# Optimization Methods in Management Science 

MIT 15.053, Spring 2013
Problem Set 5, Due: Thursday April 2th, 2013

## Problem Set Rules:

1. Each student should hand in an individual problem set.
2. Discussing problem sets with other students is permitted. Copying from another person or solution set is not permitted.
3. Late assignments will not be accepted. No exceptions.

## Problem 1

(15 points) A local radio station is going to schedule commercials within 60 second blocks. Consider the following six commercials. number 1 is 12 seconds long, number 2 is 18 seconds long, number 3 is 22 seconds long, number 4 is 35 seconds long, number 5 is 40 seconds long and number 6 is 59 seconds long. What is the smallest number of 60 second blocks that the commercials fit into?

## Problem 2

(25 points) A typical large oil and gas company operates many explorations and production projects, which involve several billion dollars every year. These companies are annually faced with the problem of where the capital should be spent and which combination of projects should be selected from several possible project mixes. They have the difficult task of portfolio selection from a large number of competing projects for immediate or future operation under a limited amount of investments.

Consider a small firm with 4 competing oil production projects. Table 1 presents the production, capital, and the net present value for these projects. They contact you to help select the best combination of projects under a certain amount of investment, while fulfilling the firm's goals.
(a) (5 points) Formulate an integer program to maximize the net present value (NPV) subject to a capital limit stating the firm can spend no more than $\$ 32$ million ( $\mathrm{M} \$$ ) and a production level stating the firm must produce at least 73 million barrels (Mbbl).
(b) (9 points, 3 points each) Suppose that there are the following additional constraints:
(i) If Project A is selected, then Project B is also selected;
(ii) Either Project A is selected or Project C selected, but not both;
(iii) At least one of Projects $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and D is selected.

Extend your integer program to satisfy theses constraints.

Table 1: Portfolio optimization problem Data

| Project | NPV (M\$) | Capital (M\$) | Production (Mbbl) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 25 | 11 | 28 |
| B | 20 | 9 | 20 |
| C | 19 | 14 | 25 |
| D | 28 | 17 | 30 |

Table 2: Budget limitation and production level over the next 3 years

| Year | Budget (M\$) | Production (Mbbl) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18 | 20 |
| 2 | 10 | 25 |
| 3 | 7 | 30 |

(c) (5 points) Now assume that the selection must satisfy the production level as well as the budget limit over each of the next 3 years as indicated in Table 2. The production and capital for each project during the next 3 years are given in Table 3. Write an integer program to determine the most profitable selection.
(d) (6 points) Generalize your integer program to a general setting: Assume that you are given a set of $n$ projects and your task is to maximize the net present value (NPV), subject to a capital limit stating that we can spend no more that $C_{i}$ and a production limit stating that we must produce at least $P_{i}$ million barrels over each of the next $L$ year. Assume that $n p v_{j}$ represents the NPV of $j t h$ asset and $p_{i, j}$ and $c_{i, j}$ represent the production and capital for the $j^{t h}$ project in the $i^{t h}$ year, respectively. Write the corresponding integer program.

Table 3: Portfolio optimization problem data over the next 3 years

| Project | first year | second year | third year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital (M\$) |  |  |  |
| A | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| B | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| C | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| D | 8 | 5 | 5 |
| Production $(\mathrm{Mbbl})$ |  |  |  |
| A | 5 | 5 | 8 |
| B | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| C | 6 | 9 | 10 |
| D | 8 | 10 | 12 |

Do not be scared by the fact that we have parameters $n, C_{i}, P_{i}, c_{i j}, p_{i j}$ instead of numbers!

You can treat them just as you would treat numbers. Start by defining the decision variables.

## Problem 3

(24 points) We have two binary variables $x_{1}, x_{2} \in\{0,1\}$. We want to represent the outcome of the three logical operations AND, OR, XOR applied on $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. The definition of these three operations is as follows:

- Let $w=\left(x_{1}\right.$ AND $\left.x_{2}\right) . w$ is 1 if and only if both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are 1 , and is 0 otherwise.
- Let $y=\left(x_{1}\right.$ OR $\left.x_{2}\right) . y$ is 1 if and only if at least one of the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is 1 , and is 0 otherwise.
- Let $z=\left(x_{1}\right.$ XOR $\left.x_{2}\right) . z$ is 1 if and only if the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ have different values, and is 0 otherwise.

In Table 4 , we give the value of each variable $w, y, z$ in terms of the value of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. The definition in the table is equivalent to the one given above.

|  | $x_{2}=0$ | $x_{2}=1$ | $x_{2}=0$ | $x_{2}=1$ | $x_{2}=0$ | $x_{2}=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}=0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $x_{1}=1$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | $w(\mathrm{AND})$ | $y(\mathrm{OR})$ |  | $z(\mathrm{XOR})$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Value of $w, y, z$ as a function of $x_{1}, x_{2}$.
Your goal in this problem is to define $w, y, z$ using linear constraints only. For the AND and OR operations, you are not allowed to introduce additional variables.
(a) (8 points) Write a set of linear constraints that define $w=\left(x_{1}\right.$ AND $\left.x_{2}\right)$. The constraints should only involve the variables $w, x_{1}, x_{2}$.
(b) (8 points) Write a set of linear constraints that define $y=\left(x_{1} \mathrm{OR} x_{2}\right)$. The constraints should only involve the variables $y, x_{1}, x_{2}$.
(c) (8 points) Write a set of linear constraints that define $z=\left(x_{1} \mathrm{XOR} x_{2}\right)$. You are allowed to introduce an auxiliary variable in this case. Thus, the constraints should involve the variables $z, x_{1}, x_{2}$, and possibly an additional variable $a$.

## Problem 4

(36 points) We are given an integer program defined as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rrrl}
\max & 10 x_{1}+22 x_{2}+5 x_{3}+15 x_{4}+17 x_{5}+12 x_{6}+4 x_{7} & & \\
\text { s.t.: } & 5 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+8 x_{3}+9 x_{4}+16 x_{5}+5 x_{6}+10 x_{7} \leq & 700 & \\
\forall i=1,2,3 & x_{i} & \in & \{0,1\} \\
i=4,5,6,7 & 0 \leq x_{i} & \leq & 200
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For each of the parts below, you are to add constraint(s) and possibly variables to ensure that the logical condition is satisfied by the integer program. Each part is independent; that is, no part depends on the parts preceding it. You do not need to repeat the integer programming
objective or constraints given above. You may use the big $M$ method for formulating constraint when it is appropriate. If you need to use the big $M$ method, choose the answer that corresponds to the best possible value for the big M coefficient that appears in the formulation. (By "best possible value" we mean the smallest possible value such that the logical constraints modeled through the big M remain valid.)
(a) (3 points) Write a single linear constraint that is equivalent to the statement "If $x_{2}=1$ is selected, then $x_{1}=0$ "
(b) (3 points) Write a single linear constraint that is equivalent to the statement " $x_{1}$ and $x_{3}$ cannot both be 1 ".
(c) (4 points) Add a single integer variable $w_{4}$ and a constraint that ensures that $x_{8}$ is divisible by 3 but not divisible by 6 . (The remainder when dividing by 6 must be 3 ).
(d) (4 points) Add three binary variables $w_{5}, w_{6}$, and $w_{7}$ and two constraints that ensures that $x_{5}=9$ or 15 or 20.
(e) (7 points) Add 3 binary variables $w_{1}, w_{2}$, and $w_{3}$ and at most 4 constraints so as to ensure that at least two of the following constraints is satisfied: (i) $x_{4} \geq 50$, (ii) $x_{5} \leq 25$, (iii) $x_{6}+x_{7} \leq 100$.
(f) (7 points) Add variable(s) and constraint(s) that ensure either $2 x_{4}+x_{5} \leq 50$ or $4 x_{4}-x_{5} \geq 20$, but not both.
(g) (8 points) Add variable(s) and constraint(s) that model the cost of $x_{4}$ as $f_{4}\left(x_{4}\right)$, which is defined as follows: If $0 \leq x_{4} \leq 50$, then $f_{4}\left(x_{4}\right)=20 x_{4}$. If $51 \leq x_{4} \leq 100$, then $f_{4}\left(x_{4}\right)=1000$. If $101 \leq x_{4} \leq 200$, then $f_{4}\left(x_{4}\right)=-500+15 x_{4}$.
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