Cities & transportation systems – what can cities do? MIT 11.165/477, 11.286J

David Hsu Associate Professor Urban Studies & Planning MIT DUSP

September 29, 2022

Materials for today

- Robert Bullard. Addressing Urban Transportation Equity in the United States. Fordham Urban Law Journal, 31(5):1183, January 2003. URL.
- Tim Gore. Confronting carbon inequality: putting climate justice at the heart of the COVID-19 recovery, September 2020. URL.
- Colin McKerracher, Aleksandra O'Donovan, Nikolas Soulopoulos, Andrew Grant, Siyi Mi, David Doherty, Ryan Fisher, Corey Cantor, Jinghong Lyu, Kwasi Ampofo, Andy Leach, Yayoi Sekine, Laura Malo Yague, William Edmonds, Komal Kareer, and Takehiro Kawahara. Electric Vehicle Outlook 2022. Technical report, Bloomberg New Energy Finance, 2022. URL.
- THIS LECTURE: Cambridge Systematics. "Moving Cooler: An Analysis of Transportation Strategies for Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions." Urban Land Institute. 2009. URL.

What can cities do?

Let me remind you that we are focusing on cities because of:

- population
- economic activity
- consumption > production (physical)

Raises questions about how we make the necessary changes in cities:

- land use and the built environment
- areas connected to cities (all of them)
- long-lived, durable, seemingly slow to change
- can we do it fast / broadly enough? WHO? HOW?
- what should we do first?

Infrastructure characteristics

BIG:

- long-lived, durable, seemingly slow to change
- expensive: assets, investment, usually debt-financed
- large volumes, continuous operations, global systems (supply chains!)
- large footprints, areas, impact
- EJ concerns: often cited near poor & minority communities. Why? (Bullard 2003 article)

Energy density

-

Concern	Metric	Critical application
Weight	kWh / kg (energy storage) kWh / ton (shipping weight)	Planes, cars, e-bikes Ships, trucks, trains
Volume	kWh / liter (fuel tank) kWh / liter (freight volume)	Planes, cars, trucks Ships, trucks, trains
Land area	kWh / acre (biomass) kWh / acre (solar, wind)	To produce liquid fuels, H2 To produce electricity
Cost	kWh / \$	For pretty much everything

Moving Cooler strategies, 2009

ANALYSIS OF TRANSPORTA

mbridge Systematics,

STRATEGIES FOR REDUCING

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS



Cambridge Systematics, Inc.

Combinding Suptamatics, Inc., appendixes in transportations, deficited to ensuring that is supportation anyonements after the test passible results. In a receptived as a tables in the development and employmentation of monotiny page and parents guidences, depictions analysis, and technology applications. Candida give Spatiances, water to back the page of the transportation community to understand and admiss both tables of the Cohart of charge to their page to induce to interpret entry. A second interpret entry. The second interpret entry.

Moving Cooler Steering Committee

American Public Transportation Association Ris Pagetor

Environmental Defense Fund Hichael Repiegle

Federal Highway Administration April Manchese and John Davies

Federal Transit Administration

Intelligent Transportation Society of America

Natural Resources Defense Council Deron Losses and Northan Sandwick

Shell Oil Company Mirtam Conter

Urban Land Institute Robert Durphy, Rachella Lavit, and Dean Schwanie

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Kin Adler

Project Facilitation

Collaborative Strategies Group, LLC

Ealizationaries Strategies Group, LLC, is a consulting firm specializing in facilitation, public subreach and communication, project management, and public policy development for generiments, locationsee, communities, and urgentizations that need help navigating complex problem-sabling, decision-making, and relationship-shuffing insizes.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

David Hsu (MIT)

Urban Land

Institut

Transportation – what can cities do?

September 29, 2022 6 / 36

Moving Cooler strategies, 2009

Has:

- telework & less days (Zoom!)
- vehicle technology
- fuel technology
- travel activity
- vehicle system operations
- all modes: passenger, transit, freight, shipping, aviation

Does not have:

- shared vehicles (Zipcar 2000)
- ride-sharing (Uber 2009, Lyft 2012)
- autonomous vehicles (AVs)
- EVs costs greatly decrease
- bike sharing (Amsterdam 1965, Portland 1995, dockless 1998)

Moving Cooler effects, "performance outcomes"

Yes:

- GHG reduction
- implementation costs
- change in vehicle costs
- equity effects

No:

- (-) travel times?
- (+) expanded options, reduced congestion, greater accessibility
- (+) improved safety
- (+) improvements in livability, improved equity, improved local environmental quality, enhanced public health

Moving Cooler strategies

- pricing and taxes
- Iand use and smart growth
- non-motorized transport
- public transportation improvements
- ride-sharing, car-sharing, other commuting strategies
- regulatory strategies
- operational and intelligent transportation (ITS) strategies
- capacity expansion and bottleneck relief
- multimodal freight sector strategies

Moving Cooler deployment levels and bundles

Deployment levels:

- expanded current practice: focused mostly on major metro areas
- aggressive: sooner and more broadly geographically deployed
- maximum effort: maximum national, regional, and local focus

Strategy bundles:

- near-term / early results
- Iong-term / maximum results
- Iand-use / transit / non-motorized bundle
- system / driver efficiency bundle
- facility bundle
- Iow cost bundle



Figure ES.2 Moving Cooler National GHG Emissions Baseline and Baseline Sensitivity

Note: This figure displays National On-Road GHG emissions as estimated in the *Moving Cooler* baseline, compared with the study's three sensitivity analysis baselines and with the GHG emission estimates, based on President Obama's May 19, 2009, national fuel efficiency standard proposal of 35.5 mpg in 2016.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/</u>.

David Hsu (MIT)



Figure ES.3 Range of Annual GHG Emission Reductions of Six Strategy Bundles at Aggressive and Maximum **Deployment Levels**

2020 Note: This figure displays the GHG emission range across the six bundles for the aggressive and maximum deployment scenarios. The percent reductions are on an annual basis from the study baseline. The 1990 and 2005 baselines are included for reference.

1990 and 2005 GHG Emissions—Combination of DOE AEO data and EPA GHG Inventory data.

Study Baseline—Annual 1.4% VMT growth combined with 1.9% growth in fuel economy. Aggressive Range-Range of GHG emissions from bundles deployed at aggressive level.

Maximum Range—Range of GHG emissions from bundles deployed at maximum level.

2010

Maximum deployment: 110-470 M barrels per year / 5.7 M/day = 19-82 days per year.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

2030

2040

2050

Sector

Total Surface Transportation

1,100 1,000

300

200

100 0 1990

1990

2000

Table 4.15 Summary of Moving Cooler Bundle Analysis Results: Cumulative GHG Reductions, Implementation Costs, and Change in Vehicle Costs by Strategy (at Aggressive and Maximum Deployment Levels) 2010 to 2050

	Aggressive Deployment				Maximum Deployment					
		Included Costs			Included Costs					
	GHG Reduction (Gt)	Implementation Costs ^a	Change in Vehicle Costs ^b	Imp. Costs Less Vehicle Costs	Net Cost per Tonne ^c	GHG Reduction (Gt)	Implementation Costs ^a	Change in Vehicle Costs ^b	Imp. Costs Less Vehicle Costs	Net Cost per Tonne ^c
1. Near-Term/Early Results	7.1	\$676	-\$3,211	-\$2,535	-\$356	9.3	\$945	-\$4,779	-\$3,834	-\$410
2. Long-Term/ Maximum Results	7.6	\$2,611	-\$4,846	-\$2,235	-\$293	10.8	\$5,105	-\$7,668	-\$2,563	-\$237
3. Land Use/Transit/ Nonmotorized Transportation	3.8	\$1,439	-\$3,270	-\$1,831	-\$484	6.3	\$2,390	-\$5,740	-\$3,350	-\$531
4. System and Driver Efficiency	5.0	\$1,870	-\$2,214	-\$344	-\$69	6.0	\$3,338	-\$2,737	-\$601	\$100
5. Facility Pricing	1.4	\$2,371	-\$1,121	\$1,250	\$891	1.7	\$4,484	-\$1,656	\$2,828	\$1,632
6. Low Cost	7.5	\$599	-\$3,499	-\$2,900	-\$387	9.8	\$634	-\$5,103	-\$4,469	-\$457

Note: Gt (gigatonne) = one billion metric tonnes.

- ^a Implementation cost is the estimated cumulative cost to implement each bundle, including capital, maintenance, operations, and administrative costs.
- ^b Vehicle cost is the estimated cumulative reduction in the cost of owning and operating vehicles from a societal perspective, which would result with reductions in VMT and fuel consumption experienced with implementation of each bundle. Vehicle costs DO NOT include other costs and benefits that could be experienced as a consequence of implementing each bundle, such as changes in travel time, safety, user fees, environmental quality, and public health.
- ^c Included cost per tonne is simply the estimated cumulative cost of implementation, less the estimated vehicle cost savings divided by the estimated cumulative reduction in GHG emissions for each bundle.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/</u>.

David Hsu (MIT)

Transportation – what can cities do?

Figure 4.2 Implementation Costs and Vehicle Cost Savings for Near-Term/Early Results Bundle at Aggressive Deployment



Note: This figure displays estimated annual implementation costs [capital, maintenance, operations, and administrative] and annual vehicle cost savings [reduction in the cost of owning and operating a vehicle from reduced VMT and delay]. Vehicle cost savings **D0 N0T** include other costs and benefits that could be experienced as a consequence of implementing each bundle, such as changes in travel time, safety, user fees, environmental quality, and public health.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/help/fag-fair-use/</u>.

David Hsu (MIT)

Transportation – what can cities do?



Table 4.3 Bundle 1: Near-Term/Early Results

GHG Reduction Strategies	
Pricing Strategies	
CBD/Activity Center On-street Parking Pr	icing
New Tax/Higher Tax on Free Private Parki	ing
Residential Parking Permits	
Congestion Pricing	
Public Transportation Strategies	
Transit Fare Measures	
Increased Transit Frequency and LOS	
HOV/Carpool/Vanpool/Commute Strateg	jies
Car-Sharing	
Employer-Based Commute Measures	
Regulatory Strategies	
Urban Parking Restrictions	
Speed Limit Reductions	
Systems Operations and Management St	trategies
Eco-Driving	
Incident Management	
Road Weather Management	
Signal Control Management	
Traveler Information	
Multimodal Freight Strategies	
Shipping Container Permits	
LCV Permits	
Truck Stop Electrification	

Deployment for the 2010 to 2050 time period without economy-wide pricing. Percent reductions are on a from the study baseline.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

David Hsu (MIT)

September 29, 2022 15 / 36 Figure 4.4 Implementation Costs and Vehicle Cost Savings for Long-Term/Maximum Results Bundle at Aggressive Deployment



Note: This figure displays estimated annual implementation costs (capital, maintenance, operations, and administrative) and annual vehicle cost savings [reduction in the costs of owning and operating a vehicle from reduced VMT and delay). Vehicle cost savings **DO NOT** include other costs and benefits that could be experienced as a consequence of implementing each bundle, such as changes in travel time, safety, user fees, environmental quality, and public health.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/</u>.

David Hsu (MIT)

Transportation – what can cities do?



Figure 4.3 GHG Reduction for Long-Term/Maximum Results Bundle

Note: This figure displays the GHG Reduction for Long-Term/Maximum Results Bundle at Aggressive and Maximum Deployment for the 2010 to 2050 time period without economy-wide pricing. Percent reductions are on an annual basis from the study baseline.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/fag-fair-use/.

GHG Reduction Strategies Pricing Strategies Congestion Pricing Intercity Tolls Land Use and Smart Growth Strategies/Nonmotorized Strategies **Public Transportation Strategies** Transit Fare Measures Increased Frequency, LDS, and Extent Urban Transit Expansion Intercity Passenger Rail Expansion High-Speed Passenger Rail HOV/Carpool/Vanpool/Commuting Strategies HOV Lanes [24-hour applicability] Employer-Based Commute Measures **Regulatory Strategies** Urban Nonmotorized Zones Urban Parking Restrictions Speed Limit Reductions Systems Operations and Management Strategies Freeway Management: Ramp Metering, VMS. Active Traffic Management, and Integrated Corridor Management Incident Management Road Weather Management Signal Management Vehicle Infrastructure Integration INII Highway Capacity Expansion **Multimodal Freight Strategies** Rail Capacity Improvements Shipping Container Permits LCV Permits WIM Screening Weigh Station Bypass Truck-Only Toll Lanes Urban Consolidation Centers

Table 4.5 Bundle 2: Long-Term/Maximum Results

Figure 4.4 Implementation Costs and Vehicle Cost Savings for Long-Term/Maximum Results Bundle at Aggressive Deployment



Note: This figure displays estimated annual implementation costs [capital, maintenance, operations, and administrative] and annual vehicle cost savings [reduction in the costs of owning and operating a vehicle from reduced VMT and delay]. Vehicle cost savings **DO NOT** include other costs and benefits that could be experienced as a consequence of implementing each bundle, such as changes in travel time, safety, user fees, environmental quality, and public health.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/</u>.

David Hsu (MIT)

Transportation – what can cities do?



Figure 4.3 GHG Reduction for Long-Term/Maximum Results Bundle

Note: This figure displays the GHG Reduction for Long-Term/Maximum Results Bundle at Aggressive and Maximum Deployment for the 2010 to 2050 time period without economy-wide pricing. Percent reductions are on an annual basis from the study baseline.

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

David Hsu (MIT)

Table 4.5 Bundle 2: Long-Term/Maximum Results

OND REDUCT	ion strategies
Pricing Stra	togies
CBO/Activity	Center On-street Parking Pricing
New Tax/Hig	pher Tax on Free Private Parking
Residential	Parking Permits
Congestion	Pricing
Intercity Toll	5
Land Use an Strategies	nd Smart Growth Strategies/Nonmotorize
Combined L	and Use
Combined P	edestrian
Combined B	licycling
Public Trans	sportation Strategies
Transit Fare	Measures
Increased Fi	requency, LOS, and Extent
Urban Trans	at Expansion
Intercity Pas	senger Rail Expansion
High-Speed	Passenger Rail
HOV/Carpor	ol/Vanpool/Commuting Strategies
HOV Lanes	
HOV Lanes	24-hour applicability]
Car-Sharing	
Employer-B	ased Commute Measures
Regulatory	Strategies
Urban Nonn	notorized Zones
Urban Parki	ng Restrictions
Speed Limit	Reductions
Systems Op	erations and Management Strategies
Eco-driving	
Freeway Ma Active Traffic Management	nagement: Ramp Metering, VMS, c Management, and Integrated Corridor st
Incident Mar	nagement
Road Weath	er Management
Signal Mana	gement
Traveler Info	ormation
Vehicle Infra	structure Integration MII
Bottleneck R	Relief
Highway Ca	pacity Expansion
Multimodal	Freight Strategies
Rail Capacit	y improvements.
Marine Syst	em Improvements
Shipping Co	ntainer Permits
LCV Permits	
WIM Screen	ing
Weigh Static	in Bypass
Truck Stop 8	Electrification
Truck-Only	foll Lanes
Hickory Cone	Substance Cantan



Figure 4.6 Implementation Costs and Vehicle Cost Savings for Land Use/Transit/

2025

2020

Note: This figure displays estimated annual implementation costs (capital, maintenance, operations, and administrative) and annual vehicle cost savings (reduction in the cost of owning and operating a vehicle from reduced VMT and delay). Vehicle cost savings DO NOT include other costs and benefits that could be experienced as a consequence of implementing each bundle, such as changes in travel time, safety, user fees, environmental quality, and public health.

2030

2035

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

David Hsu (MIT)

2015

2045

2040

2050



Figure 4.5 GHG Reduction for Land Use/Transit/Nonmotorized Transportation Bundle 2010 to 2050

Note: This figure displays the GHG Reduction for Land Use/Transit/Nonmotorized Transportation Bundle at Aggressive and Maximum Deployment for the 2010 to 2050 time period without economy-wide pricing. Percent reductions are on an annual basis from the study baseline.

Table 4.7 Bundle 3: Land Use/Transit/ Nonmotorized Transportation

GHG Redu	rction Strategies
Pricing St	rategies
CBD/Activ	ity Center On-Street Parking Pricing
New Tax/	Higher Tax on Free Private Parking
Residentia	al Parking Permits
Congestio	n Pricing
Land Use Strategie:	and Smart Growth Strategies/Nonmotorized s
Combined	I Land Use
Combined	l Pedestrian
Combined	Bicycling
Public Tra	Insportation Strategies
Transit Fa	re Measures
Increased	Frequency, LOS, and Extent
Urban Tra	nsit Expansion
Intercity P	assenger Rail Expansion
High-Spe	ed Passenger Rail
HOV/Carp	ool/Vanpool/Commuting Strategies
HOV Lane	5
HOV Lane	s (24-hour applicability)
Car-Shari	ng
Employer	-Based Commute Measures
Regulator	ry Strategies
Urban No	nmotorized Zones
Urban Par	rking Restrictions
Systems (Operations and Management Strategies
Signal Ma	nagement
Traveler In	nformation
Multimod	al Freight Strategies
Linhan Cou	analidation Contant

© Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/</u>.

David Hsu (MIT)

Transportation – what can cities do?

September 29, 2022 21 / 36

U.S. MID-RANGE ABATEMENT CURVE - 2030



Source: McKinsey analysis

© McKinsey & Co. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/.

David Hsu (MIT)

Transportation – what can cities do?

September 29, 2022 22

Abatement

Quick data analysis: by maximum GHG savings

> # summarize net GHG reductions > dat %>% group_by(Category) %>% + summarise(Exp = sum(Exp.GHG.red), Agg = sum(Agg.GHG.red), Max = sum(Max + arrange(desc(Max)) + $# \Delta + ibble: 9 \times 4$ Category Agg Max Exp <fct> $\langle dbl \rangle \langle dbl \rangle$ $\langle dbl \rangle$ 1 Pricing strategies NA 8157 22339 Regulatory measures 1318 2513 2793 2 3 System operations and management strategies NA 1479 2219 4 HOV / carpool / vanpool / commute strategies 338 628 1471 5 Land use and smart growth strategies 160 865 1445 6 Public transportation strategies 327 531 1014 Multimodal freight strategies 241 336 494 7 133 288 Nonmotorized transportation strategies 403 8 Bottleneck relief and capacity expansion strategies -7 -12 -26 9

Quick data analysis: categorize by average GHG costs

>	dat %>%			
+	<pre>group_by(Category) %>%</pre>			
+	<pre>summarise(Exp = sum(Exp.GHG.red)/sum(Exp.net.cost)</pre>			
+	Aaa = sum(Aaa, GHG, red)/sum(Aaa, net, cost)			
+	Max = sum(Max.GHG.red)/sum(Max.net.cost)) %>%		
+	arranae(desc(Max))			
#	A tibble: 9 x 4			
	Category	Exp	Agg	Max
	<fct></fct>	<db1></db1>	<db1></db1>	<dbl></dbl>
1	Public transportation strategies	2.11	2.06	1.65
2	Bottleneck relief and capacity expansion strategies	-0.0106	-0.00974	-0.0104
3	Land use and smart growth strategies	-1.37	-1.32	-1.32
4	Nonmotorized transportation strategies	-1.44	-1.50	-1.55
5	Regulatory measures	-2.99	-2.85	-2.61
6	HOV / carpool / vanpool / commute strategies	18.4	-4.24	-3.02
7	Pricing strategies	NA	-1.90	-6.09
8	System operations and management strategies	NA	7.52	-8.81
9	Multimodal freight strategies	-9.13	-24	-73.6

Quick data analysis: which specific strategies?

> dat %>%

- + select(Category, Strategy, Max.cost.p.mmt) %>%
- + arrange(desc(Max.cost.p.mmt)) %>%
- + print (n=50)
- # A tibble: 47 x 3

Category

<fct>

1	System operations and management strategies
2	System operations and management strategies
3	System operations and management strategies
4	Public transportation strategies
5	Multimodal freight strategies
6	Regulatory measures
7	Public transportation strategies
8	Pricing strategies
9	Public transportation strategies
10	Multimodal freight strategies
11	System operations and management strategies
12	HOV / carpool / vanpool / commute strategies
13	System operations and management strategies

14 System operations and management strategies

Strategy	Max.cost.p.mmt
<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
Active traffic management	6.20
Integrated corridor management	6.15
Signal control management	3.43
Intercity passenger rail	2.76
Truck-only toll lanes	2.10
Nonmotorized zones	1.67
High-speed passenger rail	1.38
Carbon pricing (VMT impact)	1.12
Urban transit expansion	0.982
Marine system improvements	0.769
Variable message signs	0.682
HOV lanes	0.262
Vehicle infrastructure integration	0.192
Road weather management	0.175

Quick data analysis: which specific strategies?

14	System operations and management strategies	Road weather management	0.175
15	Bottleneck relief and capacity expansion strategies	Capacity expansion	-0.00796
16	Bottleneck relief and capacity expansion strategies	Bottleneck relief	-0.0176
17	Multimodal freight strategies	LCV permits	-0.875
18	Public transportation strategies	Transit fare measures	-1.08
19	Pricing strategies	PAYD	-1.08
20	HOV / carpool / vanpool / commute strategies	Car-sharing	-1.11
21	Pricing strategies	CBD / Activity Center on-street parking	-1.11
22	Pricing strategies	Tax / higher tax on free private parking	-1.16
23	Pricing strategies	VMT fee	-1.17
24	Pricing strategies	Residential parking permits	-1.19
25	Regulatory measures	Urban parking restrictions	-1.30
26	Land use and smart growth strategies	Combined land use	-1.32
27	HOV / carpool / vanpool / commute strategies	Employer-based commute strategies	-1.33
28	Nonmotorized transportation strategies	Combined pedestrian	-1.46
29	Pricing strategies	Cordon pricing	-1.57
30	Nonmotorized transportation strategies	Combined bicycle	-1.67
31	Pricing strategies	Congestion pricing	-1.90
32	Pricing strategies	Intercity tolls	-2.13
33	Regulatory measures	Speed limit reductions	-3.04

Quick data analysis: which specific strategies?

28 Nonmotorized transportation strategies	Combined pedestrian	-1.46
29 Pricing strategies	Cordon pricing	-1.57
30 Nonmotorized transportation strategies	Combined bicycle	-1.67
31 Pricing strategies	Congestion pricing	-1.90
32 Pricing strategies	Intercity tolls	-2.13
33 Regulatory measures	Speed limit reductions	-3.04
34 Multimodal freight strategies	Urban consolidation centers	-3.33
35 Multimodal freight strategies	Truck APUs	-4.49
36 Multimodal freight strategies	Shipping container permits	-4.86
37 Pricing strategies	Carbon pricing (fuel economy impact)	-4.92
38 System operations and management strategies	Eco-driving	-5.39
39 Multimodal freight strategies	Truck stop electrification	-5.54
40 HOV / carpool / vanpool / commute strategies	HOV lanes (24-hour applicability)	-5.71
41 Public transportation strategies	Transit frequency / LOS / Extent	-7.74
42 System operations and management strategies	Traveler information	-9.69
43 System operations and management strategies	Ramp metering	-14.6
44 Multimodal freight strategies	Rail capacity improvements	-18.7
45 Multimodal freight strategies	Weigh station bypass	-20
46 Multimodal freight strategies	WIM screening	-100.
47 System operations and management strategies	Incident management	-267.

Expanded, aggressive, and maximum effort





David Hsu (MIT)



MACC for aggressive strategies from Moving Cooler, 2009



MACC for maximum strategies from Moving Cooler, 2009

Carbon emissions from transportation

Daniel Sperling from UC Davis has a nice way to think about this:

Mobility (vehicle-miles-traveled)

- × Vehicle energy efficiency (energy use / vehicle mile)
- imes Carbon intensity of energy (GHG / unit of energy)
- = GHG emissions

Courtesy of James H. Williams et al. License: CC BY.

Williams et al, 2020



MIT CGC: Tough-to-decarbonize transport (T2DT) 2021

Key finding: new vehicles, fuels & a new hydrogen (H2) system all necessary!

- for low-GHG carbon-based fuels, main challenge is huge scale of fuel production
- all low-GHG fuel options (even biofuels) depend on huge-scale production of low-GHG H2
- cost of low-GHG H2 is often largest part of the cost of finished low-GHG fuel

MIT CGC: Tough-to-decarbonize transport (T2DT) 2021

- Long-distance vehicles carry energy, so energy density is crucial.
 - weight (& volume) of fuel or battery reduces payload
 - alternatives are 2-30x heavier (and bigger volume) than hydrocarbons
- Scale
 - ▶ today only fossil fuels are available at the huge scale needed (~1 billion tons/year)
 - only a few low-carbon alternatives could reach needed scale by 2050
- Sisting vehicles are all designed to use hydrocarbon fuels
 - either need a carbon fuel that doesn't increase GHG, or new vehicles
 - vehicle lifetimes are long (20-30 years), new vehicle development is slow
- Cost of the fuel is significant part of total cost of transport
 - currently fuels for this sector cost \$1 Trillion/year
 - expensive infrastructure adds cost
- Fuel infrastructure practicalities
 - room-temp liquid fuels easier to distribute & store than gases, solids
 - fast refueling/recharging is important for some vehicles.
 - ▶ need new fuel to be available at ~800 ports, 17,000 airports, >100,000 truck stops

MIT CGC: Tough-to-decarbonize transport (T2DT) 2021

Sector	Trucking	Shipping	Aviation	
Emissions	1.25 Gt-CO2/yr	1.0 Gt-CO2/yr	1.0Gt-CO2/yr	
Vehicle intensity	1080 gCO2/km	400 kgCO2/km	125 gCO2/p-km	
Engine intensity	500 gCO2/kWh	700 gCO2/kWh	1500-2400 gCO2/kgfh	
Main fuel	Diesel	Heavy fuel oil	Jet A	
Main engine (power)	ICE, 300 kW	ICE, 10-100 MW	Gas turbines, 50-100 MW	
State of EV	Available, but high cost	Very challenging for shipping	Impossible over long distances	
Fuel cell option	High cost	High cost	Not possible	
Viable pathways	Many	Carbon-based, ammonia, H2	Carbon-based only	
David Hsu (MIT)	Transportation -	what can cities do?	September 29, 2022 36 / 36	

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

11.165 Urban Energy Systems & Policy Fall 2022 For more information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.