## 0 (Four) Most Common Hypothesis Tests: An Applied Review

We review the four most common hypothesis test structures applying them to the same random sample example. We change the hypotheses and the decision rule in each test.

### 0.1 Random Sample

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{10}$ be a random sample from a normal population with unknown mean $(\mu)$ and known standard deviation $(\sigma=1)$. The following table presents the realization of the random sample.

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ | $x_{9}$ | $x_{10}$ | $\bar{x}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 0.4 | -0.3 | 1.2 | -0.6 | 1.6 | -0.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | -0.1 | 0.54 |

### 0.2 Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT):

Using a LR Test of size $5 \%$, test the null hypothesis that the population mean is 0 against the alternative hypothesis that the population mean is 1 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \mu=0 \\
H_{1}: & \mu=1
\end{array}
$$

Decision Rule form: "Reject $H_{0}$ if $f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{x})>k$ ".

- We need to compute $k$ and $f_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ for $i=0,1$. The value of $k$ depends on the size of the test that we want to construct and the value of $f_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ depends on the realization of the random sample.


### 0.2.1 Computing $k$

To compute $k$ we need to find the distribution of $f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{X})$ under the null hypothesis. Note that the capital letter for $\mathbf{X}$ represents the fact that the statistic $f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{X})$ is a random variable constructed as a function of the random variables in the random sample.

The way to compute the distribution of $f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{X})$ depends on the population distributions assumed (case specific) and many times it is very hard to compute. Once we know the distribution of $f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{X})$, we look for the value of $k$ that satisfy the size-condition:

$$
P\left(f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{X})>k \mid \mu=0\right)=\alpha=0.05
$$

DeGroot and Schervish (2002, pages 465-8) propose a strategy that allows us to compute $k$ without necessarily knowing the distribution of $f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{X}$. Using this method, we find that $k=1.22$, which implies that $P\left(f_{1}(\mathbf{X}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{X})>1.22 \mid \mu=0\right)=0.05$. I leave you to check the details in DeGroot and Schervish's book (not necessary for the exam).

### 0.2.2 Computing the likelihood ratio $f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{x})$

- Computing $f_{0}(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mu=0) & =\prod_{j=1}^{n} f_{0}\left(x_{j} \mid \mu=0\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{10}\left(\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)} \right\rvert\, \mu=0, \sigma=1\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{10 / 2} \cdot 1^{10}} e^{-\left(2 \cdot 1^{2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{10}\left(x_{j}-0\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{10 / 2} \cdot 1^{10}} e^{-\left(2 \cdot 1^{2}\right)^{-1} 9 \cdot 96}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Computing $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mu=1)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} f_{0}\left(x_{j} \mid \mu=1\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{10}\left(\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)} \right\rvert\, \mu=1, \sigma=1\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{10 / 2} \cdot 1^{10}} e^{-\left(2 \cdot 1^{2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{10}\left(x_{j}-1\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{10 / 2} \cdot 1^{10}} e^{-\left(2 \cdot 1^{2}\right)^{-1} 9.16} \\
& \Longrightarrow f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{x})=e^{-0.5 \cdot 9 \cdot 16} / e^{-0.5 \cdot 9 \cdot 96}=e^{0.40}=1.49
\end{aligned}
$$

### 0.2.3 Result of the test

$f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) / f_{0}(\mathbf{x})=1.49>k=1.22$, so we can reject at $5 \%$ the null hypothesis that the population mean is 0 against the alternative hypothesis that the population mean is 1 .

### 0.3 One-sided Test:

Using a One-sided Test of size $6 \%$, test the null hypothesis that the population mean is 0.4 against the alternative hypothesis that the population mean is higher than 0.4.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \mu=0.4 \\
H_{1}: & \mu>0.4
\end{array}
$$

Decision Rule form: "Reject $H_{0}$ if $\bar{x}>c$ ".

- We need to compute $c$, which depends on the size of the test that we want to construct.


### 0.3.1 Computing $c$

We need to find the value of $c$ that satisfy the condition that the probability of type 1 error is $6 \%$.

$$
P(\bar{X}>c \mid \mu=0.4)=\alpha=0.06
$$

To compute $c$ we need to find the distribution of the random variable $\bar{X}$. The random sample is normal, so we know that $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right)$. Under the null hypothesis $\bar{X} \sim N\left(0.4, \frac{1^{2}}{10}\right)$, and therefore,

$$
P\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}(\bar{X}-0.4)}{1}>\frac{\sqrt{10}(c-0.4)}{1}\right)=0.06
$$

Since $\frac{\sqrt{10}(\bar{X}-0.4)}{1}=Z \sim N(0,1)$, we know that

$$
P\left(Z>\frac{\sqrt{10}(c-0.4)}{1}\right)=0.06
$$

Since $P\left(Z>z_{0.94}\right)=0.06$, where $z_{0.94}=1.555$, we know that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{10}(c-0.4)}{1}=1.555
$$

and that

$$
c=0.4+\frac{1.555}{\sqrt{10}}=0.892
$$

### 0.3.2 Result of the test

$\bar{x}=0.54<c=0.892$, so we cannot reject at $6 \%$ the null hypothesis that the population mean is 0.4 against the alternative hypothesis that the population mean is higher than 0.4.

### 0.4 Two-sided Test:

Using a symmetric Two-sided Test of size $1 \%$, test the null hypothesis that the population mean is 0.1 against the alternative hypothesis that the population mean is different than 0.1.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: \quad \mu=0.1 \\
H_{1}: \quad \mu \neq 0.1
\end{array}
$$

Decision Rule form: "Reject $H_{0}$ if $\bar{x}<c_{1}$ or if $\bar{x}>c_{2}$ ".

- We need to compute $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, which depend on the size of the test.


### 0.4.1 Computing $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$

We need to find the value of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ that satisfy the condition that the probability of type 1 error is $1 \%$.

$$
P\left(\bar{X}<c_{1} \mid \mu=0.1\right)+P\left(\bar{X}>c_{2} \mid \mu=0.1\right)=\alpha=0.01
$$

Since we are constructing a symmetric test, we have to satisfy the following two conditions:

$$
P\left(\bar{X}<c_{1} \mid \mu=0.1\right)=0.005 \quad \text { and } \quad P\left(\bar{X}>c_{2} \mid \mu=0.1\right)=0.005
$$

To compute $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ we need to find the distribution of the random variable $\bar{X}$. The random sample is normal, so we know that $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right)$. Under the null hypothesis $\bar{X} \sim N\left(0.1, \frac{1^{2}}{10}\right)$, and therefore,
$P\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}(\bar{X}-0.1)}{1}<\frac{\sqrt{10}\left(c_{1}-0.1\right)}{1}\right)=0.005 \quad$ and $\quad P\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}(\bar{X}-0.1)}{1}>\frac{\sqrt{10}\left(c_{2}-0.1\right)}{1}\right)=0.005$

Since $\frac{\sqrt{10}(\bar{X}-0.1)}{1}=Z \sim N(0,1)$, we know that

$$
P\left(Z<\frac{\sqrt{10}\left(c_{1}-0.1\right)}{1}\right)=0.005 \quad \text { and } \quad P\left(Z>\frac{\sqrt{10}\left(c_{2}-0.1\right)}{1}\right)=0.005
$$

Since $P\left(Z<z_{0.005}\right)=0.005$ and $P\left(Z>z_{0.995}\right)=0.005$, where $z_{0.005}=-2.575$ and $z_{0.995}=2.575$, we know that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{10}\left(c_{1}-0.1\right)}{1}=-2.575 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\sqrt{10}\left(c_{2}-0.1\right)}{1}=2.575
$$

and that

$$
c_{1}=0.1+\frac{-2.575}{\sqrt{10}}=-0.714 \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}=0.1+\frac{2.575}{\sqrt{10}}=0.914
$$

- Note that to compute $c_{2}$ we follow the same steps used to compute $c$ in the One-sided Test done above (with $\alpha=0.005$ ).


### 0.4.2 Result of the test

$\bar{x}=0.54>c_{1}=-0.714$ and $\bar{x}=0.54<c_{2}=0.914$, so we cannot reject at $1 \%$ the null hypothesis that the population mean is 0.1 against the alternative hypothesis that the population mean is different than 0.1.

### 0.5 Generalized Likelihood Ratio Test (GLRT):

We will no solve this test, but we will just give the basic guidelines.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0}: & \mu=0 \\
H_{1}: & \mu \neq 0
\end{array}
$$

Decision Rule form: "Reject $H_{0}$ if $T>d$ ".

Where $T$ is the following statistic:

$$
T=\frac{\sup _{\theta \in \Omega_{0}} L\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\sup _{\theta \in \Omega} L\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}=\frac{\sup _{\theta \in \Omega_{0}} f\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta \in \Omega_{0}\right)}{\sup _{\theta \in \Omega} f(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta \in \Omega)}
$$

- We need to compute $T$ and $d$. For $T$, we need to find the maximum value of the likelihood function (given the realization of the sample) evaluating $\mu$ at all the possible values in the null and alternative set $(\forall \mu \in \Re$ in this case). This maximum value of the likelihood function is the number that goes in the denominator.
- We need to follow the same procedure to compute the value in the numerator, but we only need to evaluate the likelihood at all possible values of $\mu$ in the null space. Since the null space is singleton in this case, we have to evaluate the likelihood only at $\mu=0$.
- We compute $d$ using the following condition, $P(T<d \mid \mu=0)=\alpha$. As with the case of the LR-Test, the strategy to determine the distribution of $T$ depends on each case and many times it is be very hard to compute. We have an alternative when computing a GLRT, which applies only to the cases where we can assume that $n \rightarrow \infty$. In a large sample, we know that the limiting distribution of $-2 \ln T$ is (this result is not proved in this class):

$$
-2 \ln T \stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \chi_{(r)}^{2} ;
$$

where $r$ is the \# of free parameters in $\Omega$ minus the \# of free parameters in $\Omega_{0} .{ }^{1}$

- Reject $H_{0}$ if $-2 \ln T>\chi_{(r), \alpha}^{2}$.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The technical result says that the distribution is a $\chi_{(r)}^{2}$ with degrees of freedom $r=\operatorname{dim} \Omega-\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{0}$.

