

## 1.3 Nominal rigidities

- two period economy
- households of consumers-producers
- monopolistic competition, price-setting
- uncertainty about productivity

- preferences

$$\sum_{t=1}^2 \beta^t \left( \log C_{it} - \frac{\kappa}{1+\eta} N_{it}^{1+\eta} \right),$$

$C_{it}$  is the CES aggregate

$$C_{it} = \left( \int_0^1 C_{ijt}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} di \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}},$$

with  $\sigma > 1$

- Technology

$$Y_{it} = A_t N_{it}.$$

- productivity shocks  $A_t$

$$A_t = e^{a_t}$$

$$a_1 = x + \epsilon_1,$$

$$a_2 = x + \epsilon_2$$

- $x$  and  $\epsilon_t$  mean-zero, i.i.d., normal
- A signal about long-run productivity

$$s = x + e$$

- nominal balances with central bank at nominal rate  $R$
- household set  $P_{it}$  then consumers buy
- intertemporal BC

$$(P_2 C_{i2} - P_{i2} Y_{i2}) + R \cdot (P_1 C_{i1} - P_{i1} Y_{i1}) \leq 0,$$

- $P_t$  is the price index

$$P_t = \left( \int P_{it}^{1-\sigma} di \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}.$$

# Flexible price equilibrium

- period 2. Optimality for price-setting,

$$(1 - \sigma) \frac{1}{P_t} \frac{P_{it} Y_{it}}{C_{it}} + \kappa \sigma \frac{1}{A_t} \frac{Y_{it}}{P_{it}} N_{it}^\eta = 0.$$

- symmetric equilibrium,  $Y_t = A_t N_t$ , this condition gives

$$N_t = \left( \frac{\sigma - 1}{\kappa \sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta}} = 1$$

(normalization of  $\kappa$ ).

- quantities

$$C_t = Y_t = A_t.$$

- what about consumers' decisions?
- consumer Euler equation

$$\frac{1}{C_1} = RE \left[ \frac{P_1}{P_2} \frac{1}{C_2} \middle| a_1, s \right]$$

- $C_t = A_t$  log-normal

$$r + p_1 - E[p_2 | a_1, s] = E[a_2 | a_1, s] - a_1 - \frac{1}{2} Var[a_2 | a_1, s].$$

- all changes in  $E[y_2]$  go to the real interest rate
- notice role of  $p_1$ : neutralizes  $r$

## Fixed prices in period 1

- price-setting before any shock observed

$$E \left[ (1 - \sigma) \frac{1}{P_1 C_{i1}} \frac{P_{i1} Y_{i1}}{P_{i1}} + \kappa \sigma \frac{1}{A_2} N_{i1}^\eta \frac{Y_{i1}}{P_{i1}} \right] = 0.$$

- rearranging this gives

$$E \left[ N_1^{1+\eta} \right] = 1$$

- this will pin down averages but not responses to shocks

- quantities: equilibrium in period 2 identical
- in period 1 now Euler equation (set  $p_2 = 0$ )

$$c_1 = E [a_2|a_1, s] - \frac{1}{2}Var [a_2|a_1, s] - r - p_1.$$

- suppose  $r$  fixed,  $p_1$  fixed by assumption
- now “sentiment shocks” affect consumption

Figure 8: RBC and Simple Monetary Model  
Expectation of Technology Shock in Period 13 Not Realized

Image removed due to copyright restrictions.

- pin down  $p_1$

$$E \left[ N_1^{1+\eta} \right] = E \left[ e^{(1+\eta)(y_1 - a_1)} \right] = 1,$$

- thanks to log-normality this equation can be solved explicitly and gives

$$\begin{aligned} -r - p_1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{Var} [a_2 | a_1, s] + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \eta) (\beta + \delta - 1)^2 \sigma_x^2 + \\ + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \eta) (\beta - 1)^2 \sigma_\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \eta) \delta^2 \sigma_e^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- where

$$E [a_2 | a_1, s] = \beta a_1 + \delta s$$

- simple implication anticipated changes in  $r$  are neutral
- if instead we follow rule, e.g.

$$r = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y_1$$

then economy response changes

- we'll go back to monetary policy

# What about demand shocks?

- here price response is absent
- need a bit more flexibility
  - sticky prices
  - imperfect information

## 1.4 Lucas-Phelps islands

- Lucas 1972
- Overlapping generations
- Agents work at date  $t$  consume at date  $t + 1$
- Preferences

$$E \left[ C_{i,t+1} - \frac{1}{2} N_{i,t}^2 \right]$$

- money

$x_t$  proportional subsidy from gov't

agents work, accumulate money, spend, die

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{i,t} &= N_{i,t} \\M_{i,t+1} &= P_{i,t}Y_{i,t}(1 + x_{t+1}) \\P_{j,t+1}C_{i,t+1} &= M_{i,t+1}\end{aligned}$$

at date  $t + 1$  agent  $i$  consumes the output of agent  $j$

- continuum of islands,  $i \in [0, 1]$
- unit mass of agents on each
- old agents receive proportional transfer  $x_t$  from govt'
- they travel to one island where they spend all their money
- prices  $P_{i,t}$  determined in walrasian equilibrium
- young agents decide their labor supply only observe  $P_{i,t}$

- old agents in island  $i$  are representative sample
- but different mass  $\phi_{i,t}$
- nominal demand demand in island  $i$  is

$$\phi_{i,t} \int_0^1 M_{i,t} di = \phi_{i,t} M_t$$

- $\phi_{i,t}$  log-normal with

$$\int_0^1 \phi_{i,t} di = \mathbf{1}$$

- Idiosyncratic demand shock

$$\log \phi_{i,t} = u_{i,t}$$

- Monetary shocks log-normal

$$\epsilon_t = \log(1 + x_t)$$

- total nominal demand is

$$D_{i,t} = \phi_{i,t} (1 + x_t) M_{t-1}$$

in logs

$$d_{i,t} = \epsilon_t + u_{i,t} + m_{t-1}$$

*Market clearing*

$$P_{i,t}N_{i,t} = \phi_{i,t} (1 + x_t) M_{t-1}$$

# Information structure

- all agents observe  $\{M_{t-1}, M_{t-2}, \dots\}$
- old agents observe  $x_t, P_{j,t}$  ( $j$  is the good they buy)
- young agents observe  $P_{i,t}$

observing  $P_{i,t}$  and  $M_{t-1}$ , and knowing their own  $N_{i,t}$  young agents can infer

$$\phi_{i,t} (1 + x_t)$$

# Labor supply

Agents solve

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{N_{i,t}, C_{i,t+1}} \quad & E \left[ C_{i,t+1} - \frac{1}{2} N_{i,t}^2 | P_{i,t}, M_{t-1} \right] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & P_{j,t+1} C_{i,t+1} = P_{i,t} N_{i,t} (1 + x_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $C_{i,t+1}$  and obtain

FOC

$$E \left[ \frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{j,t+1}} (1 + x_{t+1}) - N_{i,t} | P_{i,t}, M_{t-1} \right] = 0$$

interpretation

$$\underbrace{N_{i,t}}_{\text{labor supply}} = E\left[\frac{P_{i,t}}{\underbrace{P_{j,t+1}}_{\text{exp.infl.}}} (1 + x_{t+1}) \mid P_{i,t}, M_{t-1}\right]$$

# Equilibrium prices

*guess:*

$$P_{i,t} = g \left( \phi_{i,t} (1 + x_t) \right) M_{t-1}$$

Because  $\phi_{i,t}$  and  $x_t$  are i.i.d. the distribution of  $\phi_{j,t+1} (1 + x_{t+1})$  is given at date  $t$ .

Decompose

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i,t} &= E \left[ \frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{j,t+1}} (1 + x_{t+1}) \mid P_{i,t}, M_{t-1} \right] = \\ &= E_{i,t} \left[ \frac{P_{i,t}}{M_t} \right] E_{i,t} \left[ \frac{M_t}{P_{j,t+1}} (1 + x_{t+1}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

then

$$E \left[ \frac{1 + x_{t+1}}{g(\phi_{j,t+1}(1 + x_{t+1}))} | \phi_{j,t+1}(1 + x_{t+1}) \right] = \xi$$

is a constant independent of today's shocks

$$N_{i,t} = \xi E_{i,t} \left[ \frac{P_{i,t}}{M_{t-1}} \frac{1}{1 + x_t} \right]$$

From equilibrium condition we obtain

$$\phi_{i,t} \frac{M_t}{P_{i,t}} = N_{i,t} = \xi E_{i,t} \left[ \frac{P_{i,t}}{M_t} \right]$$

in logs,

$$m_t - p_{i,t} + u_{i,t} = (\dots) - E_{i,t} [m_t - p_{i,t}]$$

(constant terms in (...), depend on variances)

We obtain

$$p_{i,t} = \bar{p} + \frac{1}{2} (m_t + u_{it}) + \frac{1}{2} E_{i,t} [m_t]$$

Agents observe

$$m_t + u_{i,t} = m_{t-1} + \epsilon_t + u_{i,t}$$

Define

$$\bar{E}_t [m_t] = \int_0^1 E [m_t | m_{t-1}, \epsilon_t + u_{i,t}] di$$

Then, averaging, we have

$$p_t = \bar{p} + \frac{1}{2}m_t + \frac{1}{2}\bar{E}_t [m_t]$$

# Imperfect information

$$\bar{E}_t [m_t] \neq m_t$$

in particular

$$E [m_t | m_{t-1}, \epsilon_t + u_{i,t}] = m_{t-1} + \beta (\epsilon_t + u_{it})$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\sigma_m^2}{\sigma_m^2 + \sigma_u^2}$$

so

$$\bar{E}_t [m_t] = m_{t-1} + \beta \epsilon_t \neq m_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

We have

$$p_t = \bar{p} + m_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta)\epsilon_t$$

and output is

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= m_t - p_t \\ &= \bar{y} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta)\epsilon_t \end{aligned}$$

- larger  $\frac{\sigma_m^2}{\sigma_u^2}$  implies smaller real effects of monetary policy
- Phillips curve depends on the monetary regime

## Wrapping up

- with partially revealing prices
  - first order expect.  $m_t \neq \bar{E}_t [m_t]$
- this can explain short-run non-neutrality
- prices adjust less than 1:1 with imp. info
- policy regime affects inference and thus effects of shocks