1.206J/16.77J/ESD.215J Airline Schedule Planning

> Cynthia Barnhart Spring 2003

1.206J/16.77J/ESD.215J The Passenger Mix Problem

<u>Outline</u>

- Definitions
- Formulations
- Column and Row Generation
- -Solution Approach
- Results
- Applications and Extensions

Some Basic Definitions

- Market
 - An origin-destination airport pair, between which passengers wish to fly one-way
 - BOS-ORD and ORD-BOS are different
- Itinerary
 - A specific sequence of flight legs on which a passenger travels from their ultimate origin to their ultimate destination
- Fare Classes
 - Different prices for the same travel service, usually distinguished from one another by the set of restrictions imposed by the airlines

Some More Definitions

• Spill

Passengers that are denied booking due to capacity restrictions

• Recapture

 Passengers that are recaptured back to the airline after being spilled from another flight leg

Problem Description

- Given
 - An airline's flight schedule
 - The unconstrained demand for all itineraries over the airline's flight schedule
- Objective
 - Maximize revenues by intelligently spilling passengers that are either low fare or will most likely fly another itinerary (recapture)
 - Equivalent to minimize the total spill costs

Example

- One market, 3 itineraries
- Unconstrained demand per itinerary
 - Total demand for an itinerary when the number of seats is unlimited



Example with Capacity Constraints

- One market, 3 itineraries
 Capacity on itinerary I = 150
 - Capacity on itinerary J = 175
 - Capacity on itinerary K = 130
- Optimal solution:
 - Spill _____ from I
 - Spill <u>20</u> from J



Revenue Management: A Quick Look

- One flight leg
 - Flight 105, LGA-ORD, 287 seats available
- Two fare classes:
 - Y: High fare, no restrictions
 - M: Low fare, many restrictions
- Demand for Flight 105
 - Y class: 95 with an average fare of \$400
 - M class: 225 with an average fare of \$100
 - Optimal Spill Solution (**J**Y and **B**M passengers)
 - Revenue: \$ 95*400 + 192*100
 - Spill: \$ 33*100

Network Revenue Management

Two Flights

- Flight 105, LGA-ORD, 287 seats
- Flight 201, ORD-SFO, 287 seats
- Demand (one fare class)
 - LGA-ORD, 225 passengers \$100
 - ORD-SFO, 150 passengers \$150
 - LGA-SFO, 150 passengers, \$225
- Optimal Solution: \$ 15110+151151+137225
 - LGA-ORD, El passengers
 - ORD-SFO, **F** passengers
 - LGA-SFO, 137 passengers

Quantitative Share Index or Quality of Service Index (QSI): Definition

- Quantitative Share Index or Quality of Service Index (QSI)
 - There is a QSI for each itinerary *i* in each market *m* for each airline *a*, denoted $QSI_{i(m)}^{a}$
 - The sum of QSI_{i(m)}^a over all itineraries *i* in a market *m* over all airlines *a* is equal to 1, for all markets *m*

Market Share

- The market share of airline *a* in market *m* is the sum of $QSI_{i(m)}^{a}$ over all itineraries *i* in market *m*
- The market share of the competitors of airline *a* in market *m* is $1 - (\text{the sum of } QSI_{i(m)}^{a})$ over all itineraries *i* in market *m*)
 - Denote this as msc_m^{a}

Recapture

- Consider a passenger who desires itinerary *I* but is redirected (spilled) to itinerary *J*
 - The passenger has the choice of accepting *J* or not (going to a competitor)
 - Probability that passenger will accept J (given an uniform distribution) is the ratio of $QSI_{I(m)}^{a}$ to $(QSI_{I(m)}^{a} + msc_{m}^{a})$
- Probability that passenger will NOT accept J (given an uniform distribution) is the ratio of msc_m^a to $(QSI_{I(m)}^a + msc_m^a)$
 - The ratios sum to 1
 - If *a* is a monopoly, recapture rate will equal 1.0

Recapture Calculation

Recapture rates for airline *a*:
 - *b*^J: probability that a passenger spilled from I will accept a seat on J, <u>if one exists</u>

– QSI mechanism for computing recapture rates

$$b_r^p = \frac{QSI_p^a}{msc_m^a + QSI_p^a}$$

Example with Recapture

- Recapture rates:
 - $b_I^{J} = 0.4, b_I^{k} = 0.1$
 - $b_I^{I} = 0.5, b_I^{K} = 0.1$
 - $b_K^{I} = 0.5, b_K^{I} = 0.4$
- Assume all itineraries have a single fare class, and their fares are all equal
- Optimal solution:



Mathematical Model: Notation

• Decision variables

 x_{p}^{r} - the number of passengers that desire travel on itinerary p and then travel on itinerary r

• Parameters and Data

- *fare* $_{p}$ the average fare for itinerary p
- D_{p} the daily unconstrained demand for itinerary p
- CAP_i the capacity on flight leg i
 - b_p^r the recapture rate of a passenger desiring itinerary p who is offered itinerary r

$$\delta_i^p =$$

otherwise

Basic Formulation

Maximize $\sum \sum fare_r x_p^r$ $p \in P r \in P$

subject to :

 $\sum \ \sum \ \delta_i^r x_p^r \le CAP_i \quad \forall i \in L$ $p \in P r \in P$ $\sum_{r \in P} x_p^r / b_p^r \le D_p$ $\forall p \in P$ $\forall p, r \in P$ $x_n^r \ge 0$

Column Generation



Barnhart 1.206J/16.77J/ESD.215J

Row Generation



Column and Row Generation



12/10/2003

Barnhart 1.206J/16.77J/ESD.215J

Column and Row Generation: Constraint Matrix



20

The Keypath Concept

- Assume most passengers flow along their desired itinerary
- Focus on which passengers the airline would like to redirect on other itineraries
- New decision variable



- The number of passengers who desire travel on itinerary *p*, but the airline attempts to redirect onto the itinerary *r*
- New Data
 - Q_i The unconstrained demand on flight leg *i*

$$Q_i = \sum_{p \in P} \delta_i^p D_p$$

12/10/2003

The Keypath Formulation

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{Min} & \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{r \in P} \left(fare_{-p} - b_{p}^{r} fare_{-r} \right) t_{p}^{r} \\ \text{s.t.} & : \\ & \sum_{r \in P} \sum_{p \in P} \delta_{i}^{p} t_{p}^{r} - \sum_{r \in P} \sum_{p \in P} \delta_{i}^{p} b_{p}^{p} t_{r}^{p} \\ & \geq Q_{i} - CAP_{i} & \forall i \in L \\ & \sum_{r \in P} t_{p}^{r} \leq D_{p} & \forall p \in P \\ & t_{p}^{r} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{Change \ of \ variable}{Relationship} x_p^p = D_p - \sum_{r \neq p} t_p^r$$
$$x_p^r = b_p^r t_p^r$$

Barnhart 1.206J/16.77J/ESD.215J

The Benefit of the Keypath Concept

- We are now minimizing the objective function and most of the objective coefficients are ________. Therefore, this will guide the decision variables to values of
- How does this help?

Solution Procedure

- Use Both Column Generation and Row Generation
- Actual flow of problem
 - <u>Step 1- Define RMP for Iteration 1</u>: Set k = 1. Denote an initial subset of columns (A₁) which is to be used.
 - <u>Step 2- Solve RMP for Iteration k</u>: Solve a problem with the subset of columns A_k .
 - <u>Step 3- Generate Rows</u>: Determine if any constraints are violated and express them explicitly in the constraint matrix.
 - <u>Step 4- Generate Columns</u>: Price some of the remaining columns, and add a group (A*) that have a reduced cost less than zero, i.e., $A_{k+1} = [A_k | A^*]$
 - <u>Step 5- Test Optimality</u>: If no columns or rows are added, terminate. Otherwise, k = k+1, go to Step 2

Column Generation

- There are a large number of variables
 n_m is the number of itineraries in market m
 Most of them aren't going to be considered
- Generate columns by explicit enumeration and "pricing out" of variables

Computing Reduced Costs

• The reduced cost of a column is

$$\overline{c_p}^r = fare_p - \sum_{i \in p} \pi_i - b_p^r \left(fare_r - \sum_{j \in r} \pi_j \right) + \sigma_p$$

where π_i is the non-negative dual cost associated with flight leg *i* and σ_p is the nonnegative dual cost associated with itinerary *p*

Solving the Pricing Problem (Column Generation)

- Can the column generation step be accomplished by solving shortest paths on a network with "modified" arc costs, or some other polynomial time algorithm?
 - Hint: Think about fare structure
 - What are the implications of the answer to this question?

Computational Experience

- Current United Data
 - Number of Markets -15,678
 - Number of Itineraries 60,215
 - Maximum number of legs in an itinerary- 3
 - Maximum number of itineraries in a market- 66
 - Flight network (# of flights)- 2,037
- Using CPLEX, we solved the above problem in roughly 100 seconds, generating just over 100,000 columns and 4,100+ rows.

Applications: Irregular Operations

- When flights are cancelled or delayed
 - Passenger itineraries are cancelled
 - Passenger reassignments to alternative itineraries necessary
 - Flight schedule and fleet assignments (capacity) are known
 - Objective might be to minimize total delays or to minimize the maximum delay beyond schedule
- How are recapture rates affected by this scenario?
- How would the passenger-mix model have to be altered for this scenario?

Extensions: Yield Management

- Can the passenger mix problem be used as a tool for yield management?
- What are the issues?
 - Deterministic vs. stochastic
 - Sequence of requests
 - Small demands (i.e., quality of data)
- Advantages
 - Shows the expected makeup of seat allocation
 - Takes into account the probability of recapturing spilled passengers
 - Gives ideas of itineraries that should be blocked
 - Dual prices might give us ideas for contributions, or displacement costs

Extensions: Fleet Assignment

• To be explained in the next lectures...