# Lecture 10, Part II: Special Distributions 

Prof. Esther Duflo

14.310x

## What is so special about us?

- Some distributions are special because they are connected to others in useful ways
- Some distributions are special because they can be used to model a wide variety of random phenomena.
- This may be the case because of a fundamental underlying principle, or because the family has a rich collection of pdfs with a small number of parameters which can be estimated from the data.
- Like network statistics, there are always new candidate special distributions! But to be really special a distribution must be mathematically elegant, and should arise in interesting and diverse applications
- Many special distributions have standard members, corresponding to specified values of the parameters.
- Today's class is going to end up being more of a reference class than a conceptual one...


## We have seen some of them -we may not have named them!

- Bernoulli
- Binomial
- Uniform
- Negative binomial
- Geometric
- Normal
- Log-normal
- Pareto


## Bernouilli

Two possible outcomes ("success" or "failure"). The probability of success is $p$, failure is $q$ (or: $1-p$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x ; p)=p^{x} q^{1-x} \text { for } x \in\{0,1\} \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=p
$$

(because: $\mathrm{E}[X]=\operatorname{Pr}(X=1) \cdot 1+\operatorname{Pr}(X=0) \cdot 0=p \cdot 1+q \cdot 0=p$ )

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[X^{2}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}(X=1) \cdot 1^{2}+\operatorname{Pr}(X=0) \cdot 0^{2}=p \cdot 1^{2}+q \cdot 0^{2}=p
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Var}[X]=\mathrm{E}\left[X^{2}\right]-\mathrm{E}[X]^{2}=p-p^{2}=p(1-p)=p q
$$

## Binomial

Results: If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables, all Bernoulli distributed with success probability $p$, then $X=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} \sim \mathrm{~B}(n, p)$ (binomial distribution). The Bernoulli distribution is simply $\mathrm{B}(1, p)$
The binomial distribution is number of successes in a sequence of $n$ independent (success/failure) trials, each of which yields success with probability $p$.
$f(x ; n, p)=\operatorname{Pr}(X=x)=\binom{n}{x} p^{x}(1-p)^{n-x}$ for $x=0,1,2,3, \ldots, n$
$f(x ; n, p)=0$ otherwise.
where $\binom{n}{x}=\frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!}$
Since the binomial is a sum of i.i.d Bernoulli, the mean and variance follows from what we know about these operators:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(X)=n p \\
\operatorname{Var}(X)=n p q
\end{gathered}
$$

## Binomial



# Does the number of Steph Curry's successful shot follows a binomial distribution? 

Shots made in first 20 attempts (over 56 games)


## But it is not likely-3pt success

Three-point shots made in first 10 attempts (over 56 games)


## But it is not likely-2pt success

Two-point shots made in first 10 attempts (over 56 games)


## Hypergeometric

- The binomial distribution is used to model the number of successes in a sample of size $n$ with replacement
- If you sample without replacement, you get the hypergeometric distribution (e.g. number of red balls taken from an urn, number of vegetarian toppings on pizza)
let $A$ be the number of successes and $B$ the number of failure (you may want to define $N=A+B)$, $n$ the number of draws, then:

$$
f(X \mid A, B, n)=\frac{\binom{A}{x}\binom{B}{n-x}}{\binom{A+B}{n}}
$$

$E(X)=\frac{n A}{A+B}$ and $V(X)=n\left(\frac{A}{A+B}\right)\left(\frac{B}{A+B}\right)\left(\frac{A+B-n}{A+B-1}\right)$
Notice the relationship with the binomial, with $p=\frac{A}{A+B}$ and
$q=\frac{B}{A+B}$.

- Note that if $N$ is much larger than $n$, the binomial becomes a good approximation to the hypergeometric distribution


## Negative Binomial

Consider a sequence of independent Bernouilli trials, and let $X$ be the number of trials necessary to achieve $r$ successes $f_{X}(x)=\binom{x-1}{r-1} p^{r} q^{x-r}$ if $x=r, r+1 \ldots$, and 0 otherwise. $p^{r-1} q^{x-r}$ is the probability of any sequence with $r-1$ success and $x-r$ failures.
$p$ is the probability of success after $r-1$ failures.
$\binom{x-1}{r-1}$ is the number of possibility of sequences where $r-1$ are success

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(X)=\frac{r q}{p} \\
& V(X)=\frac{r q}{p^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Alternatively, some textbooks/people can define is at the number of failures needed to achieve $r$ successes.)

## Geometric

- A negative binomial distribution with $r=1$ is a geometric distribution [number of failures before the first success]
- $f(x ; p)=p q^{x}$ if $x=0,1,2,3, . . ; 0$ otherwise $E(X)=\frac{q}{p} V(X)=\frac{q}{p^{2}}$
- The sum of $r$ independent Geometric ( p ) random variables is a negative binomial $(r, p)$ random variable
- By the way, if $X_{i}$ are iid, and negative binomial $\left(r_{i}, p\right)$, then $\sum X_{i}$ is distributed as a negative binomial ( $\sum r_{i}, p$ )
- Memorylessness: Suppose 20 failures occured on first 20 trials. Since all trials are independent, the distribution of the additional failures before the first success will be geometric.


## Poisson

The poisson distribution expresses the probability of a given number of events occuring in a fixed interval of time if (1) the event can be counted in whole numbers (2) the occurences are independent and (3) the average frequency of occurrence for a time period is known.

## Poisson

Formally, for $t \geq 0$, let $N_{t}$ be an integer-valued random variables. If it satisfies the following properties
(1) $N_{0}=0$
(2) for $s<t, N_{s}$ and $N_{t}-N_{s}$ are independent
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(1) $N_{0}=0$
(2) for $s<t, N_{s}$ and $N_{t}-N_{s}$ are independent [arrival are independent in disjoint intervals]
(3) $N_{s}$ and $N_{t+s}-N_{t}$ have identical distribution [the number of arrivals depend only on period length]
(4) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P\left(N_{t}=1\right)}{t}=\gamma[\gamma$ is the arrival rate, and it is constant for small interval]
(5) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P\left(N_{>}\right)}{t}=0$ No simultaneous arrival

## Poisson

If $N_{t}$ satisfies:
(1) $N_{0}=0$
(2) fors $<t, N_{s}$ and $N_{t}-N_{s}$ are independent
(3) $N_{s}$ and $N_{t+s}-N_{t}$ have identical distribution
(4) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P\left(N_{t}=1\right)}{t}=\gamma$
(5) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(N>1)}{t}=0$
then for any non-negative integer $k$

$$
P\left(N_{t}=k\right)=\frac{(\gamma t)^{k} e^{-\gamma t}}{k!}
$$

Note: $\gamma$ and $t$ always appear together so we combine them into one parameter, $\lambda=\gamma t$. $\gamma$ is the propensity to arrive per unit of time. $t$ is the number of units of time, and $\lambda$ is the propensity to arrive in some amount of time.
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## Some properties

- $E\left[N_{t}\right]=\lambda$
- $V\left[N_{t}\right]=\lambda$
- It is asymetrical -skewed-(it cannot be negative!), but closer and closer to being symmetric as $\lambda$ increases


## Relationship between Poisson and Binomial

- Divide the interval $[0, t]$ into $n$ subintervals so small that the probability of two occurences in each subinterval is approximately zero.
- The probability of success in each subinterval is now $\frac{\gamma t}{n}=\frac{\lambda}{n}$, and the probability of $n_{t}=k$ successes in $[0, t]$ is approximately binomial
- $P\left(N_{t}=k\right) \approx\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k}$
- we could prove that the limit of this as the number of subintervals goes to infinity is $\frac{\lambda^{k} e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$
- In other words, for each nonnegative integer $k$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}=\frac{\lambda^{k} e^{-\lambda}}{k!}
$$

where $p=\frac{1}{\lambda}, \lambda$ is fixed, $n$ is positive.

- For small values of $p$, the Poisson distribution can simulate the Binomial distribution and it is easier to compute....


## When do we use a Poisson distribution?

- Poisson distributions are useful with count data: Number of goals in a soccer match; Number of ideas that a researcher has in a month; number of accidents
- The parameter $\lambda$ governs both the mean and the variance, so some times that it not what you want (you cannot increase the mean without increasing the variance)
- The negative binomial can be thought of as a generalization that does not have this property
- Some count data won't work well with Poisson: e.g. number of students who arrive at the coop (students arrive together; the events are not independent).

Three-point shots made in game


Two-point shots made in game


## Exponential

Waiting time between two events in a Poisson process: $f_{x}=\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ if $x>0$ and 0 otherwise

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X) & =\frac{1}{\lambda} \\
V(X) & =\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The exponential distribution is Memoryless: $\left(P(X \geq t)=e^{-\lambda t}\right.$ therefore $P(X \geq t+h \mid X \geq t)=P(X \geq h)$
It is a special case of an Gamma distribution the "waiting time" before a number (not necessary an integer number ) of occurences. We are skipping the mathematical description of the gamma distribution for now...

## Continuous distributions

- Uniform
- Normal


## Uniform distribution

The probability that $X$ is in a certain sub-interval $[a ; b]$ depends only on the length of that interval.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{x}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{b-a} & \text { for } a \leq x \leq b, \\
0 & \text { for } x<a \text { or } x>b\end{cases} \\
F(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x<a \\
\frac{x-a}{b-a} & \text { for } a \leq x \leq b \\
1 & \text { for } x>b\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Uniform distribution: density



## Properties

- Mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(X) & =\frac{1}{2}(a+b) \\
E\left(X^{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{3} \frac{b^{3}-a^{3}}{b-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Variance

$$
V(X)=\frac{1}{12}(b-a)^{2}
$$

- Set $a=0$ and $b=1$. The resulting distribution $U(0,1)$ is called standard uniform distribution. Note that if $u_{1}$ is standard uniform, so is $1-u_{1}$.


## Applications

- Many many: very useful in hypothesis testing for example.
- An important one: Quasi-random number generators. Computers don't really know random numbers... Many programming languages have the ability to generate pseudo-random numbers, which are really draw from a standard uniform distribution
- So the uniform distribution is very useful for example when you want to create a sample of treated and control observations (an example in R follows in one slide).
- As we have learnt, from a uniform distribution, you can use the inverse CDF method to get a sample for many (not all) distributions you are interested in


## Applications

- Many many: very useful in hypothesis testing for example.
- An important one: Quasi-random number generators. Computers don't really know random numbers... Many programming languages have the ability to generate pseudo-random numbers, which are really draw from a standard uniform distribution
- So the uniform distribution is very useful for example when you want to create a sample of treated and control observations (an example in R follows in one slide).
- As we have learnt, from a uniform distribution, you can use the inverse CDF method to get a sample for many (not all) distributions you are interested in
- ... or you can just directly sample from the relevant distribution in R. [note that R does not always use the inverse transform method...]


## sampling from an exponential using the inverse sampling method

```
## Random draws from uniform distribution
u <- runif(100000,0,1)
## Plot the inverse of CDF of the exponential
pdf("runiform_inverse_exponential.pdf")
inverse_exponential_cdf <- function(x,lambda) -log(x)/lambda
y <- inverse_exponential_cdf(u,3)
density_y <- density(y)
plot(density_y,type="l",xlim=c(0,2),
    main="PDF of inverse exponential function",
    lwd=3,col="navyblue",xlab="")
hide<-dev.off()
```


## sampling from an exponential using the inverse sampling method



```
## Plot the inverse of CDF of the exponential using q_exp
pdf("runiform_inverse_exponential_qexp.pdf")
y_qexp <- qexp(u,rate=3)
density_y_qexp <- density(y_qexp)
plot(density_y_qexp,type="l",xlim=c(0,2),
    main="PDF of inverse exponential function",
    lwd=3,col="navyblue",xlab="")
hide<-dev.off()
```

PDF of inverse exponential function


## OR

```
## Compare to random draws straight from the exponential distribution
pdf("random_from_exponential.pdf")
y_rexp <- rexp(10000,rate=3)
density_y_rexp <- density(y_rexp)
plot(density_y_rexp,type="l",xlim=c(0,2),
    main="Random variable drawn from exponential distribution",
    lwd=3,col="darkred",xlab="")
hide<-dev.off()
```

Random variable drawn from exponential distribution

\#\# Poisson simulation poisson<-numeric(1000000)

```
lambda<-2
c <- (0.767-0.336/lambda)
beta <- pi/sqrt(3.0*lambda)
alpha <- beta*lambda
k <- (log(c) - lambda - log(beta))
set.seed(20)
u <- runif(100000,0,1)
x <- (alpha-log((1.0-u)/u)/beta)
n <- floor(x+0.5)
set.seed(42)
v <- runif(100000,0,1)
y <- alpha-beta*x
lhs <- y + log(v/(1.0+exp(y)^z))
rhs <- k + n*log(lambda)-log(factorial(n))
j <- 1
for (i in 1:100000) {
    if (n[i]>=0) {
        if (lhs[i]<=rhs[i]) {
            poisson[j] <- n[i]
            j <- j+1
            }
        }
}
poisson <- poisson[1:j]
```

```
## Plot the simulated Poisson random variable
pdf("runiform_poisson_simulation.pdf")
hist<-hist(poisson,
    main="Simulated Poisson Distribution",
    xlim=c(0,10),breaks=0:(max(poisson)+1),
    freq=FALSE,
    xlab="", ylab="",
    col="lightblue",
    xaxt="n")
axis(1,at=hist$mids,labels=0:max(poisson))
hide<-dev.off()
```

Simulated Poisson Distribution


```
## Compare to random draws from the Poisson distribution
pdf("random_from_poisson.pdf")
y_rpois <- rpois(100000,3)
hist <- hist(y_rpois,
    main="Random variable drawn from Poisson distribution",
    xlim=c(0,10),breaks=0:(max(y_rpois)+1),
    freq=FALSE,
    xlab="",ylab="",
    col="lightcoral",
    xaxt="n")
axis(1,at=hist$mids,labels=0:max(y_rpois))
hide<-dev.off()
```

Random variable drawn from Poisson distribution


## Choosing a random sample

```
## Sample 25 of 50 States Code, with and without replacement
## Read in list of state names
states <- read.csv("states.csv")
## Sample 25 without replacement, 25 with replacement
states_without_replacement <- list(sample(states$state_name,25,replace=FALSE))
states_with_replacement <- sample(states$state_name,25,replace=TRUE)
## Print output
print(states_without_replacement)
print(states_with_replacement)
```


## Choosing a random sample

```
## Sample 25 of 50 States Code, with and without replacement
## Read in list of state names
states <- read.csv("states.csv")
## Sample 25 without replacement, 25 with replacement
states_without_replacement <- list(sample(states$state_name,25,replace=FALSE))
states_with_replacement <- sample(states$state_name,25,replace=TRUE)
## Print output
print(states_without_replacement)
[[1]]
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
[1] Alaska & North Carolina New Jersey & Missouri & Louisiana & Virginia & Massachusetts \\
[8] Mississippi & Idaho & Delaware & California & Iowa & South Dakota & South Carolina \\
[15] Illinois & Wyoming & New Mexico & Georgia & Michigan & Indiana & Ohio \\
[22] Utah & West Virginia & Minnesota & Arizona & & & \\
50 Levels: Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado & Connecticut Delaware Florida & Georgia ... Wyoming
\end{tabular}
> print(states_with_replacement)
    [1] Missouri North Carolina Massachusetts Texas South Carolina Maryland Wyoming
    8] South Carolina Massachusetts South Carolina Alabama
[15] Nebraska Tennessee New Hampshire South Dakota
[22] Maryland Oklahoma Oklahoma Oklahoma
50 Levels: Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware Florida Georgia ... Wyoming
```


## Continuous distributions

- Uniform
- Normal


## The Normal distribution

Theorem
Lex $X \sim \mathrm{~B}(n, p)$, for any number $c$ and $d$ :

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(c \leq \frac{X-n p}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}}<d\right)=\int_{c}^{d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}} d x
$$

$\frac{X-n p}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}}$ is the standardized version of the binomial. Keeps mean at zero and variance at 1 .
We note: $f_{z}(y)=\phi(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}}$ and $F_{Z}(y)=\Phi(y)=$ for
$-\infty<y<\infty$
$E(Z)=0$ and $V(Z)=1$

## Binomial



## Binomial



## Binomial



## Binomial

now standardize

now standardize


## now standardize



## Standard Normal distribution



## Normal distributions

We call any random variable $X=\mu+\sigma Z$ where $Z$ is standard normal with $\sigma \neq 0$ normal as well.

$$
f(x \mid \mu, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}
$$

for $-\infty<x<\infty$
Notation: $X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$

## Normal distributions

We call any random variable $X=\mu+\sigma Z$ where $Z$ is standard normal with $\sigma \neq 0$ normal as well.

$$
f(x \mid \mu, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}
$$

for $-\infty<x<\infty$
Notation: $X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right) X$ distributed normal with parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(X)=E(Z)+\mu=\mu \\
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sigma^{2} * \operatorname{Var}(Z)=\sigma^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Some properties

- If $X_{1}$ is normal, and $X_{2}=a+b X_{1}$ is also normal, with mean $a+b E\left(X_{1}\right)$ and variance $b^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)$
Theorem
Let $X_{1} . . X_{n}$ are iid and $X_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$, then

$$
Y=\sum_{i} X_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i} \mu_{i}, \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

We already knew the mean and the variance (by general properties of these operators) but we now also know that the pdf of a sum of normal remains normal.

- Normal distribution are symmetric, unimodal, "bell-shaped", have thin tails, and the support is $\mathbb{R}$

Same mean, different variances


(courtesy: John Canning for the tikzpicture code!)

## Finding the area under the curve

- The integral of $\phi(x)$ over regions of $\mathbb{R}$ cannot be expressed in closed-form
- Therefore we use tables (or software...) to figure out the answer we are looking for.
- For example, from the standard normal table, suppose you want $P(Z<-1.23)$.
- go down the left column to -1.2
- and the top row to 0.03
- the answer is
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## Finding the area under the curve

- what if you wanted $P(Z>-1.68)$
- $P(Z>-1.68)=1-P(Z<-1.68)$
- What if you wanted positive numbers and I had not given you the positive numbers, e.g. $P(Z<1.45)$
- Exploit symmetry:

$$
P(Z<1.45)=P(Z>-1.45)=1-P(Z<-1.45)
$$

- What if you wanted $P(-1.23<Z<1.45)$
- $P(-1.23<Z<1.45)=P(Z<1.45)-P(Z<-1.23)$


## Finding the area under the curve

- what if you wanted $P(Z>-1.68)$
- $P(Z>-1.68)=1-P(Z<-1.68)$
- What if you wanted positive numbers and I had not given you the positive numbers, e.g. $P(Z<1.45)$
- Exploit symmetry:

$$
P(Z<1.45)=P(Z>-1.45)=1-P(Z<-1.45)
$$

- What if you wanted $P(-1.23<Z<1.45)$
- $P(-1.23<Z<1.45)=P(Z<1.45)-P(Z<-1.23)$
- what if you had a non standard normal?
- First normalize it. Then use the table.


# Useful R command about the Normal distribution 

|  | PURPOSE | SYNTAX | EXAMPLE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RNORM | Generates random numbers from normal distribution | morm( n , mean, sd) | morm(1000, 3, 25) <br> Generates 1000 <br> numbers <br> from a normal with <br> mean 3 <br> and $s d=.25$ |
| DNORM | Probability Density Function (PDF) | dnorm( x , <br> mean, sd) | dnorm( $0,0, .5$ ) <br> Gives the density (height of the PDF) of the normal with mean $=0$ and sd=5. |
| PNORM | Cumulative <br> Distribution Function (CDF) | pnorm(q. <br> mean, sd) | pnorm(1.96,0,1) <br> Gives the area under the standard normal curve to the left of 1.96, i.c. 0.975 |
| QNORM | Quantile Function inverse of pnorm | qnorm(p. <br> mean, sd) | qnorm( $0.975,0,1$ ) <br> Gives the value at which the <br> CDF of the standard normal is 975 , i.e. $\sim 1.96$ |

```
> pnorm(1.96, lower.tail=TRUE)
[1] 0.9750021
> pnorm(1.96, lower.tail=FALSE)
[1] 0.0249979
```

```
## Compute probabilities from normal distribution
## Characterize distribution
x_mean <- 2
x_sd <- 0.5
## Set inputs
x1 <- 1.2
x2<-1.34
x3<- 1.46
x4<- 2.08
## Probability less than x1?
pnorm(x1,x_mean,x_sd)
## Probability between x2 and x3?
pnorm(x3,x_mean,x_sd)-pnorm(x2,x_mean,x_sd)
## Probability greater than x4?
pnorm(x4,x_mean,x_sd,lower.tail=FALSE)
```

```
> ## Characterize distribution
> x_mean <- 2
x_sd <- 0.5
>
> ## Set inputs
> x1 <- 1.2
> x2 <- 1.34
> x3 <- 1.46
x4<- 2.08
>
> ## Probability less than x1?
> pnorm(x1,x_mean,x_sd)
[1] 0.05479929
>
> ## Probability between x2 and x3?
> pnorm(x3,x_mean,x_sd)-pnorm(x2,x_mean,x_sd)
[1] 0.04665358
>
> ## Probability greater than x4?
> pnorm(x4,x_mean,x_sd,lower.tail=FALSE)
[1] 0.4364405
```


## Sampling from a normal distribution in R

- In theory you can use the inverse sampling methods.
- In practice this would take much longer than using the built in command in R that uses a different algorithm.

```
## Inverse of CDF of normal using qnorm
pdf("runiform_inverse_normal_qnorm.pdf")
y_qnorm <- qnorm(u)
density_y_qnorm <- density(y_qnorm,bw=1)
plot(density_y_qnorm,type="l",xlim=c(-5,5),
    main="PDF of inverse normal function",
    lwd=3,col="navyblue",xlab="")
hide<-dev.off()
```

PDF of inverse normal function


```
## Compare to random draws straight from the normal distribution
pdf("random_from_normal.pdf")
y_rnorm <- rnorm(10000,0,1)
density_y_rnorm <- density(y_rnorm,bw=1)
plot(density_y_rnorm,type="l",xlim=c(-5,5),
    main="Random variable drawn from normal distribution",
    lwd=3,col="darkred",xlab="",ylab="")
hide<-dev.off()
```

Random variable drawn from normal distribution
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