
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Exponential distribution

Probability---example
Suppose $f_{x}(x)=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} \quad x>=0$
Then,

$$
E(X)=\int x f_{x}(x) d x
$$

Probability---example
Suppose $f_{x}(x)=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} \quad x>=0$
Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X) & =\int x f_{x}(x) d x \\
& =\int x \operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability---example
Suppose $f_{x}(x)=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} \quad x>=0$
Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X) & =\int x f_{f}(x) d x \\
& =\int x \operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} d x \\
& =\ldots \\
& =1 / \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability---example
Suppose $f_{x}(x)=\operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} \quad x>=0$
Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X) & =\int x f_{x}(x) d x \\
& =\int x \operatorname{lexp}\{-\lambda x\} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

= . . .
$=1 / \lambda$ Well, it turns at that this integral is a bit of a pain (integration by parts).

Probability---auctions
Were going to take a little side trip into auction theory. What do auctions have to do with probability? Well, typically, the winner of an auction is the highest bidder. So, if we want to model and analyze how auctions work, an obvious thing to do is to model bids in an auction as a i.i.d. random sample and the winning bid as the $n^{\text {th }}$ order statistic from that random sample. That's what well do when we try to analytically answer the question of whether a seller should sell a product with a posted price or auction it off.

Probability---auctions
Were going to take a little side trip into auction theory. What do auctions have to do with probability? Well, typically, the winner of an auction is the highest bidder. So, if we want to model and analyze how auctions work, an obvious thing to do is to model bids in an auction as a i.i.d. random sample and the winning bid as the $n^{\text {th }}$ order statistic from that random sample. That's what well do when we try to analytically answer the question of whether a seller should sell a product with a posted price or auction it off.
And weill also compute some expectations.

## Probability---auctions

Here are some things that are sold at acction:


# Beer tray tin signs - lot of 2 - Tech Beer 

## Seller : diques00 ( $626 \star$ ) $98.9 \%$ Positive feedback



+ Follow this seller । See other items



## Image Credits from Previous Slide

Fair Use Images

- "Woman with a Book by Pablo Picasso © The Pablo Picasso Estate]. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

Images in the Public Domain or in the Creative Commons

- Radio Spectrum Chart courtesy of the United States Department of Commerce. Image is in the public domain.
- Cows in a field courtesy of Tony Fischer on flickr. License: CC BY.
- Map of Ancient Italy courtesy of the New York Public Library. License: CC 0. Image is in the public domain.
- Fighter jet by the U.S. Air Force by Master Sgt. Donald R. Allen. Image is in the public domain.

Probability---auction of the Roman Empire
The Praetorian Guard auctioned off the Roman Empire in 193 CE to the highest bidder. Marcus Didius Severus Julianvs won, paying 25,000 sesterces/soldier. He served as emperor for 66 days before being executed by the Praetorian Guard. (I imagine bids for the follow-on auction were lower, if one was actually held.)
"Auction" comes from the Latin "auctio", or increase.
Highest bidder is the "emptor."
Auctions also used to sell plunder, household effects, slaves, wives, commodities.

Probability---auction tulip bulbs
In 1600's, traders from the Ottoman Empire brought tulip bulbs back to Holland. The product was novel, so demand was unknown. Furthermore, it takes 7-12 years to go from a seed to a tradable bulb, so supply is fixed in the short run.
Demand was high, and traders invented a mechanism called the "Dutch Auction," when you start with a high price and decrease until
 someone buys.

Probability---auction tulip bulbs
In 1600's, traders from the Ottoman Empire brought tulip bulbs back to Holland. The product was novel, so demand was unknown. Furthermore, it takes 7-12 years to go from a seed to a tradable bulb, so supply is fixed in the short run.
Demand was high, and traders invented a mechanism called the "Dutch Options and futures contracts also pioneered during the Tulip Panic Auction," when you start with a high price and decrease until someone buys.

## Probability---auctions



Here are some things sold at a posted price:


Actually most things on eBay today

See image credits on next slide.

## This Tamely Jews To ne malice

This Unruly Mess I've Made [Explicit] Macklemore \& Ryan Lewis
Expected February 26, 2016

Pre-order Price Guarantee. Learn more.
Prime $\overline{\overline{\bar{m}}=\mathbf{U s i c}}$ You get unlimited streaming of some music from
this album with your Prime membership.

- See all 4 formats and editions
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## Fair Use Images

- Screenshot of The Unruly Mess I Made album cover © Amazon. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

Images in the Public Domain or in the Creative Commons

- Photo of strawberries by Edwyn Anderton on flickr. License: CC BY-NC-SA
- Photo of iPhone by Alexandra Guerson on flickr. CC BY-NC
- Photo of Starbucks drink by Steve Garfield on flickr. License: CC BY-NC-SA
- Photo of home by Sean Dreilinger on flickr. License: CC BY-NC-SA
- Photo of dresses by Ted McGrath on flickr. License: CC BY-NC-SA
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Both buyer and seller in an auction have to exert effort to monitor and then often wait for the outcome.

Probability---auctions
What determines whether a seller will decide to sell an item at a posted price or auction it off?

1. Transaction costs

Both buyer and seller in an auction have to exert effort to monitor and then often wait for the outcome.
2. Information

Seller receives free information on the value of the good (in fact, possibly information on the whole distribution of buyers' values).

Probability---auctions
Goods likely to be auctioned:

1. unique goods
2. expensive goods (transactions costs might not scale with price, but foregone surplus from uncertainty might)
3. goods where characteristics costly to assess
4. goods where buyers know more than sellers
5. goods with heterogeneity in buyer valuation

Probability---auctions
Let's consider a simple model to illustrate the point about information.
There are $N$ potential buyers of some good. Their valuations are independent and distributed uniformly on the unit interval, $[0,1]$.
The seller can offer the good, at no cost, at a posted price or auction it off. The seller knows the distribution of valuations, but does not know the individual realizations.

Probability---auctions
Posted price:
Set the price at $p$, sell the good if there are any $V_{i}>=p$ The expected profit:

$$
E(\pi(p))=p p\left(V_{i}>=p \text { for at least one } i\right)
$$
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What you get if you sell 1-CDF of the $n^{\text {th }}$ order statistic from $V[0,1]$ evaluated at $P$
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What you get if you sell
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How does this square with the formula we saw for expectation?

Probability---auctions
Posted price:
Set the price at $p$, sell the good if there are any $V_{i}>=p$ The expected profit:

$$
E(\pi(p))=p p\left(V_{i}>=p\right. \text { for at least one i) }
$$

What you get if you sell
1-CDF of the $n^{\text {th }}$ order statistic from $V[0,1]$ evaluated at $P$
How does this square with the formula we saw for expectation? Just apply the discrete formula and note that the first term is zero.

Probability---auctions
Posted price:
Set the price at $p$, sell the good if there are any $V_{i}>=p$
The expected profit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\pi(p)) & =p p\left(V_{i}>=p \text { for at least one } i\right) \\
& =p\left(1-p^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability---auctions
Posted price:
Set the price at $p$, sell the good if there are any $V_{i}>=p$ The expected profit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\pi(p)) & =p P\left(V_{i}>=p \text { for at least one } i\right) \\
& =p\left(1-p^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for a little economics: we assume that the seller will choose $p$ to maximize his profit. We figure out this optimal $p$ by taking the derivative of expected profit with respect to price, setting equal to zero, and solving for price.

Probability---auctions
Posted price:
Set the price at $p$, sell the good if there are any $V_{i}>=p$ The expected profit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\pi(p)) & =p p\left(V_{i}>=p \text { for at least one } i\right) \\
& =p\left(1-p^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $d \pi / d p=1-(N+1) p^{N}$ and the optimal price is $\sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{N+1}}$ Futhermore, the expected profit under that optimal price is

$$
\frac{N}{N+1} \sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{N+1}}
$$

Probability---auctions
Which gives rise to the following table:

| $N$ | $P$ | $E \pi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | $\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \simeq .58$ | $\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \simeq .38$ |
| 3 | $\sqrt[3]{1 / 4} \simeq .63$ | $3 / 4 \sqrt[3]{1 / 4} \simeq .47$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 9 | $\sqrt[9]{1} 10 \simeq .77$ | $9 / 10 \sqrt[9]{10} \simeq .70$ |

Probability---auctions

Which gives rise to the following table:


Posted price is rising as the number of potential buyers goes up. Expected profits also go up.

Probability---auctions
Which gives rise to the following table:
Posted price is rising

| $N$ | $p$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $E \pi$ |
| 2 | $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} 3$ |
| 3 | $\sqrt[3]{1 / 4} \simeq .63$ |
| $3 / 3 / 4 \sqrt[3]{1 / 3}$ | $\simeq .38$ |
|  | $\vdots$ |
| 0 | $\sqrt[9]{1} 10 \simeq .77$ |
| 9 | $9 / 10 \sqrt[9]{1} 10$ | as the number of potential buyers goes up. Expected profits also go up. This is a consequence of the distribution of the $n^{\text {th }}$ order statistic and how it changes at $n$ increases.

Probability---auctions
Auction:
We will assume an "English Auction," where the price of the good will gradually increase and potential buyers stay in the bidding until $p>V_{i,}$, where $V_{i}$ is buyer i's valuation for the product. When only one buyer is left, he gets the good at $p=V_{(N-1)}$, the second-highest valuation.

Probability---auctions
Auction:
We will assume an "English Auction," where the price of the good will gradually increase and potential buyers stay in the bidding until $p>V_{i,}$ where $V_{i}$ is buyer i's valuation for the product. When only one buyer is left, he gets the good at $p=V_{(N-1)}$, the second-highest valuation.

Why?

Probability---auctions
Auction:
We will assume an "English Auction," where the price of the good will gradually increase and potential buyers stay in the bidding until $p>V_{i,}$, where $V_{i}$ is buyer i's valuation for the product. When only one buyer is left, he gets the good at $p=V_{(N-1)}$, the second-highest valuation.

This is the classic "open artery" auction that we always see on TV shows, etc.

Probability---auctions
Auction:
We will assume an "English Auction," where the price of the good will gradually increase and potential buyers stay in the bidding until $p>V_{i}$, where $V_{i}$ is buyer is valuation for the product. When only one buyer is left, he gets the good at $p=V_{(N-1)}$, the second-highest valuation.
To compute expected profits here, we will need the distribution of the $N-1$ st order statistic from $V[0,1]$.

$$
f(N-1)(x)=N(N-1)(1-x) x^{N-2} \quad \text { for } 0<=x<=1
$$

Probability---auctions
Auction:
We will assume an "English Auction," where the price of the good will gradually increase and potential buyers stay in the bidding until $p>V_{i}$, where $V_{i}$ is buyer is valuation for the product. When only one buyer is left, he gets the good at $p=V_{(N-1)}$, the second-highest valuation. Assumed
To compute expected profits here, we will need the distribution of distribution of the $N-1^{\text {st }}$ order statistic from $V[0,1]$.

$$
f(N-1)(x)=N(N-1)(1-x) x^{N-2} \quad \text { for } 0<=x<=1
$$

Probability---auctions
Auction:

$$
S_{0} E(\pi(N))=\int_{[0,1]} N(N-1)(1-x) x^{N-2} x d x
$$

Probability---auctions
Auction:

$$
S_{0} E(\pi(N))=\int_{[0,1]} N(N-1)(1-x) x^{N-2} x d x
$$

Here we use the continuous formula to calculate expectation

Probability---auctions
Auction:

$$
\text { So } \begin{aligned}
E(\pi(N)) & =\int_{[0,1]} N(N-1)(1-x) x^{N-2} x d x \\
& =N(N-1) \int_{[0,1]}\left(x^{N-1}-x^{N}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability---auctions
Auction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{0} E(\pi(N)) & =\int_{[0,1]} N(N-1)(1-x) x^{N-2} x d x \\
& =N(N-1) \int_{[0,1]}\left(x^{N-1}-x^{N}\right) d x \\
& =(N-1) /(N+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability---auctions
Which gives rise to the following table:

| $\frac{N}{l}$ |  | $E \pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | $1 / 3$ |
| 3 |  | $1 / 2$ |
| $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |
| 9 |  | .80 |

Probability---auctions
Which gives rise to the following table:


Probability---auctions
Which gives rise to the following table:
\(\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{N}{1} \& \frac{E \pi}{0} \& \frac{E \pi}{1 / 4} <br>
2 \& 1 / 3 \& 2 / 3 \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \simeq .38 <br>
3 \& 1 / 2 \& 3 / 4 \sqrt[3]{1 / 4} \simeq .47 <br>
\vdots \& \vdots \& \vdots <br>

9 \& .80 \& 9 / 19 \sqrt[9]{10} \simeq .70\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{l}
auction <br>

auction | does better |
| :--- |
| for $N>2$ |
| posted price |

\end{tabular}

Probability---auctions
Which gives rise to the following table:


Probability---auctions
So what did this model tell us (conditional on assumptions)?

1. The seller will do better with an auction when $N$ is large enough.
2. This is true even though the seller needed to know the distribution of valuations to set an optimal posted price and did not need to know that distribution for the auction. (If the seller is wrong about the distribution of valuations, the fixed price does really badly.)
3. This model does not have transactions costs in it.

Probability---eBay's switch from auctions
As 1 mentioned earlier, eBay, founded in 1995 as an online auction site exclusively, now only has about $15 \%$ of its listings in auctions.

Probability---eBay's switch from auctions Here's a graph illustrating that point*:
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Probability---eBay's switch from auctions Here's another graph*:
*From NBER working Paper by Einav, Farronato, Levin, and Sundaresan


Probability---eBay's switch from auctions Here's another graph*:
*From NBER working Paper by Einav, Farronato, Levin, and Sundaresan


Google Trends data

Probability---eBay's switch from auctions What's going on?
We have a theory suggesting when a seller might prefer to sell an item with posted price versus action.
That theory is useful for thinking about information asymmetries, but it is incomplete. (For instance, ar model did not include anything about transactions costs.)
Furthermore, these eBay graphs suggest that something has been changing over time.

Probability---eBay's switch from auctions
Three broad hypotheses:

1. There's been a compositional shift of sellers and types of products on eBay.
2. Consumer tastes have changed---online auctions are not as fun and novel as they used to be.
3. The "price discovery" benefits of auctions have declined over time.
Online search has made it easier to find prices for comparable items
eBay itself has created thick national markets for lots of things that didn't exist before, which provide price information.

Probability---eBay's switch from auctions
Can decompose the shift over time from auction to posted price:
How much have product categories shifted over time (towards more standardized products, away from unique products)?
How much has the experience of the typical seller increased over time?

Probability---eBay's switch from auctions Can decompose the shift over time from auction to posted price:
How much have product categories shifted over time (towards more standardized products, away from unique products)?
How much has the experience of the typical seller increased over time?
They find that these explanations only account for a fairly small fraction of the shift. Instead, the returns to sellers using auctions have diminished.
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