# Political Science 17.20 Introduction to American Politics 

## Professor Devin Caughey

MIT Department of Political Science

## Parties and the US Political System <br> Lecture 21 (April 30, 2013)

## Outline

1 Party Systems and Realignment

2 Parties and Representation

## Outline

1 Party Systems and Realignment

## 2 Parties and Representation

## Why Only Two Parties?

■ Duverger's Law: Single-member district-plurality elections strongly favor two-party (as opposed to multi-party) competition
$\rightarrow$ No representation in government unless win most votes
$\rightarrow$ Third party very unlikely to win
$\rightarrow$ Voters and politicians coordinate on "least bad" option
■ Electoral College creates additional incentives for parties to create a broad geographic base (competitive in many states)

## Consequences of the Two-Party System

■ Two diverse, geographically dispersed (of a sort) coalitions
■ Dimension-reduction: choice between bundles of (possibly incongruent) policy positions
■ Some conflicts "organized out" of politics

## Realignment Theory

- US political history can be organized into a series of "party systems"
- In a given party system, the party coalitions and the issue cleavages that separate them are roughly stable
- Realignment: durable shift in the coalitional bases of the parties
- Conflict displacement: one axis of conflict is (partially) replaced by another


## 2nd Party System (1828-54): Democrats vs. Whigs

 small gov't \& territorial expansion vs. economic \& moral "improvement" (ignore slavery)Content removed due to copyright restrictions.
To see a map illustrating the 1840 Presidential Election Results by County, go to:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:PresidentialCounty1840.gif.

## 4th Party System (1896-1932): Democrats vs. GOP pro-industrialization business \& labor vs. farmers, religious minorities \& white South

Content removed due to copyright restrictions.
To see a map illustrating the 1916 Presidential Election Results by County, go to: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:PresidentialCounty1916Colorbrewer.gif.

## 5th Party System (1932-1960s): The New Deal Order

 cities, unions, minorities \& white South vs. business; small-town, middle-class WASPsContent removed due to copyright restrictions.
To see a map illustrating the 1944 Presidential Election Results by County, go to:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:1944prescountymap2.png.

## 2012: Obama vs. Romney, Counties

Content removed due to copyright restrictions.
To see a map illustrating the 2012 Presidential Election Results by County, go to: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:2012nationwidecountymapshadedbypercentagewon.svg.

## 2012: Obama vs. Romney, Congressional Districts

Content removed due to copyright restrictions.
To see a map illustrating the 2012 Presidential Election Results by Congressional District, go to: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:2012_US_congressional_district_presidential_election.svg.

## Partisan Balance

Mayhew: Despite potential for distortion, parties are roughly balanced because:

- Parties adapt to the system as it exists.

■ If one party is too disgruntled, structural (even constitutional) change is at least possible.
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## Collective Responsibility

■ For the most part, we care about the collective performance of the government, but we only have the opportunity to vote for individuals.

- How can we hold individuals accountable for collective outcomes? $\rightarrow$ collective responsibility
■ Parties make collective responsibility possible:
■ Clarify politics: Who is in charge? What will candidates do if elected?
- Collective reputation $\rightarrow$ personal stake in collective performance
- Incentives to think and act collectively


## A Blunt Tool of Accountability

■ Accountability on both spatial and valence issues.
■ Spatial: Are taxes too high? Environmental regulation too strict? Prison terms too short? Moral standards too low? Then vote Republican.
■ Valence: Is the economy in bad shape? Are we stuck in a costly war? Then vote against the incumbent party.
■ Problems:
■ Policy changes are grouped together.

- Retrospective voting may be irrational.

■ Collective responsibility is a very blunt tool, but it may be the best one we have.
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