NON LINEAR PROGRAMMING Prof. Stephen Graves

Consider the example we used to introduce Lagrange multipliers:

MIN
$$f(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{S_i D_i}{Q_i} + \frac{H_i Q_i}{2}$$

s.t. $g(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{T_i D_i}{Q_i} = K$

Some definitions:

Gradient of **f**:

$$\nabla \mathbf{f} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_2}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_3}\right)$$
$$= \left(-\frac{\mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{D}_1}{\mathbf{Q}_1^2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_1}{2}, -\frac{\mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{D}_2}{\mathbf{Q}_2^2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_2}{2}, -\frac{\mathbf{S}_3 \mathbf{D}_3}{\mathbf{Q}_3^2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_3}{2}\right)$$

Gradient of g:

$$\nabla g = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial Q_1}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial Q_2}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial Q_3}\right)$$
$$= \left(-\frac{T_1 D_1}{Q_1^2}, -\frac{T_2 D_2}{Q_2^2}, -\frac{T_3 D_3}{Q_3^2}\right)$$

Directional derivatives:

Let $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denote a direction. The directional derivative for **f** and **g** are given by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{Q}_{i}} \right) \mathrm{x}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{S}_{i}\mathrm{D}_{i}}{\mathrm{Q}_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\mathrm{H}_{i}}{2} \right) \mathrm{x}_{i}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{g}}{\partial \mathrm{Q}_{i}} \right) \mathrm{x}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{T}_{i}\mathrm{D}_{i}}{\mathrm{Q}_{i}^{2}} \right) \mathrm{x}_{i}$$

Note: the directional derivative is the "dot product" of the gradient and the direction vector.

For a given point, say (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) , what is the direction of steepest ascent for the objective function **f**? That is, what direction provides the largest value for the directional derivative? The direction of steepest ascent will be the solution to the following optimization problem:

MAX
$$\frac{d f}{d x} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(-\frac{S_i D_i}{Q_i^2} + \frac{H_i}{2} \right) x_i$$

s. t. $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$

By using a Lagrange multiplier to solve this problem, you can show that the direction of steepest ascent is given by

$$\mathbf{\underline{x}}^* = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = \nabla f / \|\nabla f\|$$

That is, the "best" direction is the (normalized) gradient; for our example, the direction of steepest ascent (not normalized) is

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}^* = \left(-\frac{\mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{D}_1}{\mathbf{Q}_1^2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_1}{2} , -\frac{\mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{D}_2}{\mathbf{Q}_2^2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_2}{2} , -\frac{\mathbf{S}_3 \mathbf{D}_3}{\mathbf{Q}_3^2} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_3}{2} \right)$$

Note that since the actual problem is a minimization, we actually want the <u>direction of</u> <u>steepest descent</u>, which would just be the negative of the gradient.

Now suppose that the given point (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) is feasible; that is, it satisfies the constraint: $g(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) = K$

What is the best feasible direction?

The best feasible direction (for ascent) will be the solution to the following optimization problem:

MAX
$$\frac{d f}{d \underline{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(-\frac{S_i D_i}{Q_i^2} + \frac{H_i}{2} \right) x_i$$

s. t.. $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$
 $\frac{d g}{d \underline{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(-\frac{T_i D_i}{Q_i^2} \right) x_i = 0$

By using two Lagrange multipliers to solve the problem, you can find that the best feasible direction (called the <u>reduced gradient</u>) is given by:

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{*} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{*}, \ \mathbf{x}_{2}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{3}^{*} \end{array} \right) = \nabla \mathbf{f} - \left(\frac{\nabla \mathbf{f} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{g}}{\nabla \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{g}} \right) \nabla \mathbf{g}$$
where $\nabla \mathbf{f} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{i}} \ \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{i}} \right)$, and $\nabla \mathbf{g} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{i}} \ \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{i}} \right)$

The reduced gradient can then be used as a search direction to improve the current solution. To see that the reduced gradient is a feasible direction, we note that

$$\underline{x}^{*} \bullet \nabla g = \nabla f \bullet \nabla g - \left(\frac{\nabla f \bullet \nabla g}{\nabla g \bullet \nabla g}\right) \nabla g \bullet \nabla g = \underline{0}$$

Besides determining the reduced gradient, an algorithm would also need to determine the "step size:" namely how far to move along the reduced gradient. An algorithm stops when the reduced gradient equals (approximately) the zero vector.