Verb movement

1. French: why is the verb not next to its complement?

[Answer: the verb moves.]

- (1) V Adv DO¹
 - a. Marie parle souvent français. Marie speaks often French 'Marie often speaks French.'
 - b. *Marie souvent parle français.
- (2) V Neg DO
 - a. Marie ne parle pas ____ français. Marie *ne* speaks not French 'Marie doesn't speak French.'
 - b. * Marie ne pas parle français.

Hypothesis:

- (3) Verb movement V moves to I (when I is [+finite])².
- (4) V does not move to I in an infinitival clause
 a. ...ne pas parler français...
 ne not speak French
 'to not speak French'
 - b. *...ne parler pas français...
- (5) Some verbs take a VP complement V' --> V VP
- (6) Subcategorization properties of [V, +Aux] Auxiliary verbs subcategorize for VP complements (more accurately, for V, the head of a VP complement): [+ __ VP]

- (7) It's the highest V that moves to I by rule (3)
 - a. Marie n'a pas ____ parlé français. Marie ne-has not spoken French 'Marie hasn't spoken French.'
 b. *Marie ne pas a parlé français.
- (8) **Head Movement Constraint** (Hypothesis due to Lisa Travis, McGill University) A head H may move to another head X, only if HP is the complement of X.

What structure is formed by verb-movement?

When a head H moves to another head X, H and X form a *word* (i.e. affixation gets done, even if X happens to be phonologically null)

e.g. the reuslt of V-movement to I is:

(9)V

[This term *adjunction* is often used to describe a structure in which X and Y are sisters, and the label of the mother node is Y, as in (9).

2. Irish: why does the verb precede the subject?

Why is the verb not only separated from its complement -- but actually to the left of the subject?

[Answer: The verb moves to I over the subject. The subject is in Spec, VP!]

(10) a. *Kissed Mary the leprechaun.*b. *Is Mary kissing the leprechaun.*

[Italics = Irish]

The Irish verb follows C, so it's not moving to C!

(11) Said I that kissed Mary the leprechaun.

Why does the subject follow the verb in T?

- --> The subject of a sentence actually starts as Spec, VP.
- --> In English, the subject <u>moves</u> from Spec,VP to Spec,IP.

--> In Irish, it does not.

So now we have a hypothesis about the missing "??" daughter of VP.

¹ DO = "direct object"

² "finite" = "not an infinitive", i.e. bears tense (present, past, future...)

	ENGLISH	IRISH	FRENCH
non-auxiliary verbs move to I?	-	+	+
subject moves to Spec,IP?	+	-	+

An argument that we might be on the right track. Some verbs in English subcategorize for a VP whose subject is unraised:³

"Bare VP complements" (12)

a. I saw Bill leave the room.

b. I made Bill leave the room.

[What makes us think that *Bill leave the room* is a VP and not an IP or CP?]

(13)"Small clauses" - AP and PP have subjects

a. I consider Bill very happy. b. I expect you by my side at 10:00 sharp!

Specifier: (14)

Phrases appear to contain a position for a non-modifier sister to a single-bar projection, which is sometimes filled by movement, and sometimes "just there". This position is generally unique (i.e. a phrase does not have more than one⁴) and is always higher than all modifiers (i.e. a daughter of the maximal projection). This position is called a **specifier**.

3. German: do we have any independent argument that V-movement exists?

How do we know that V-movement really exists?

In embedded declarative clauses, the finite verb comes last. But in a main-clause yes/no question, the finite verb comes first.

- (15) a. Marie denkt, dass, die Frauden Mann Mary-SUBJ thinks that the woman-NOM the man-OBJ sees 'Mary thinks that the woman sees the man.'
 - b. Sieht die Frau den Mann? sees the woman-SUBJ the man-OBJ 'Does the woman see the man?'

If the verb has a "separable prefix", the prefix is in last position even when the verb comes first.

sieht.

(16) a. Marie denkt, dass der Mann das Licht an-macht. Mary-SUBJ thinks that the man-SUBJ the light-OBJ on-makes 'Mary thinks that the woman is turning on the light.'

b. Macht die Frau das Licht an?

(17)a. ... dass die Frau den Brief auf-gibt. ... that the woman-SUBJ the letter-OBJ up-gives '...that the woman is mailing the letter.'

b. Gibt die Frau den Brief auf?

- (18) a. Müssen wir das Licht an-machen? must we the light on-make
 - b. Hat die Frau den Brief auf-gegeben? has the woman-SUBJ the letter-OBJ up-given?

What is going on?!

(19)Main-clause declarative sentences show "Verb-second" order

a. Den Mann sieht die Frau. the man-OBJ sees the woman-SUBJ 'The woman sees the man.'

b. Jetzt sieht die Frau den Mann. now sees the woman-SUBJ the man-OBJ 'Now the woman sees the man

³ We will learn a lot more about subject raising very soon.

⁴ This is not quite accurate, as we will see towards the very end of the course.

- (20) a. Das Licht macht der Man an.b. Den Brief gibt die Frau auf.
- (21) a. Das Licht müssen wir an-machen.b. Jetzt müssen wir das Licht an-machen.

Assume: The phrase that precedes the verb is topicalized or focused.⁵ Assume: A rule moves the Topicalized/Focused phrase.

Where is the verb?

- (22) a. Hans sagte, er sei glücklich. Hans said he is happy
 - b. Hans sagte, <u>dass</u> er glücklich ist. Hans said that he happy is

[Condition: limited class of higher verbs, embedded clause is in the "subjunctive mood".]

- (23)a. Er benahm sich, als habe er noch nichts gegessen. he behaved himself, as had he yet nothing eaten 'He behaved as if he had eaten nothing yet.'
 - b. Er benahm sich, als <u>ob</u> er noch nichts gegessen habe he behaved himself, as if he yet nothing eaten had]

What is going on?