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## Agenda

- Recap of last time, contagion and mean-field diffusion
- The configuration model
- Diffusion in random graphs
- Monopoly pricing with word-of-mouth communication

Material not well-covered in one place. Some suggested reading: Jackson Chapter 7.2; "Word-of-Mouth Communication and Percolation in Social Networks," A. Campbell; "Diffusion Games," E. Sadler

## Binary Coordination with Local Interactions

Recall our simple game:

|  | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $(q, q)$ | $(0,0)$ |
| 1 | $(0,0)$ | $(1-q, 1-q)$ |

Two pure-strategy equilibria

Play simultaneously with many neighbors

- Choose 1 if at least fraction $q$ of neighbors choose 1
- Myopic best response, can the action spread?

Cohesion can block contagion, but neighborhoods can't grow too fast

## Mean-Field Diffusion

An alternative framework

- Distributional knowledge of the network structure
- Adopt behavior iff expected payoff exceeds cost

Bayes-Nash equilibrium of static game equivalent to steady-state of mean-field dynamics

- Draw a new set of neighbors a every time step

Key phenomenon: tipping points
Relate steady state to network degree distribution

## Random Graphs

Today, a third approach

- Distributional knowledge of the network structure
- Diffusion through a fixed graph

People get exposed to something new

- Behavior, product, information...

Choose whether to adopt or pass it on
Stochastic outcomes, viral cascades

## A Few Examples

Spread of new products through referral programs
Spread of rumors about Indian demonetization policy (Banerjee et al., 2017)

Spread of news stories on social media

- Maybe fake ones...

Spread of microfinance participation (Banerjee et al., 2013)

## Questions

Basic:

- How many people adopt?
- How quickly does it spread?
- Who ends up adopting?

Some implications:

- Targeted seeding
- Pricing strategies


## First: The Configuration Model

Recall the configuration model from the first half of the course

- This is how we will generate our networks

Start with $n$ nodes, degree sequence $\mathbf{d}^{(n)}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$

- Degree is number of neighbors a node has

Take a uniform random draw of graphs with the given degree sequence

Look at limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, large networks

- Assume $\left\{\mathbf{d}^{(n)}\right\}$ converges in distribution and expectation to $D$


## The Configuration Model

Can think of $D$ as a histogram

- $\mathbb{P}(D=k)$ is the fraction of nodes with degree $k$

Questions about the configuration model:

- What do the components look like?
- Is there a "giant" component?
- How big is it?
- How far are typical nodes from each other?

Key idea: branching process approximation

## Branching Process Approximation



## Branching Processes

Let $Z \in \Delta(\mathbb{N})$ be a probability distribution
Start from a single root note, realize offspring according to $Z$
Each node in the first generation realizes offspring independently according to $Z$

And so on...

## Branching Processes: Extinction

What is the probability that the process goes extinct?

- Total number of offspring is finite

Key tool: the generating function

$$
g(s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z=k) s^{k}
$$

Well-defined for $k \in[0,1]$
Solve recursion: probability I go extinct is probability all my offspring go extinct

$$
\xi=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z=k) \xi^{k}=g(\xi)
$$

Extinction probability is unique minimal solution to $\xi=g(\xi)$

- Survival probability $\phi=1-\xi$


## Branching Processes: Growth Rate

Expected number of offspring $\mathbb{E}[Z] \equiv \mu$

- Generation $t$ contains $\mu^{t}$ nodes in expectation

Write $Z_{t}$ for the random number of total offspring in generation $t$

- The process $Y_{t} \equiv \frac{Z_{t}}{\mu^{t}}$ is a martingale

By the martingale convergence theorem:

- As $t \rightarrow \infty, Y_{t}$ converges almost surely
- Implication: $\phi>0$ i $\mu>1$ (one exception: $Z=1$ w.p.1)


## Connecting to the Random Graph

Heuristically, breadth first search starting from a random node looks like a branching process

- The "characteristic branching process" $\mathcal{T}$ for the graph

Root realizes offspring according to $D$

After the root, two corrections

- Friendship paradox
- Don't double count the parent

Subsequent nodes realize offspring according to $D^{\prime}$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(D^{\prime}=d\right)=\frac{\mathbb{P}(D=d+1) \cdot(d+1)}{\mathbb{E}[D]}
$$

## The Law of Large Networks

Define $\rho_{k}=\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{T}|=k), N_{k}(G)$ the number of nodes in components of size $k, L_{i}(G)$ the $i$ th largest component

## Theorem

Suppose $\mathrm{d}^{(n)} \rightarrow D$ in distribution and expectation, and $G^{(n)}$ is generated from the configuration model with degree sequence $\mathrm{d}^{(n)}$. For any $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{N_{k}\left(G^{(n)}\right)}{n}-\rho_{k}\right|>\epsilon\right)=0, \quad \forall k \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{L_{1}\left(G^{(n)}\right)}{n}-\rho_{\infty}\right|>\epsilon\right)=0 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{L_{2}\left(G^{(n)}\right)}{n}>\epsilon\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

## The Law of Large Networks

For large graphs, network structure completely characterized by the branching process

- Distribution of component sizes
- Size of giant component

Note: need $D^{\prime}$ non-singular

Proof is beyond our scope

## Survival Probability of $\mathcal{T}$

Fun fact: if $g(s)$ is the generating function for $D$, then $\frac{g^{\prime}(s)}{\mu}$ is the generating function for $D^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(s) & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} g(s)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(D=k) s^{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mathbb{P}(D=k) s^{k-1} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1) \mathbb{P}(D=k+1) s^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\xi$ solves $\mu \xi=g^{\prime}(\xi)$, survival probability of $\mathcal{T}$ is $\phi=1-g(\xi)$

- Giant component covers fraction $\phi$ of the network


## Typical Distances

Define $\nu=\mathbb{E}\left[D^{\prime}\right], H(G)$ distance between two random nodes in the largest component of $G$

## Theorem

A giant component exists if and only if $\nu>1$. In this case, for any $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{H(G)}{\log _{\nu} n}-1\right|>\epsilon\right)=0
$$

Typical distance between nodes is $\log _{\nu} n$

- Relates to growth rate of the branching process $\mathcal{T}$


## A Diffusion Process

People learn about a product through word-of-mouth

- i.i.d private values $v$ distributed uniformly on $[0,1]$
- Price $p$

If I learn about the product, buy if $v>p$

- If I buy, my friends hear about it, make their own choices

Suppose $n$ individuals are linked in a configuration model, and one random person starts out with the product

- How many people end up buying?
- How long does it take to spread?


## Outcome Variables

Let $X_{n}(t)$ denote the (random) number of purchasers after $t$ periods in the $n$ person network

Define extent of adoption

$$
\alpha_{n}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}(t)}{n}
$$

For $x \in(0,1)$, diffusion times

$$
\tau_{n}(x)=\min \left\{t: \frac{X_{n}(t)}{X_{n}(\infty)} \geq x\right\}
$$

Will characterize $\alpha_{n}$ and $\tau_{n}$ for large $n$

## Percolation in the Configuration Model

If I buy, each neighbor will buy with independent probability $1-p$

- Adoption spreads through a subgraph
- As if we delete each person with independent probability $p$

The percolated graph is also a configuration model, degree distribution $D_{p}$

- Realize degree according to $D$, delete each link with probability $p$
- Binomial distribution with $D$ trials and success probability $1-p$

Generating function for $D_{p}$ :

$$
g_{p}(s)=g(p+(1-p) s)
$$

## The Extent of Diffusion

 Recall $\mu=\mathbb{E}[D]$
## Theorem

There exist $\phi_{p}$ and $\zeta_{p}$ such that $\alpha_{n}$ converges in distribution to a random variable $\alpha$, taking the value $\phi_{p}$ with probability $\zeta_{p}$ and the value 0 otherwise. To obtain these constants, we can solve

$$
\mu \xi=g^{\prime}(p+(1-p) \xi)
$$

If $\xi^{*}$ is the solution, we have $\phi_{p}=(1-p)\left(1-g_{p}\left(\xi^{*}\right)\right)$ and $\zeta_{p}=1-g_{p}\left(\xi^{*}\right)$.

Intuitively, question is whether the initial seed touches the giant component in the percolated graph

- $\phi_{p}$ is fraction of nodes in the component, $\zeta_{p}$ is fraction of nodes that link to this component


## The Extent of Diffusion

$\mathbb{E}\left[D_{p}\right]=(1-p) \mu, g_{p}^{\prime}(s)=(1-p) g^{\prime}(p+(1-p) s)$

- $\xi^{*}$ is the extinction probability of a non-root node
- By the law of large networks, this is the probability that, going forward, a node does not link to the giant component

Probability that I do not link to the giant component:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(D_{p}=k\right)\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{k}=g_{p}\left(\xi^{*}\right)
$$

Probability that I purchase: $1-p$

## The Rate of Diffusion

## Theorem

Conditional on having a large cascade, for all $x \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\frac{\tau_{n}(x)}{\log _{p \nu} n} \rightarrow 1
$$

in probability.

The time it takes to reach any positive fraction is roughly $\log _{p \nu} n$

## The Rate of Diffusion



## Comparative Statics

How does adoption change with the price and the network?

## Theorem

Suppose $\nu>1$. There exists a critical price $p_{c} \in(0,1)$ such that

- $\phi_{p}=\zeta_{p}=0$ for $p \geq p_{c}$
- $\phi_{p}>0$ for $p<p_{c}$, and $\frac{\partial \phi_{p}}{\partial p}<0$

Suppose $D$ and $\hat{D}$ are two distributions, with $\phi_{p}$ and $\hat{\phi}_{p}$ the corresponding giant component sizes, and $\nu$ and $\hat{\nu}$ the corresponding forward degrees. If $D$ FOSD $\hat{D}$, then $\phi_{p} \geq \hat{\phi}_{p}$ and $\nu \geq \hat{\nu}$. If $D$ is a mean preserving spread of $\hat{D}$, then $\nu \geq \hat{\nu}$

## Comparative Statics

For suffciently high prices, there is no adoption, impossible to get viral cascade

Below the critical price, adoption is decreasing in price

- At $p_{c}$, derivative makes a discontinuous jump

Making the network more dense leads to more adoption and faster diffusion

- Mean preserving spread makes diffusion faster, but may not lead to more adoption


## Example

Suppose $D$ takes the value 3 for sure, $\hat{D}$ takes values 1 or 5 with equal probability

Under $D$, extinction probability solves

$$
\xi=(p+(1-p) \xi)^{2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \xi=\min \left\{1,\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

For $p$ close to zero, $\xi$ is close to zero, $\phi_{p} \approx 1$
Under $\hat{D}$, extinction probability solves

$$
6 \xi=1+5(p+(1-p) \xi)^{4}
$$

For $p$ close to zero, $\xi$ close to $0.17, \phi_{p} \approx 0.83$

## A Pricing Problem

Suppose a monopolist is selling this product and wants to choose $p$ to maximize profits

- Constant marginal cost $c<1$

Assume a fraction $\epsilon \approx 0$ of the population gets seeded at random

- For large networks, guaranteed to hit the giant component

Total demand is fraction $Q(p)=\phi_{p}=(1-p)\left(1-g_{p}\left(\xi^{*}\right)\right)$ of the population

Choose $p$ to maximize $Q(p)(p-c)$

## A Pricing Problem

If all consumers were exposed to the product, then $Q(p)=1-p$

- Maxmizie $(1-p)(p-c)$
- Set $p=\frac{1+c}{2}$, profit $\frac{(1-c)^{2}}{4}$
- Price elasticity: $\frac{p}{Q(p)} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p}=-\frac{p}{1-p}$

With word of mouth, $Q(p)=(1-p)\left(1-g_{p}\left(\xi^{*}\right)\right)$, strictly less

- Demand is also more elastic:

$$
\frac{p}{Q(p)} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p}=-\frac{p}{1-p}\left(1+\frac{(1-p)\left(1-\xi^{*}\right) g^{\prime}\left(p+(1-p) \xi^{*}\right)}{1-g_{p}\left(\xi^{*}\right)}\right)
$$

Implies lower optimal price

## Price Comparative Statics

Recall Poisson distribution:

$$
\mathbb{P}(X=k)=\frac{\lambda^{k} e^{-\lambda}}{k!}
$$

Mean and variance $\lambda$

## Theorem (Campbell, 2013)

Suppose the degree distribution is Poisson with parameter $\lambda$. The optimal monopoly price is increasing in $\lambda$.

Dense network leads to higher prices

- Intuition: monopolist less reliant on any individual spreading information


## Advertising

Suppose now our monopolist can invest in advertising in addition to word-of-mouth

Can inform a fraction $\omega$ of the population at cost $\alpha \omega$ for $\alpha>0$

New objective, maximize

$$
Q(p, \omega)(p-c)-\alpha \omega
$$

Quantity depends now both on price and on advertising $\omega$

## Advertising

Word-of-mouth complements advertising

- Customers exposed through advertising will inform additional people

Hard to jointly solve for optimal $p$ and $\omega$, but...
Theorem
Suppose the degree distribution is Poisson with parameter $\lambda$.
Price and advertising are strategic complements.

All else equal, higher prices tend to go with more advertising

## Takeaways

Discrete network diffusion models help us think about viral cascades

- Component sizes in percolation network

Faster diffusion $\neq$ more diffusion
Word-of-mouth leads to more elastic demand, tends to lower prices

Next time: models of network formation
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