MIT OpenCourseWare <u>http://ocw.mit.edu</u>

15.023J / 12.848J / ESD.128J Global Climate Change: Economics, Science, and Policy Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

15.023 - 12.848 - 12.308 - ESD.128

GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE : ECONOMICS, SCIENCE, AND POLICY

THE CLIMATE MACHINE V: INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT I Sensitivity Studies and Uncertainty Analysis using the Integrated Global System Model

R. PRINN, April 14, 2008

- **1. Structural & Parametric Uncertainty**
- 2. Sensitivity Analysis
- 3. Uncertainty Analysis: IGSM 1
- 4. Uncertainty Analysis: IGSM 2.2
- 5. Communicating Uncertainty

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

TWO TYPES OF UNCERTAINTY

> **STRUCTURAL** UNCERTAINTY

> PARAMETRIC UNCERTAINTY

TWO TYPES OF **ANALYSIS**

SENSITIVITY

UNCERTAINTY

$$dY = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{i}} dx_{i}$$

$$(dY)^{2} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{i}} dx_{i}\right)^{2} + \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{j}} dx_{j} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{k}} dx_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{i}} dx_{i}\right)^{2} \text{ if errors due to } x_{j}$$

uncorrelated with errors due to xk and errors have zero mean

UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS .

$$CDF(Y) = P[Y' \le Y]$$

$$PDF(Y) = \frac{dCDF(Y)}{dY}$$

e.g., Normal (Gaussian)

 $\mu =$

$$PDF(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(Y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\mu = \int Y'PDF(Y')dY' \text{ (first moment = mean or expected value)}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \int (Y'-\mu)^2 PDF(Y')dY' \text{ (second moment = }$$

variance = $[std. dev]^2$)

Sensitivity Analysis using the MIT INTEGRATED GLOBAL SYSTEM MODEL VERSION 1



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

Ref: Prinn et al, Climatic Change, 41, 469-546, 1999

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS: *Defining the uncertain parameters*

Image removed due to copyright restrictions. See Table IV in:

Prinn, R., et al. "Integrated Global System Model for Climate Policy Assessment: Feedbacks and Sensitivity Studies." *Climatic Change* 41, no. 3/4 (1999): 469-546. Schematic illustrating the seven runs performed for the Sensitivity Analysis of the IGSM 1. Open ellipses denote points in sequence where output is available, with the letters in the ellipse denoting the identifying symbol for the output.



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

Ref: Prinn et al, Climatic Change, 41, 469-546, 1999

Sensitivity of Temperature Change from 1990 to 2100 to assumed: Emissions (p, e, b); Ocean Heat & Carbon Uptake and Aerosol Forcing (K, a); & Climate Sensitivity (s)



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare.

Ref: Prinn et al, Climatic Change, 41, 469-546, 1999

Uncertainty Analysis using the MIT INTEGRATED GLOBAL SYSTEM MODEL VERSION 1

THE MAJOR CLIMATE FORECAST MODEL UNCERTAINTIES INVOLVE CLOUDS, OCEAN MIXING & AEROSOL FORCING.

THESE UNCERTAINTIES ARE CONSTRAINED BY OBSERVATIONS

> ADDED TO THESE ARE SUBSTANTIAL UNCERTAINTIES IN EMISSION FORECASTING

TO ESTIMATE THE PDFs OF VARIOUS MEASURES OF CLIMATE CHANGE, WE USE VERY LARGE **ENSEMBLES OF IGSM** RUNS, EACH WITH RANDOMLY CHOSEN EQUAL PROBABILITY **CHOICES FOR THE** UNCERTAIN MODEL PARAMETERS.

Uncertainty Analysis Method

- 250 runs of the MIT IGSM with Latin hypercube sampling of uncertain model parameters
- No explicit policy and stringent policy (at or below 550ppm CO₂ equivalent) cases
- Policy should lower probability of damaging outcomes

TO ADDRESS UNCERTAINTY MIT CLIMATE MODEL HAS FLEXIBLE SENSITIVITY, **OCEAN MIXING** & AEROSOL FORCING WHOSE **UNCERTAINTIES ARE CONSTRAINED BY OBSERVATIONS**

Images removed due to copyright restrictions. See Figure 4 in:

Forest, Chris, et al. "Quantifying Uncertainties in Climate System Properties with the Use of Recent Climate Observations." *Science* 295 (2002): 113-117. PROBABILITY RANGES FOR NO-POLICY CASE OF EMISSIONS OF SELECTED CLIMATE-FORCING & POLLUTING GASES (EPPA cf. SRES)

Global CO₂ Emissions (PgC/yr)



Ref: Webster et al, Atmos. Environ.,2002



Year

Global NO_x Emissions (Tg/yr)



Courtesy Elsevier, Inc., http://www.sciencedirect.com. Used with permission.

CO₂ Concentration Change



Projected changes in atmospheric CO_2 concentrations relative to 1990. Solid lines show the lower 95%, median, and upper 95% in the absence of greenhouse gas restrictions, and dashed lines show the lower 95%, median, and upper 95% under a policy that approximately stabilizes CO_2 concentrations at 550 ppm.



Projected changes in radiative forcing relative to 1990 due to all greenhouse gases. Solid lines show the lower 95%, median, and upper 95% in the absence of greenhouse gas restrictions, and dashed lines show the lower 95%, median, and upper 95% under a policy that approximately stabilizes CO_2 concentrations at 550 ppm.



Projected changes in global mean surface temperature relative to 1990. Solid lines show the lower 95%, median, and upper 95% in the absence of greenhouse gas restrictions, and dashed lines show the lower 95%, median, and upper 95% under a policy that approximately stabilizes CO₂ concentrations at 550 ppm.

FULL PDF'S OF KEY MEASURES OF CLIMATE CHANGE for 1990-2100, WITH & WITHOUT the (550 ppm) POLICY



Source: Webster et al., Climatic Change, 2003 (MIT JPSPGC Report No. 95)

INFLUENCE OF EMISSIONS VS. CLIMATE ON THE PDF's

Temperature Change PDFs



Global Mean Temperature Change 1990-2100 (°C)

Probability distributions of global mean surface temperature change from 1990 to 2100 from all uncertain parameters (solid blue), only climate model parameters uncertain and emissions fixed (dotted red), and only emissions uncertain with climate model parameters fixed (dashed green).

Source: Webster et al., Climatic Change, 2003 (MIT JPSPGC Report No. 95)

WHAT IS THE PROBABILITY OF VARIOUS AMOUNTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE BY LATITUDE for 1990-2100, WITH & WITHOUT A (550 ppm CO₂-equivalent) POLICY?



Projected change in surface warming by latitude band between 1990 and 2100. The median value, and lower 95% and upper 95% bounds are shown. Solid lines show distributions resulting from no emissions restrictions and dashed lines are distributions under the sample policy.

Source: Webster et al., Climatic Change, 2003 (MIT JPSPGC Report No. 95)

Uncertainty Analysis of MIT IGSM 2.2 under <u>No Policy</u> and <u>Stabilization</u> Scenarios



Methodology

 Estimate probability distributions for input parameters controlling the emissions and climate projections-system models

 (1) Emissions Uncertainties
 (2) Climate System Response Uncertainties: Climate Sensitivity
 Rate of Heat uptake by Deep Ocean
 Radiative Forcing Strength of Aerosols
 (3) Greenhouse Gas Cycle Uncertainties:
 CO₂ Fertilization Effect on Ecosystem Sink
 Rate of Carbon Uptake by Deep-Ocean
 Trends in Rainfall Frequency on CH₄ + N₂O

- Generate 400 member ensembles to represent Monte Carlo sample
- Simulate Reference (i.e., No Policy) and Four Stabilization Scenarios (450, 550, 650, and 750 ppm)

p(S,K,): IGSM2.2 Uniform and Expert CS priors Ref: Forest, et al, 2008



Frequency Distributions for Temperature Change from 2000 to 2100 under <u>No Policy</u> and 4 CCSP <u>Stabilization</u> Scenarios



COMMUNICATING UNCERTAINTY AND RISK

Risks of Global Mean Temperature Increase Since Preindustrial

	$\Delta T > 2^{\circ}C$	$\Delta T > 4^{\circ}C$	$\Delta T > 6^{\circ}C$
No Policy	400 in 400	4 in 5	1 in 3
Stabilize at 750	400 in 400	3 in 5	1 in 50
Stabilize at 650	98 in 100	1 in 5	1 in 200
Stabilize at 550	97 in 100	1 in 20	<1 in 400
Stabilize at 450	70 in 100	<1 in 400	<1 in 400

COMMUNICATING UNCERTAINTY AND RISK

Risks of Ocean Impacts

	Sea Level Rise > 0.3m	Sea Level Rise > 0.6m
No Policy	19 in 20	8 in 50
Stabilize at 750	17 in 20	2 in 50
Stabilize at 650	15 in 20	1 in 50
Stabilize at 550	11 in 20	<1 in 400
Stabilize at 450	5 in 20	< 1 in 400

Why is the Reference distribution shifted To higher temperatures?

- Radiative Forcing Increases?
 - Emissions (higher lower bound)
 - Reduced Ocean Carbon Uptake
 - Additional forcing such as Black Carbon & Tropospheric Ozone (additional forcing included but still calibrated by net aerosols in 1990s)
- Climate Model Response?
 - Climate Model Parameters show higher response
- Learning?
 - Distributions better defined
 - Distributions shifted higher

Conclusions regarding odds of Future Climate Change from latest Analysis

- \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 \[
 \]
 - virtually certain under No Policy scenario
 - reduced to 7 in 10 chance with 450 ppm stabilization
- **\Delta SeaLevel > 0.3 meters above pre-industrial**
 - 19 chances in 20 under No Policy scenario
 - reduced to 1 in 4 chance with 450 ppm stabilization

Concluding Remarks

As with all investigations of complex and only partially understood systems the probability results must be treated with appropriate caution:

Current knowledge of stability of great ice sheets, stability of thermo-haline circulation, ecosystem dynamics, climate-severe storm connections, future technological innovation, human population dynamics, political change, etc., is limited.

□ Therefore, "surprises" not currently evident from model studies including uncertainty studies may occur.