# 6.436/15.085, Fall 2005. Background

#### 1.1 SETS

A set is a collection of objects, which are the **elements** of the set. If A is a set and x is an element of A, we write  $x \in A$ . If x is not an element of A, we write  $x \notin A$ . A set can have no elements, in which case it is called the **empty** set, denoted by  $\emptyset$ .

Sets can be specified in a variety of ways. If A contains a finite number of elements, say  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ , we write it as a list of the elements, in braces:

$$A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$$

For example, the set of possible outcomes of a die roll is  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , and the set of possible outcomes of a coin toss is  $\{H, T\}$ , where H stands for "heads" and T stands for "tails."

More generally, we can consider the set of all x that have a certain property P, and denote it by

 $\{x \mid x \text{ satisfies } P\}.$ 

Version of 9/6/05

(The symbol "|" is to be read as "such that.") For example, the set of even integers can be written as  $\{k \mid k/2 \text{ is integral}\}$ . Similarly, the set of all real numbers x in the interval [0, 1] can be written as  $\{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ .

If A contains infinitely many elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ , that can be enumerated in a list (so that the elements are in a one-to-one correspondence with the positive integers), we write

 $A = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\},\$ 

and we say that A is **countably infinite**. For example, the set of even integers can be written as  $\{0, 2, -2, 4, -4, ...\}$ , and is countably infinite. The term **countable** is sometimes used to refer to a set which is either finite or countably infinite. A set which is not countable is said to be **uncountable**.

If every element of a set A is also an element of a set B, we say that A is a **subset** of T, and we write  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \supseteq A$ .<sup>†</sup> If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq B$ , the two sets are **equal**, and we write A = B. It is sometimes expedient to introduce a **universal set**, denoted by  $\Omega$ , which contains all objects that could conceivably be of interest in a particular context. Having specified a context in terms of a universal set  $\Omega$ , one then only considers sets A that are subsets of  $\Omega$ .

#### 1.2 SET OPERATIONS

The **complement** of a set A, with respect to a universal set  $\Omega$ , is the set  $\{x \in \Omega \mid x \notin A\}$  of all elements of  $\Omega$  that do not belong to A, and is denoted by  $A^c$ . Note that  $\Omega^c = \emptyset$ .

The **union** of two sets A and B is the set of all elements that belong to A or B (or both), and is denoted by  $A \cup B$ . The **intersection** of two sets A and B is the set of all elements that belong to both A and B, and is denoted by  $A \cap B$ . Thus,

$$A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\},\$$

and

$$A \cap B = \{ x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B \}.$$

We will often deal with the union or the intersection of several, even infinitely many sets, defined in the obvious way. In particular, if I is a (possibly infinite) index set, and for each  $i \in I$  we have a set  $A_i$ , the union of these sets is defined as

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \{ x \mid x \in S_i \text{ for some } i \in I \},\$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> In some texts, the notation  $A \subset B$  is used to indicate that A is a subset of B, whereas in other texts,  $A \subset B$  indicates that A is a **proper** subset of B, that is,  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \neq B$ .

and their intersection is defined as

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i = \{ x \, | \, x \in S_i \text{ for all } i \in I \}.$$

In case we are dealing with the union or intersection of countably many sets  $S_n$ , the notation  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ , respectively, is used.

Two sets are said to be disjoint if their intersection is empty. More generally, several sets are said to be **disjoint** if no two of them have a common element. A collection of sets is said to be a **partition** of a set A if the sets in the collection are disjoint and their union is A.

#### The Algebra of Sets

Set operations have several properties, which are elementary consequences of the definitions. Some examples are:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A\cup B=B\cup A, & A\cup (B\cup C)=(A\cup B)\cup C, \\ A\cap (B\cup C)=(A\cap B)\cup (A\cap C), & A\cup (B\cap C)=(A\cup B)\cap (A\cup C), \\ (A^c)^c=A, & A\cap A^c=\emptyset, \\ A\cup \Omega=\Omega, & A\cap \Omega=A. \end{array}$$

Two particularly useful properties are given by **De Morgan's laws** which state that

$$\left(\bigcup_{i\in I}A_i\right)^c = \bigcap_{i\in I}A_i^c, \qquad \qquad \left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)^c = \bigcup_{i\in I}A_i^c.$$

To establish the first law, suppose that  $x \in (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)^c$ . Then,  $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ , which implies that for every  $i \in I$ , we have  $x \notin A_i$ . Thus, x belongs to the complement of every  $A_i$ , and  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i^c$ . This shows that  $(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)^c \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i^c$ . The reverse inclusion is established by reversing the above argument, and the first law follows. The argument for the second law is similar.

#### 1.3 NOTATION: SOME COMMON SETS

We now take introduce the notation that will be used to refer to some common sets:

- (a)  $\Re$  denotes the set of all real numbers;
- (b)  $\overline{\Re}$  denotes  $\Re \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ , the set of **extended real numbers**.
- (c)  $\mathcal{Z}$  denotes the set of all **integers**;
- (d)  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the set of **natural numbers** (the positive integers).

Also, for any  $a, b \in \overline{\Re}$ , we use the following notation:

- (a) [a, b] denotes the set  $\{x \in \overline{\Re} \mid a \le x \le b\};$
- (b) (a, b) denotes the set  $\{x \in \overline{\Re} \mid a < x < b\};$
- (c) [a, b) denotes the set  $\{x \in \overline{\Re} \mid a \le x < b\};$
- (d) (a, b] denotes the set  $\{x \in \overline{\Re} \mid a < x \le b\}$ .

Our last set of definitions follows.

(a) The **Cartesian product** of n sets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ , denoted by  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$ , or  $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i$  for short, is the set of all *n*-tuples that can be formed by picking one element from each set, that is,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} = \{(a_{1}, \dots, a_{n}) \mid a_{i} \in A_{i}, \ \forall \ i\}.$$

The set  $A \times A$  is also denoted by  $A^2$ . The notation  $A^n$  is defined similarly.

- (b) The Cartesian product  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  of an infinite sequence of sets  $A_i$  is defined as the set of all sequences  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$  where  $a_i \in A_i$  for each *i*. The simpler notation  $A^{\infty}$  is used if  $A_i = A$  for all *i*.
- (c) The set of all subsets of a set A is denoted by  $2^A$ .
- (d) Given two sets A and B,  $A^B$  stands for the set of functions from B to A.

As defined above, a sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$  of elements of a set A belongs to  $A^{\infty}$ . However, such a sequence can also be viewed as a function from  $\mathcal{N}$  into A, which belongs to  $A^{\mathcal{N}}$ . Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $A^{\infty}$  and  $A^{\mathcal{N}}$ .

In the special case where  $A = \{0, 1\}$ , a sequence  $(a_1, a_2, ...)$  can be identified with a subset of  $\mathcal{N}$ , namely the set  $\{n \in \mathcal{N} \mid a_n = 1\}$ . We conclude that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\{0, 1\}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $2^{\mathcal{N}}$ .

## 1.4 SOME PROPERTIES OF SETS

We collect here some useful properties.

4

## Theorem 1.

- (a) The union of countably many countable sets is a countable set.
- (b) If A is finite, of cardinality n, then  $2^A$  has cardinality  $2^n$ .
- (c) The Cartesian product of finitely many countable sets is countable.
- (d) The set of rational numbers is countable.
- (e) The set  $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$  is uncountable.
- (f) The Cartesian product of infinitely many sets (with at least two elements each) is uncountable.
- (g) If A is infinite, then  $2^A$  is uncountable.
- (h) An interval of real numbers of the form [a, b], with a < b, is uncountable, and the same is true for the set  $\Re$  of real numbers.

#### Proof:

- (a) Left as an exercise.
- (b) When choosing a subset of A, there are two choices for each element of A: whether to include it in the subset or not. Since there are n elements, with two choices for each, the total number of choices is 2<sup>n</sup>.
- (c) Suppose that A and B are countable sets, and that  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}, B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots\}$ . We observe that

$$A \times B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\{a_i\} \times B).$$

For any *i*, there is a one-to-one correspondence between elements of *B* and elements of  $\{a_i\} \times B$ . Therefore  $\{a_i\} \times B$  is countable. Using part (i) of the theorem, it follows that  $A \times B$  is countable.

We continue by induction. We fix some  $k \ge 2$  and use the induction hypothesis that the Cartesian product of k or fewer countable sets is countable. Suppose that the sets  $A_1, \ldots, A_{k+1}$  are countable. We observe that the set  $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{k+1}$  is essentially the same as the set  $(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k) \times A_{k+1}$ , which is a Cartesian product of two sets. The first is countable, by the induction hypothesis; the second is countable by assumption. The result follows.

- (d) Left as an exercise.
- (e) Suppose, in order to derive a contradiction, that the elements of  $\{0, 1\}^{\infty}$  (each of which is a binary sequences) can be arranged in a sequence  $s_1, s_2, \ldots$ . Consider the binary sequence s whose kth entry is chosen to be different

from the kth entry of the sequence  $s_k$ . This sequence s is certainly an element of  $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$  but is different from each of the sequences  $s_k$ , by construction. This means that the sequence  $s_1, s_2, \ldots$  cannot exhaust all of the elements of  $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$  and therefore the latter set is uncountable.

- (f) Follows from (e).
- (g) Follows from (e) since  $2^A$  has at least as many elements as  $2^N$ , which can be identified with  $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ .
- (h) Consider the set of sequences  $(a_1, a_2, ...)$  with values in  $\{0, 1\}$ . This set is uncountable by part (v). To any sequence, we associate the number  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i 3^{-i}$ . Note that every sequence results in a different number. It follows that the set of numbers of this form is also uncountable. This set of numbers is contained in [0, 1]; hence [0, 1] is uncountable. Any interval [a, b] has a one-to-one correspondence with the interval [0, 1] and is also uncountable.

Let us take the idea in the proof of part (h) one step further. Let  $a = (a_1, a_2, ...)$  be a binary sequence (with elements in  $\{0, 1\}$ ). To any sequence a, we associate the real number

$$f(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{2^i}.$$

Given that every real number on the interval [0, 1] can be expressed in binary, it follows that f maps  $\{0, 1\}^{\infty}$  onto [0, 1]. This mapping is not one-to-one because, for example, the sequences (0, 1, 1, ...) and (1, 0, 0, ...) map to the same number; that is, the real number 1/2 has two different binary expansions. It can be verified that this phenomenon occurs whenever we have a binary sequence that ends with an infinite string of ones, and only then. It follows that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set [0, 1) and the set of sequences that do not end with an infinite string of ones. Furthermore, it can be checked that the set of excluded sequences is countable. We have therefore established a one-to-one correspondence between the set [0, 1) and a set of binary sequences (namely, the set of all binary sequences except for the excluded ones). This correspondence turns out to be useful in linking together some seemingly different probabilistic models.

Notice that in the proof of part (h) we used  $3^i$  instead of  $2^i$ . By doing so, we avoided the difficulty of multiple expansions of the same number, but on the other hand the numbers so constructed do not cover the interval [0, 1).

#### 1.5 SEQUENCES AND LIMITS

Formally, a sequence of elements of a set A is a mapping  $f : \mathcal{N} \to A$ . Let  $a_i = f(i)$ . The corresponding sequence is often written as  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$  or  $\{a_k\}$  for short.

Given a sequence  $\{a_k\}$  and an increasing sequence of real numbers  $\{k_i\}$ , we can construct a new sequence whose *i*th element is  $a_{k_i}$ . This new sequence is called a **subsequence** of  $\{a_k\}$ . Informally, a subsequence of  $\{a_k\}$  is obtained by skipping some of the elements of the original sequence.

## Definition

- (a) A sequence  $\{x_k\}$  of real numbers (also called a "real sequence") is said to **converge** to a real number x if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists some (positive integer) K such that  $|x_k x| < \epsilon$  for every  $k \ge K$ .
- (b) A real sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is said to converge to  $\infty$  (respectively,  $-\infty$ ) if for every real number c there exists some K such that  $x_k \ge c$  (respectively,  $x_k \le c$ ) for all  $k \ge K$ .
- (c) If a real sequence converges to some x (possibly infinite), we say that x is the **limit** of  $x_k$ ; symbolically,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x$ .
- (d) A real sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is called a **Cauchy sequence** if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some K such that  $|x_k x_m| < \epsilon$  for all  $k \ge K$  and  $m \ge K$ .
- (e) A real sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is said to be **bounded above** (respectively, **below**) if there exists some real number c such that  $x_k \leq c$  (respectively,  $x_k \geq A$ ) for all k.
- (f) A real sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is called **bounded** if the sequence  $\{|x_k|\}$  is bounded above.
- (g) A real sequence is said to be **nonincreasing** (respectively, **nondecreasing**) if  $x_{k+1} \leq x_k$  (respectively,  $x_{k+1} \geq x_k$ ) for all k. A sequence that is either nonincreasing or nondecreasing is called **monotonic**.

The following result is a fundamental property of the real-number system, and is presented without proof.

**Theorem 2.** Every monotonic real sequence converges to an extended real number. If the sequence is also bounded, then it converges to a real number.

## Definition

- (a) The **supremum** (or **least upper bound**) of a set A of real numbers, denoted by  $\sup A$ , is defined as the smallest extended real number x such that  $x \ge y$  for all  $y \in A$ .
- (b) The **infimum** (or **greatest lower bound**) of a set A of real numbers, denoted by  $\inf A$ , is defined as the largest extended real number x such that  $x \leq y$  for all  $y \in A$ .
- (c) Given a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  of real numbers, the supremum of the sequence, denoted by  $\sup_k x_k$ , is defined as  $\sup\{x_k \mid k = 1, 2, ...\}$ . The infimum of a

sequence is similarly defined.

- (d) The **upper limit** of a real sequence  $\{x_k\}$ , denoted by  $\limsup_{k\to\infty} x_k$ , is defined to be equal to  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \sup\{x_k \mid k \ge m\}$ .
- (e) The **lower limit** of a real sequence  $\{x_k\}$ , denoted by  $\liminf_{k\to\infty} x_k$ , is defined to be equal to  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \inf\{x_k \mid k \ge m\}$ .

## Remarks:

- (a) If a set or a sequence of real numbers has arbitrarily large elements (that is, no finite upper bound), then the supremum is equal to  $\infty$ . Similarly, if it has arbitrarily small elements (that is, no finite lower bound), then the infimum is equal to  $-\infty$ .
- (b) A strict application of the definitions shows that  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$  and  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . On the other hand, if a set is nonempty, then  $\inf A \leq \sup A$ .
- (c) The infimum or supremum of a set need not be an element of a set. For example, the infimum of the set  $\{1/k \mid k \in \mathcal{N}\} = 0$ .
- (d) A sequence need not have a limit (e.g., consider the sequence  $x_n = (-1)^n$ . On the other hand, the upper and lower limits of a real sequence are always defined. To see this, let  $y_m = \sup\{x_k \mid k \geq m\}$ . The sequence  $\{y_m\}$  is nonicreasing and therefore has a (possibly infinite) limit. We have  $\limsup_{m\to\infty} x_k = \lim_{m\to\infty} y_m$ , and the latter limit is guaranteed to exist, by Theorem 2. A similar argument applies to the lower limit.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{x_k\}$  be a real sequence. (a) There holds

$$\inf_k x_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} x_k \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} x_k \le \sup_k x_k.$$

(b) The sequence  $\{x_k\}$  converges (to an extended real number) if and only if  $\liminf_{k\to\infty} x_k = \limsup_{k\to\infty} x_k$  and, in that case, both of these quantities are equal to the limit of  $x_k$ .

#### **1.5.1** Convergence of vectors

The next definition refers to convergence of finite-dimensional real vectors.

#### Definition

(a) A sequence  $\{x_k\}$  of vectors in  $\Re^n$  is said to converge to some  $x \in \Re^n$  if the *i*th coordinate of  $x_k$  converges to the *i*th coordinate of x, for every *i*. The notation  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x$  is used again.

- (b) A sequence of vectors is called a Cauchy sequence (respectively, bounded) if each coordinate sequence is a Cauchy sequence (respectively, bounded).
- (c) We say that some  $x \in \Re^n$  is a **limit point** (or **accumulation point**) of a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in  $\Re^n$  if there exists a subsequence of  $\{x_k\}$  that converges to x.
- (d) Let A be a subset of  $\Re^n$ . We say that  $x \in \Re^n$  is a limit point (or **accumulation point**) of A if there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}$ , consisting of distinct elements of A, that converges to x.

## Theorem 4.

- (a) A bounded sequence in  $\Re^n$  has at least one limit point.
- (b) A bounded sequence in  $\Re^n$  converges if and only if it has a unique limit point (in which case, the limit point is also the limit of the sequence).
- (c) A sequence in  $\Re^n$  converges to an element of  $\Re^n$  if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.
- (d) If  $\{x_k\}$  is a real sequence and  $\limsup_{k\to\infty} x_k$  (respectively,  $\liminf_{k\to\infty} x_k$ ) is finite, then it is the largest (respectively, smallest) limit point of the sequence  $\{x_k\}$ .

#### 1.6 LIMITS OF SETS

Suppose that we are given a sequence  $(A_1, A_2, ...)$  of sets. There are various ways of defining what it means for the sequence to converge to some limiting set. The definitions that will be most useful for our purposes are given below.

#### Definition

(a) We define  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n$  as the set of all elements  $\omega$  that belong to infinitely many of the sets  $A_n$ . Formally,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big(\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n\Big).$$

(b) We define  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n$  as the set of all  $\omega$  that belong to all but finitely many of the sets  $A_n$ . Formally,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big(\bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n\Big).$$

(c) We say that A is the limit of the sequence  $A_n$  (symbolically,  $A_n \to A$  or  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = A$ ) if  $A = \liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n = \limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n$ .

Note that a sequence of sets  $A_n$  need not have a limit, but  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n$  and  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n$  are always well defined.

In order to parse the formal definition above, note that  $\omega \in \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$  if and only if there exists some  $n \ge k$  such that  $\omega \in A_n$ . We then see that  $\omega$  belongs to the intersection  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$  if and only if for every k, there exists some  $n \ge k$ such that  $\omega \in A_n$ , which is equivalent to requiring that  $\omega$  belong to infinitely many of the sets  $A_n$ .

## Theorem 5.

- (a) If  $A_n$  is an increasing sequence of sets  $(A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}, \text{ for all } n)$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$  exists and is equal to  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ .
- (b) If  $A_n$  is an decreasing sequence of sets  $(A_n \supseteq A_{n+1})$ , for all n, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$  exists and is equal to  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ .

**Definition** The indicator function of a set S is defined by

$$I_S(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in S, \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \notin S. \end{cases}$$

It turns out that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = A$  if and only  $\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{A_n}(\omega) = I_A(\omega)$  for all  $\omega$ .

 $\mathbf{10}$