# 12.520 Lecture Notes 25

### **The Stream Function**

For continuum mechanics in general and fluid mechanics specifically, a number of "laws" are expressed in terms of differential equations. For example,

1) Newton's second law (F = ma)

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{ji}}{\partial x_j} + \rho f_i = \rho \frac{D v_i}{D t}$$

2) Rheology (constitutive equation)

$$\sigma_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + 2\eta\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}$$

3) Definition of strain rate

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

4) Continuity (conservation of mass)

$$\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

These 4 coupled first order differential equations, plus boundary conditions, can be solved to determine fluid flow for a variety of interesting applications.

Alternatively, they can be combined to form a single fourth order differential equation.

For fluids, this fourth order equation often involves the stream function.

Consider a 2-D flow with velocities  $v_1$ ,  $v_3$  in the  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$  plane ( $v_2 = 0$ )

$$\mathrm{If}\,v_1 = -\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x_3}$$

(Newtonian fluid)

(general)

(incompressible)

(general)

$$v_3 = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_1} \implies \nabla \cdot \underline{v} = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1} = 0$$

Incompressibility is automatically satisfied!

[In general, if  $\underline{v} = \nabla \times \Psi$ ,  $\nabla \cdot \underline{v} = 0$ . Here  $\Psi = (0, \Psi, 0)$ ]

Substituting into the (steady) Navier-Stokes equation

$$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_1} - \eta \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3} + \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_3^3} \right) + \rho f_1 = 0$$
$$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_3} + \eta \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_1^3} + \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3^2} \right) + \rho f_3 = 0$$

Now take 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$$
 of first,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$  of second  

$$-\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} - \eta \left( \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_3^4} \right) + \rho \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} = 0$$

$$-\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} + \eta \left( \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^4} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} \right) + \rho \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

Subtract:

$$\eta \left( \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_3^4} \right) + \rho \left( \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} \right) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \Psi}{\partial x_3^4} = \nabla^2 (\nabla^2 \Psi) = \nabla^4 \Psi$$

 $\nabla^4$  is called biharmonic operator.

For uniform or no  $f: \nabla^4 \Psi = 0$ 

Advantages of using the biharmonic operator are

- 1. only one equation
- 2. efficient solution

Disadvantage: Loss of "physical insight".

### **Physical Interpretation of Stream Function**

Consider triangle APB.



For incompressible fluid,

$$flux_{AP} + flux_{BP} + flux_{AB} = 0$$
$$-v_3 \delta x_1 + v_1 \delta x_3 + flux_{AB} = 0$$
$$flux_{AB} = v_3 \delta x_1 - v_1 \delta x_3 = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_1} \delta x_1 + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_3} \delta x_3 = \partial \Psi$$
$$or \int_{A}^{B} d\Psi = \Psi_B - \Psi_A$$

Difference in  $\Psi$  represents the flux crossing the curve.

#### Solution of biharmonic

Polynomials (e.g., for Conette flow,  $\Psi = -\frac{v_0 x_3^2}{2h}$ )

Separation of variables:

$$\Psi = X(x)Z(z)$$
$$\nabla^{4}\Psi = 0 \Longrightarrow X''''Z + 2X''Z'' + XZ''' = 0$$

$$\frac{X'''}{X} + 2\frac{X''}{X}\frac{Z''}{Z} + \frac{Z'''}{Z} = 0$$

Harmonic  $\Psi = \sin \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda} Z(z)$ 

Solution:  $\Psi = [(A + Bz)\exp(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda}) + (C + Dz)\exp(-\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda})]\sin(\frac{2\pi x}{\lambda})$ 

Physical boundary conditions:  $T_n = 0$   $T_{\tau} = 0$ 



In  $x_1$ ',  $x_3$ ' coordinates, at  $x_3 = \xi(x_1)$ :

$$\sigma_{3'3'} = 0$$
  
 $\sigma_{3'1'} = \sigma_{1'3'} = 0$ 

Have solution to biharmonic in terms of  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$  -- easily applied at  $x_3 = 0$ .

Need to take physical  $(x_1', x_3')$  boundary conditions and

- 1. rotate to  $x_1$ ,  $x_3$  space
- 2. Taylor's series expansion
- 3. subtract out hydrostatic reference state

Result (to first order in  $\xi / \lambda$ )

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} ? & ? & 0 \\ ? & ? & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho g \xi \end{pmatrix}$$

4. solve biharmonic.

# **Postglacial Rebound**

#### **Decay of Boundary Undulations** (1/2 space, uniform $\eta$ )





- Assume uniform  $\eta$
- Subtract out lithostatic pressure  $P = p \rho g x_3$
- Assume  $\rho g$  uniform
- Use stream function  $\Psi$

$$v_1 = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_3}$$
  $v_3 = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_1}$ 

 $\Rightarrow \nabla^4 \Psi = 0$ 

Solution:  $\Psi = [(A + Bkx_3)\exp(-kx_3) + (C + Dkx_3)\exp(kx_3)] \cdot \sin kx_1$ 

Boundary conditions:

at  $x_3 = 0$  (mathematical, not physical)

$$\sigma_{33} = \rho g \zeta$$
  
$$\sigma_{13} = 0 = \eta \left( \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_1} \right)$$

at  $x_3 \rightarrow \infty$ , must be bounded

In order that  $\sigma_{13} = 0$  at  $x_3 = 0$ ,

 $\Rightarrow C = D = 0$ 

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_1^2} = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow B = A$$
  
or  $\Psi = A(1 + kx_3) \exp(-kx_3) \cdot \sin kx_1$ 

Then

$$v_1 = Ak^2 x_3 \exp(-kx_3) \cdot \sin kx_1$$
  

$$v_3 = Ak(1 + kx_3)\exp(-kx_3) \cdot \cos kx_1$$
  
at  $x_3 = 0$   $v_3 = \dot{\zeta} = Ak\cos(kx_1)$ 

Now

$$\sigma_{33} = -p + 2\eta \dot{\varepsilon}_{33}$$
$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{33} = 0 \quad \text{at } x_3 = 0$$

To get *p*, use 
$$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \eta \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + \rho x_1 = 0$$

for 
$$i = 1$$
  

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_1} + \eta(\frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x_3^2}) = 0$$

Substitute for  $v_1$  and integrating  $\Rightarrow p|_{x_3=0} = 2\eta k^2 A \cos kx_1$ 

But 
$$p = -\rho g \zeta \Longrightarrow A = -\frac{\rho g \zeta_0}{2k^2 \eta}$$
  
Or  $\dot{\zeta}_0 = -\frac{\rho g \zeta_0}{2k\eta} = -\frac{\rho g \lambda \zeta_0}{4\pi \eta}$   
Or  $\zeta_0 = \zeta_0 \Big|_{t=0} \exp(-\frac{\rho g t}{2k\eta}) = \zeta_0 \Big|_{t=0} \exp(-\frac{t}{\tau})$   
where  $\tau = \frac{2k\eta}{\rho g} = \frac{4\pi\eta}{\rho g\lambda}$   
Solving for  $\eta$ :  $\eta = \frac{\rho g \lambda \tau}{4\pi}$   
For curves shown,

$$\begin{array}{c} \tau : 5000 \text{ yr} \\ \lambda : 3000 \text{ km} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \eta : 10^{21} \text{ Pa}$$

Note: stream function ~  $\exp(-kx_3) = \exp(-\frac{2\pi x_3}{\lambda})$ 

Falls off to 
$$\sim 1/e$$
 at  $x_3 : \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$ 

Senses to fairly great depth

 $\Rightarrow$  postglacial rebound doesn't reveal the details of mantle viscosity structure, but only the gross structure.

Note: Behavior at Hudson Bay and Boston different:



Is this consistent with uniform 1/2 space?

$$\tau = \frac{4\,\pi\eta}{\rho g\lambda}$$

Decompose into Fourier components



Details depend on geometry of ice load and elastic support of load.

Suppose we require faster relaxation for short  $\lambda$  than for long  $\lambda$ .



How to get solution? What are the boundary conditions?