An argument that Merge and Move are intermixed

"Reconstruction effects": Either --

	(i) BT applies to an element before it moves; or(ii) BT applies to the copy left behind by movement.
a.	$\Gamma(C)$ reconstruction effects for complements of N inside wh-phrases *[Which picture of John _i] does he _i think we like best?
b.	*[Which claim [$_{complement}$ that John $_i$ is a fool]] did he $_i$ resent most?
	osence of reconstruction effects for modifiers/adjuncts of N' inside wh -phrases [Which picture near John $_i$] does he $_i$ think we like best?
	[Which claim [$_{\text{rel. clause}}$ that John $_i$ overheard $_$]] did he $_i$ resent $_$ most?
c.	[Which book [$_{\text{rel. clause}}$ that John $_i$ bought $_$]] did he $_i$ like $_$ best?
Accou	Step 1: construct <i>which picture/claim/book</i> and merge with V. Step 2: build the rest of the CP and do <i>wh</i> -movement of <i>which picture/claim/book</i> . Step 3: now Merge the modifier/adjunct to the <i>wh</i> -moved DP. Note that the modifier/adjunct never appears in a position c-commanded by <i>he</i> !
Accou	Subcategorization properties must be satisfied immediately in the course of tree-building. Merge of the complement of <i>picture/claim</i> cannot be delayed until after <i>wh</i> -movement
Can you do overt Merge of an adjunct after covert movement?	
(3)	Extraposition a. We liked the pictures a lot near John's side of the table. b. Mary likes the book a lot that John bought.
Analys It look	sis: Covertly move the object up and to the right, then merge the adjunct! as like the adjunct moved on its own!
But:	Prediction — the phrase modified by the adjunct will have wide scope, because it covertly moved.
(4)	a. Mary wants to visit a city near Paris. [ambig: a particular city [wide scope] or any one will do [narrow scope]
	b. Mary wants to visit a city very badly near Paris. [reading?]
(5)	a. Mary needs a book that describes Canada. [ambig]
	b. Mary needed a book today that describes Canada. [reading?]