Lecture 23 - Sludge

Types of sludge =

Primary sludge - organic solids, grit, inorganic fines
gray, greasy, odorous slurry
includes ~1% tank skimmings (scum)
solids conc. ~ 4-6%
VSS ~ 60 to 80%

Waste activated sludge - active microbial mass

(secondary sludge) dark brown suspension

inoffensive at first, can rapidly

become odorous

solids conc v 0.5-1.5%

VSS - 70 to 80%

Trickling filter sludge - similar to waste activated sludge (humus) solids conc - 4-590

Anaerobically digested sludge - dark brown, thick slurry
smells like garden soil

VSS ~ 30-60% (VSS is

consumed by digestion)

solids conc. ~ 3 to 12%

Aerobically digested sludge - dark brown

more difficult to process

than anaerobically digested

sludge due to flocculent

nature

solids conc ~ 1-270

Mechanically dewatered sludge - consistency of wet mud

to chunky solid

solids conc 15 to 4070, resp.

Biosolids - processed solids suitable for beneficial use

Estimation of solids production

Ws = Wsp + Wss

Ws = total dry solids [M/T]

Wap = raw primary solids [M/T]

Wss = raw secondary solids [M/T]

Wsp = f . 55 . Q

f = fraction of suspended solids removed in primary settling

f & 0.4 to 0.6 - use 0.5 for domestic wastewater

95 = suspended solids conc. in wastewater [M/13]

a = flow rate [L3/T]

Was = K - BOD - Q

K = fraction of influent BOD that becomes


excess blomass

K = 0.3 to 0.5 (for F/M = 0.05 to 0.5 kg BOD

Kg MWSS-d

(see Fig 13.1 in V&H)

Sludge processing typically entails multiple steps =



Note: thickening may also occur after blending primary and secondary sludge

Theory of solids separation (applies to secondary clarifier and sludge gravity thickener)


Biological solids are difficult to separate from wastewater for multiple reasons:

Water is adsorbed onto particles
Water is contained within individual cells

Primary sludge has larger particles and is easier to separate

Biological sludge has fine colloidal particles, biological material that is mostly water, flocs with entrapped water - difficult to separate

settling basin at steady state



Basin is idealized as consisting of two zones

Clarification zone - individual particles settle
from wastewater (Type 1 and
Type 2 settling)

Thickening zone - Abrupt Increase in solids
concentration - zone and
compression settling (Type 3 ? 4)

In danification zone, solids are not in contact

In thickening zone, particles are in solid-solid contact,

settling requires compression of solids and


expeling entrapped water

With zone settling, settling rate is presumed to be a function of concentration only

Settling rate is determined by placing a sludge of conc c in a graduated cylinder and timing the rate at which the liquids-solids interface falls

Results are plotted as curve of solids flux vs. solids conc:

Solids flux = CV C = solids conc. V = velocity of interface velocity



In addition to solids flux due to settling, there is also a flux due to sludge withdrawal at tank bottom

Gludge withdrawal leads to downward velocity


$$u = \frac{Qu}{A}$$

A = tank surface area [L^2] Qu = sludge withdrawal ("underflow") [L^3/T]

21 = downward velocity due to sludge withdrawal [L/T]

Total solids flux, G [M/L2T]

G = CV + CU



Within thickener C is actually changing from low C above sludge blanket to higher C within

C will thus vary along the total flux curve and G will be a minimum, Gt, when C=Ct

This is the limiting flux and is the maximum flux that can be maintained by clarifier

Figure 8-36 from Mil E (pg 7) shows Extend the horizontal line at G, to the underflow flux line to identify the corresponding value of Cu At steady-state (Q+Qu)Cin = QuCu = GA A = area of tank Define Om = Q + Qu assume tank is operating (properly) at Gi A = QinCin gives minimum surface area for Note that Qu is an operating parameter: to handle larger QinCin for given A, Gi can be raised by increasing u and lower part of solids flux curve (underflow) flux What happens if QinCin exceeds GL?

At some point down in tank C will have increased in value from Cin to Co

Maximum flux through that level of the tank (assuming no change in Qu) is Gu

Excess flux will build up, increasing C, and moving elevation of CL upwards

Sludge blanket will thicken (and overtop tank if continued long enough)




Figure by MIT OCW.

Adapted from: G. Tchobanoglous, F. L. Burton, and H. D. Stensel. *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse*. 4th ed. Metcalf & Eddy Inc., New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 2003, p. 823.

Sludge thickening

Gravity thickening - see Figure 21.1 from
Reynolds and Richards on pg 9

Most common thickening method

Pickets rake sludge, breaking up sludge and releasing water

Activated sludge is thickened from about 190 to 390 Primary-secondary mixture from about 490 to 890

Thickened sludge is withdrawn at bottom, clarified supernatant withdrawn at top and returned to the primary darifier

Operating criterion solids applied per unit bottom area Primary: 98 kg/m²·day Primary plus AST: 39 kg/m²·day

Thickeners should recover 90-9570 of solids

good sludge blanket a 1 m deep - ensures good sludge compaction (equates 0 = 24 hrs)

Dissolved air flotation - see Fig 13.10 from V+H, pg. 10

Most applicable to solids near neutral buoyancy - e.g. activated sludge

Air is dissolved into wastewater (usually only a fraction of the flow) under high pressure

when wastewater enters the tank, air comes out of solution, often with particles acting as condensation nucleii




Figure by MIT OCW.




Figure by MIT OCW.

Adapted from: Reynolds, T. D., and P. A. Richards. *Unit Operations and Processes in Environmental Engineering*. 2nd ed. Boston, MA: PWS Publishing Company,1996, p. 631.




Figure by MIT OCW.

Adpated from: Viessman, W., Jr., and M. J. Hammer. *Water Supply and Pollution Control.* 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc., 2005, p. 678.

Air bubbles attached to solids float to surface, where solids are skimmed off into overflow weir

DAF is simply gravity settling in reverse - same mechanisms, theory apply

Thickened solids are 3 to 670 solids

Technology is not recommended for primary sludge or trickling filter humus - gravity thickening is much more effective

Typical loading 360 kg/m²-hr (lower area requirement than gravity thickeners) if polymers added as flotation aids

Solids removal = 90 to 9890 with polymers

Centrifugal thickening (see M& E Fig 14-13, pg 12)

Sludge is centrifuged to concentrate solids

High energy and maintenance costs, used only when space is limited

Gravity belt thickening (see pg 13)

Sludge is treated with polymer flocculants, then conveyed on continuous porous belt




Figure by MIT OCW. Adapted from: G. Tchobanoglous, F. L. Burton, and H. D. Stensel. *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse*. 4th ed. Metcalf & Eddy Inc., New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 2003, p. 1469.

Gravabelt





12 Holland Av 908-234-1000 800-225-5457 Peapack, NJ 07977-0257 Fax: 908-234-9487 info@komline.com www.komline.com

Gravity belt thickening (con't)

Key aspect is addition of polymer in flocculation well (see Fig 14.8 from Water Environment Federation, 2003, Wastewater Treatment Plant Design)

Cationic polymers promote flocculation of solids, freeing of water, which drains through porous belt

vanes and guides distribute sludge across
the belt at the start and then "plows"
turn it and redistribute it along the way

Finally, solids collect some at the end of belt and roll backward, increasing retention time and mixing, releasing additional water

Solids sticking to the belt are scrapped off and the belt spray washed on the underside

wash water is collected and returned back to treatment

Typical performance	Solids C	tonc.(90)
	Start	Final
Primary sludge -	2-5	8-12
Secondary	0.4-1.5	4-6
50-50 Primary-Second.	1-2.5	6-8




Figure by MIT OCW.

Adapted from: WEF. "Wastewater Treatment Plant Design. Water Environment Federation." Alexandria, Virginia, 2003.