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The following content is provided under a Creative Commons license. Your support will help MIT OpenCourseWare continue to offer high quality educational resources for free. To make a donation or to view additional materials from hundreds of MIT courses, visit MIT OpenCourseWare at ocw.mit.edu. Remember last time -- -- we learned about the cross product of vectors in space. Remember the definition of cross product is in terms of this determinant det $\mid \mathrm{i}$ hat, j hat, k hat, and then the components of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{a} 1, \mathrm{a} 2, \mathrm{a} 3$, and then the components of $\mathrm{B} \mid$ This is not an actual determinant because these are not numbers. But it's a symbolic notation, to remember what the actual formula is. The actual formula is obtained by expanding the determinant. So, we actually get the determinant of $a 2$, $a 3$, b2, b3 times $i$ hat, minus the determinant of $a 1, a 3, b 1, b 3$ times $j$ hat plus the determinant of $\mathrm{a} 1, \mathrm{a} 2, \mathrm{~b} 1, \mathrm{~b} 2$, times k hat. And we also saw a more geometric definition of the cross product. We have learned that the length of the cross product is equal to the area of the parallelogram with sides $A$ and $B$. We have also learned that the direction of the cross product is given by taking the direction that's perpendicular to $A$ and $B$. If I draw $A$ and $B$ in a plane (they determine a plane), then the cross product should go in the direction that's perpendicular to that plane. Now, there's two different possible directions that are perpendicular to a plane. And, to decide which one it is, we use the right-hand rule, which says if you extend your right hand in the direction of the vector $A$, then curve your fingers in the direction of $B$, then your thumb will go in the direction of the cross product. One thing I didn't quite get to say last time is that there are some funny manipulation rules. What are we allowed to do or not do with cross products? So, let me tell you right away the most surprising one if you've never seen it before: A cross B and B cross A are not the same thing. Why are they not the same thing? Well, one way to see it is to think geometrically. The parallelogram still has the same area, and it's still in the same plane. So, the cross product is still perpendicular to the same plane. But, what happens is that, if you try to apply the right-hand rule but exchange the roles of $A$ and $B$, then you will either injure yourself, or your thumb will end up pointing in the opposite direction. So, in fact, B cross A and A cross B are opposite of each other. And you can check that in the formula because, for example, the i component is a2 b3 minus a3 b2. If you swap the roles of A and B, you will also have to change the signs. That's a slightly surprising thing, but you will see one easily adjusts to it. It just means one must resist the temptation to write AxB equals BxA. Whenever you do that, put a minus sign. Now, in particular, what happens if I do A cross A? Well, I will get zero. And, there's many ways to see that. One is to use the formula. Also, you can see that the parallelogram formed by A and A is completely flat, and it has area zero. So, we get the zero vector. Hopefully you got practice with cross products, and computing them, in recitation yesterday. Let me just point out one important application of cross product that maybe you haven't seen yet. Let's say that I'm given three points in space, and I want to find the equation of the plane that contains them. So, say I have P1, P2, P3, three points in space. They determine a plane, at least if they are not aligned, and we would like to find the equation of the plane that they determine. That means, let's say that we have a point, P, in space with coordinates $x, y, z$. Well, to find the equation of the plane ---- the plane containing P1, P2, and P3, we need to find a condition on the coordinates $x, y, z$, telling us whether $P$ is in the plane or not. We have several ways of doing that. For example, one thing we could do. Let me just backtrack to determinants that we saw last time. One way to think about it is to consider these vectors, P1P2, P1P3, and P1P. The question of whether they are all in the same plane is the same as asking ourselves whether the parallelepiped that they form is actually completely flattened. So, if I try to form a parallelepiped with these three sides, and P is not in the plane, then it will have some volume. But, if $P$ is in the plane, then it's actually completely squished. So, one possible answer, one possible way to think of the equation of a plane is that the determinant of these vectors should be zero. Take the determinant of (vector P1P,vector P1P2,vector P1P3) equals 0 (if you do it in a different order it doesn't really matter). One possible way to express the condition that P is in the plane is to say that the determinant of these three vectors has to be zero. And, if I am given coordinates for these points -- I'm not giving you numbers, but if I gave you numbers, then you would be able to plug those numbers in. So, you could compute these two vectors P1P2 and P1P3 explicitly. But, of course, P1P would depend on $x, y$, and $z$. So, when you compute the determinant, you get a formula that involves $x, y$, and $z$. And you'll find that this condition on $x, y, z$ is the equation of a plane. We're going to see more about that pretty soon. Now, let me tell you a slightly faster way of doing it. Actually, it's not much faster, It's pretty much the same calculation, but it's maybe more enlightening. Let me actually show you a nice color picture that I prepared for this. One thing that's on this picture that I haven't drawn before is the normal vector to the plane. Why is that? Well, let's say that we know how to find a vector that's perpendicular to our plane. Then, what does it mean for the point, P , to be in the plane? It means that the direction from P 1 to P has to be perpendicular to this vector N . So here's another solution: P is in the plane exactly when the vector P 1 P is perpendicular to N , where N is some vector that's perpendicular to the plane. N is called a normal vector. How do we rephrase this condition? Well, we've learned how to detect whether two vectors are perpendicular to each other using dot product (that was the first lecture). These two vectors are perpendicular exactly when their dot product is zero. So, concretely, if we have a point P1 given to us, and say we have been able to compute the vector N , then when we actually compute what happens, here we will have the coordinates $x, y, z$, of a point $P$, and we will get some condition on $x, y, z$. That will be the equation of a plane. Now, why are these things the same? Well, before I can tell you that, I should tell you how to find a normal vector. Maybe you are already starting to see what the method should be, because we know how to find a vector perpendicular to two given vectors. We know two vectors in that plane, for example, P1P2, and P1P3. Actually, I could have used another permutation of these points, but, let's use this. So, if I want to find a vector that's perpendicular to both P1P2 and P1P3 at the same time, all I have to do is take their cross product. So, how do we find a vector that's perpendicular to the plane? The answer is just the cross product P1P2 cross P1P3. Say you actually took the points in a different order, and you took P1P3 x P1P2. You would get, of course, the opposite vector. That is fine. Any plane actually has infinitely many normal vectors. You can just multiplv a normal vector bv anv constant. vou will still aet a normal vector. So. that's aoina to be one of the main
uses of dot product. When we know two vectors in a plane, it lets us find the normal vector to the plane, and that is what we need to find the equation. Now, why is that the same as our first answer over there? Well, the condition that we have is that P1P dot $N$ should be 0 . And we said $N$ is actually P1P2 cross P1P3. So, this is what we want to be zero. Now, if you remember, a long time ago (that was Friday) we've introduced this thing and called it the triple product. And what we've seen is that the triple product is the same thing as the determinant. So, in fact, these two ways of thinking, one saying that the box formed by these three vectors should be flat and have volume zero, and the other one saying that we can find a normal vector and then express the condition that a vector is in the plane if it's perpendicular to the normal vector, are actually giving us the same formula in the end. OK, any quick questions before we move on? STUDENT QUESTION: are those two equal only when P is in the plane, or no matter where it is? So, these two quantities, P1P dot the cross product, or the determinant of the three vectors, are always equal to each other. They are always the same. And now, if a point is not in the plane, then their numerical value will be nonzero. If P is in the plane, it will be zero. OK, let's move on and talk a bit about matrices. Probably some of you have learnt about matrices a little bit in high school, but certainly not all of you. So let me just introduce you to a little bit about matrices -- just enough for what we will need later on in this class. If you want to know everything about the secret life of matrices, then you should take 18.06 someday. OK, what's going to be our motivation for matrices? Well, in life, a lot of things are related by linear formulas. And, even if they are not, maybe sometimes you can approximate them by linear formulas. So, often, we have linear relations between variables -- for example, if we do a change of coordinate systems. For example, say that we are in space, and we have a point. Its coordinates might be, let me call them $x 1, x 2, x 3$ in my initial coordinate system. But then, maybe I need to actually switch to different coordinates to better solve the problem because they're more adapted to other things that we'll do in the problem. And so I have other coordinates axes, and in these new coordinates, P will have different coordinates -- let me call them, say, u1, u2, u3. And then, the relation between the old and the new coordinates is going to be given by linear formulas -- at least if I choose the same origin. Otherwise, there might be constant terms, which I will not insist on. Let me just give an example. For example, maybe, let's say u1 could be $2 \times 13 \times 23 \times 3$. u2 might be $2 \times 14 \times 25 \times 3$. u3 might be $\times 1 \times 22 \times 3$. Do not ask me where these numbers come from. I just made them up, that's just an example of what might happen. You can put here your favorite numbers if you want. Now, in order to express this kind of linear relation, we can use matrices. A matrix is just a table with numbers in it. And we can reformulate this in terms of matrix multiplication or matrix product. So, instead of writing this, I will write that the matrix $|2,3,3 ; 2,4,5 ; 1,1,2|$ times the vector ${ }^{* * *} a m p^{* * *} \mid t ; x 1, x 2, x 3>$ is equal to ***amp ${ }^{* * * \mid t ; ~} \mathrm{u} 1, \mathrm{u} 2$, u3>. Hopefully you see that there is the same information content on both sides. I just need to explain to you what this way of multiplying tables of numbers means. Well, what it means is really that we'll have exactly these same quantities. Let me just say that more symbolically: so maybe this matrix could be called A, and this we could call $X$, and this one we could call $U$. Then we'll say A times $X$ equals $U$, which is a lot shorter than that. Of course, I need to tell you what A, $X$, and $U$ are in terms of their entries for you to get the formula. But it's a convenient notation. So, what does it mean to do a matrix product? The entries in the matrix product are obtained by taking dot products. Let's say we are doing the product AX. We do a dot products between the rows of $A$ and the columns of X . Here, A is a $3 \times 3$ matrix -- that just means there's three rows and three columns. And X is a column vector, which we can think of as a $3 \times 1$ matrix. It has three rows and only one column. Now, what can we do? Well, I said we are going to do a dot product between a row of $A: 2,3,3$, and a column of $X$ : $x 1, x 2, x 3$. That dot product will be two times $x 1$ plus three times $x 2$ plus three times $\times 3$. OK, it's exactly what we want to set u1 equal to. Let's do the second one. I take the second row of $\mathrm{A}: 2,4,5$, and I do the dot product with $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2$, x 3 . I will get two times $\times 1$ plus four times $\times 2$ plus five times $\times 3$, which is $u 2$. And, same thing with the third one: one times $\times 1$ plus one times $x 2$ plus two times $\times 3$. So that's matrix multiplication. Let me restate things more generally. If I want to find the entries of a product of two matrices, A and B -- I'm saying matrices, but of course they could be vectors. Vectors are now a special case of matrices, just by taking a matrix of width one. So, if I have my matrix A, and I have my matrix B, then I will get the product, AB. Let's say for example -- this works in any size -- let's say that A is a $3 \times 4$ matrix. So, it has three rows, four columns. And, here, I'm not going to give you all the values because I'm not going to compute everything. It would take the rest of the lecture. And let's say that B is maybe size $4 \times 2$. So, it has two columns and four rows. And, let's say, for example, that we have the second column: 0,3, 0,2. So, in A times B, the entries should be the dot products between these rows and these columns. Here, we have two columns. Here, we have three rows. So, we should get three times two different possibilities. And so the answer will have size $3 \times 2$. We cannot compute most of them, because I did not give you numbers, but one of them we can compute. We can compute the value that goes here, namely, this one in the second column. So, I select the second column of $B$, and I take the first row of $A$, and I find: 1 times $0: 0.2$ times $3: 6$, plus 0 , plus 8 , should make 14. So, this entry right here is 14 . In fact, let me tell you about another way to set it up so that you can remember more easily what goes where. One way that you can set it up is you can put A here. You can put B up here, and then you will get the answer here. And, if you want to find what goes in a given slot here, then you just look to its left and you look above it, and you do the dot product between these guys. That's an easy way to remember. First of all, it tells you what the size of the answer will be. The size will be what fits nicely in this box: it should have the same width as $B$ and the same height as $A$. And second, it tells you which dot product to compute for each position. You just look at what's to the left, and what's above the given position. Now, there's a catch. Can we multiply anything by anything? Well, no. I wouldn't ask the question otherwise. But anyway, to be able to do this dot product, we need to have the same number of entries here and here. Otherwise, we can't say "take this times that, plus this times that, and so on" if we run out of space on one of them before the other. So, the condition -and it's important, so let me write it in red -- is that the width of A must equal the height of B. (OK, it's a bit cluttered, but hopefully you can still see what I'm writing.) OK, now we know how to multiply matrices. So, what does it mean to multiply matrices? Of course, we've seen in this example that we can use a matrix to tell us how to transform from x's to u's. And. that's an example of multiplication. But now. let's see that we have two matrices like
that telling us how to transform from something to something else. What does it mean to multiply them? I claim that the product $A B$ represents doing first the transformation $B$, then transformation $A$. That's a slightly counterintuitive thing, because we are used to writing things from left to right. Unfortunately, with matrices, you multiply things from right to left. If you think about it, say you have two functions, $f$ and $g$, and you write $f(g(x))$, it really means you apply first $g$ then f. It works the same way as that. OK, so why is this? Well, if I write AB times X where X is some vector that I want to transform, it's the same as A times BX. This property is called associativity. And, it's a good property of well-behaved products -- not of cross product, by the way. Matrix product is associative. That means we can actually think of a product $A B X$ and multiply them in whichever order we want. We can start with $B X$ or we can start with $A B$. So, now, BX means we apply the transformation $B$ to $X$. And then, multiplying by A means we apply the transformation A. So, we first apply B, then we apply A. That's the same as applying AB all at once. Another thing -- a warning: AB and BA are not the same thing at all. You can probably see that already from this interpretation. It's not the same thing to convert oranges to bananas and then to carrots, or vice versa. Actually, even worse: this thing might not even be well defined. If the width of A equals the height of B, we can do this product. But it's not clear that the width of $B$ will equal the height of $A$, which is what we would need for that one. So, the size condition, to be able to do the product, might not make sense -- maybe one of the products doesn't make sense. Even if they both make sense, they are usually completely different things. The next thing I need to tell you about is something called the identity matrix. The identity matrix is the matrix that does nothing. What does it mean to do nothing? I don't mean the matrix is zero. The matrix zero would take X and would always give you back zero. That's not a very interesting transformation. What I mean is the guy that takes X and gives you $X$ again. It's called I, and it has the property that IX equals $X$ for all $X$. So, it's the transformation from something to itself. It's the obvious transformation -- called the identity transformation. So, how do we write that as a matrix? Well, actually there's an identity for each size because, depending on whether X has two entries or ten entries, the matrix I needs to have a different size. For example, the identity matrix of size $3 \times 3$ has entries one, one, one on the diagonal, and zero everywhere else. OK, let's check. If we multiply this with a vector -- start thinking about it. What happens when multiply this with the vector X? OK, so let's say I multiply the matrix I with a vector $\times 1, x 2, x 3$. What will the first entry be ? It will be the dot product between ***amp ${ }^{* * *} \mid t ; 1,0,0>$ and ${ }^{* * *} a m p^{* * *} \mid t ; \times 1 \times 2 \times 3>$. This vector is $i$ hat. If you do the dot product with $i$ hat, you will get the first component -- that will be $x 1$. One times $x 1$ plus zero, zero. Similarly here, if I do the dot product, I get zero plus $x 2$ plus zero. I get x2, and here I get $x 3$. OK, it works. Same thing if I put here a matrix: I will get back the same matrix. In general, the identity matrix in size $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix with ones on the diagonal, and zeroes everywhere else. You just put 1 at every diagonal position and 0 elsewhere. And then, you can see that if you multiply that by a vector, you'll get the same vector back. OK, let me give you another example of a matrix. Let's say that in the plane we look at the transformation that does rotation by $90 \hat{A}^{\circ}$, let's say, counterclockwise. I claim that this is given by the matrix: $\mid 0,1$; $-1,0 \mid$. Let's try to see why that is the case. Well, if I do R times i hat -- if I apply that to the first vector, i hat: i hat will be ${ }^{* * *}$ amp ${ }^{* * *} \mid t ; 1,0>$ so in this product, first you will get 0 , and then you will get 1 . You get $j$ hat. OK, so this thing sends $i$ hat to $j$ hat. What about $j$ hat? Well, you get negative one. And then you get 0 . So, that's minus $i$ hat. So, $j$ is sent towards here. And, in general, if you apply it to a vector with components $x, y$, then you will get back $-y, x$, which is the formula we've seen for rotating a vector by $90 \hat{A}^{\circ}$. So, it seems to do what we want. By the way, the columns in this matrix represent what happens to each basis vector, to the vectors i and j . This guy here is exactly what we get when we multiply R by i. And, when we multiply R by j, we get this guy here. So, what's interesting about this matrix? Well, we can do computations with matrices in ways that are easier than writing coordinate change formulas. For example, if you compute R squared, so if you multiply R with itself: I'll let you do it as an exercise, but you will find that you get $|-1,0 ; 0,-1|$. So, that's minus the identity matrix. Why is that? Well, if I rotate something by $90 \hat{A}^{\circ}$ and then I rotate by $90 \hat{A}^{\circ}$ again, then I will rotate by $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i}^{1} 1 / 2$. That means I will actually just go to the opposite point around the origin. So, I will take ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) to $(-\mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y})$. And if I applied R four times, $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 4$ would be identity. OK, questions? STUDENT QUESTION: when you said R equals that matrix, is that the definition of R? How did I come up with this R? Well, secretly, I worked pretty hard to find the entries that would tell me how to rotate something by $90 \hat{A}^{\circ}$ counterclockwise. So, remember: what we saw last time or in the first lecture is that, to rotate a vector by $90 \hat{A}^{\circ}$, we should change ( $x, y$ ) to $(-y, x)$. And now I'm trying to express this transformation as a matrix. So, maybe you can call these guys $u$ and $v$, and then you write that $u$ equals $0 x-1 y$, and that $v$ equals $1 x$ Oy. So that's how I would find it. Here, I just gave it to you already made, so you didn't really see how I found it. You will see more about rotations on the problem set. OK, next I need to tell you how to invert matrices. So, what's the point of matrices? It's that it gives us a nice way to think about changes of variables. And, in particular, if we know how to express $U$ in terms of $X$, maybe we'd like to know how to express $X$ in terms of $U$. Well, we can do that, because we've learned how to solve linear systems like this. So in principle, we could start working, substituting and so on, to find formulas for $\times 1, x 2, x 3$ as functions of $u 1, u 2, u 3$. And the relation will be, again, a linear relation. It will, again, be given by a matrix. Well, what's that matrix? It's the inverse transformation. It's the inverse of the matrix A. So, we need to learn how to find the inverse of a matrix directly. The inverse of A, by definition, is a matrix M , with the property that if I multiply A by M , then I get identity. And, if I multiply M by $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{I}$ also get identity. The two properties are equivalent. That means, if I apply first the transformation A , then the transformation M, actually I undo the transformation A, and vice versa. These two transformations are the opposite of each other, or I should say the inverse of each other. For this to make sense, we need A to be a square matrix. It must have size $n$ by $n$. It can be any size, but it must have the same number of rows as columns. It's a general fact that you will see more in detail in linear algebra if you take it. Let's just admit it. The matrix M will be denoted by A inverse. Then, what is it good for? Well, for example, finding the solution to a linear system. What's a linear system in our new language? It's: a matrix times some unknown vector, X, equals some known vector, B. How do we solve that? We just compute: X equals A inverse B. Why does that work? How do I get from here to here? Let's be careful. (I'm aoina to reuse this matrix. but I'm aoina to erase it nonetheless and I'll iust
rewrite it). If $A X=B$, then let's multiply both sides by $A$ inverse. $A$ inverse times $A X$ is $A$ inverse $B$. And then, $A$ inverse times A is identity, so I get: X equals A inverse B. That's how I solved my system of equations. So, if you have a calculator that can invert matrices, then you can solve linear systems very quickly. Now, we should still learn how to compute these things. Yes? [Student Questions:]"How do you know that A inverse will be on the left of $B$ and not after it " Well, it's exactly this derivation. So, if you are not sure, then just reproduce this calculation. To get from here to here, what I did is I multiplied things on the left by A inverse, and then this guy simplify. If I had put A inverse on the right, I would have AX A inverse, which might not make sense, and even if it makes sense, it doesn't simplify. So, the basic rule is that you have to multiply by A inverse on the left so that it cancels with this A that's on the left. STUDENT QUESTION: "And if you put it on the left on this side then it will be on the left with B as well?" That's correct, in our usual way of dealing with matrices, where the vectors are column vectors. It's just something to remember: if you have a square matrix times a column vector, the product that makes sense is with the matrix on the left, and the vector on the right. The other one just doesn't work. You cannot take $X$ times A if A is a square matrix and $X$ is a column vector. This product $A X$ makes sense. The other one XA doesn't make sense. It's not the right size. OK. What we need to do is to learn how to invert a matrix. It's a useful thing to know, first for your general knowledge, and second because it's actually useful for things we'll see later in this class. In particular, on the exam, you will need to know how to invert a matrix by hand. This formula is actually good for small matrices, $3 \times 3,4 \times 4$. It's not good at all if you have a matrix of size $1,000 \times 1,000$. So, in computer software, actually for small matrices they do this, but for larger matrices, they use other algorithms. Let's just see how we do it. First of all I will give you the final answer. And of course I will need to explain what the answer means. We will have to compute something called the adjoint matrix. I will tell you how to do that. And then, we will divide by the determinant of $A$. How do we get to the adjoint matrix? Let's go through the steps on a $3 \times 3$ example -- the steps are the same no matter what the size is, but let's do $3 \times 3$. So, let's say that I'm giving you the matrix A -- let's say it's the same as the one that I erased earlier. That was the one relating our X's and our U's. The first thing I want to do is find something called the minors. What's a minor? It's a slightly smaller determinant. We've already seen them without calling them that way. The matrix of minors will have again the same size. Let's say we want this entry. Then, we just delete this row and this column, and we are left with a $2 x 2$ determinant. So, here, we'll put the determinant $4,5,1,2$, which is 4 times 2 : 8 -- minus 5 : 3 . Let's do the next one. So, for this entry, I'll delete this row and this column. I'm left with $2,5,1,2$. The determinant will be 2 times 2 minus 5 , which is negative 1 . Then minus 2, then I get to the second row, so I get to this entry. To find the minor here, I will delete this row and this column. And I'm left with 3,3, 1,2. 3 times 2 minus 3 is 3 . Let me just cheat and give you the others -- I think I've shown you that I can do them. Let's just explain the last one again. The last one is 2. To find the minor here, I delete this column and this row, and I take this determinant: 2 times 4 minus 2 times 3 . So it's the same kind of manipulation that we've seen when we've taken determinants and cross products. Step two: we go to another matrix that's called cofactors. So, the cofactors are pretty much the same thing as the minors except the signs are slightly different. What we do is that we flip signs according to a checkerboard diagram. You start with a plus in the upper left corner, and you alternate pluses and minuses. The rule is: if there is a plus somewhere, then there's a minus next to it and below it. And then, below a minus or to the right of a minus, there's a plus. So that's how it looks in size $3 \times 3$. What do I mean by that? I don't mean, make this positive, make this negative, and so on. That's not what I mean. What I mean is: if there's a plus, that means leave it alone -- we don't do anything to it. If there's a minus, that means we flip the sign. So, here, we'd get: 3 , then $1,-2,-3,1,1 \ldots 3,-4$, and 2 . OK, that step is pretty easy. The only hard step in terms of calculations is the first one because you have to compute all of these $2 \times 2$ determinants. By the way, this minus sign here is actually related to the way in which, when we do a cross product, we have a minus sign for the second entry. OK, we're almost done. The third step is to transpose. What does it mean to transpose? It means: you read the rows of your matrix and write them as columns, or vice versa. So we switch rows and columns. What do we get? Well, let's just read the matrix horizontally and write it vertically. We read 3,1, $-2: 3,1,-2$. Then we read $-33,1,1:-3,1,1$. Then, $3,-4,2: 3,-4,2$. That's pretty easy. We're almost done. What we get here is this is the adjoint matrix. So, the fourth and last step is to divide by the determinant of $A$. We have to compute the determinant -- the determinant of A , not the determinant of this guy. So: 2,3, 3,2, 4,5, 1,1, 2. I'll let you check my computation. I found that it's equal to 3 . So the final answer is that A inverse is one third of the matrix we got there: $|3,-3,3,1,1,-4,-2,1,2|$. Now, remember, A told us how to find the u's in terms of the x's. This tells us how to find $x$-s in terms of $u$-s: if you multiply $x 1, x 2, x 3$ by this you get $u 1, u 2, u 3$. It also tells you how to solve a linear system: A times $X$ equals something.

