

## Geometry

### Warm-up: A Theorem by Edmonds and Giles

**Theorem 1** (Edmonds and Giles 1977). *A rational polyhedron  $P$  is integral if and only if for all integral vectors  $w$  the optimal value of  $\max\{wx : x \in P\}$  is integer.*

Proof (for polytopes):

- Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $P$ , and let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be such that  $v$  is the unique optimal solution to  $\max\{wx : x \in P\}$ .
- By multiplying  $w$  by a large positive integer if necessary, we may assume that  $wv > wu + u_1 - v_1$  for all vertices  $u$  of  $P$  other than  $v$ .
- If we let  $\bar{w} := (w_1 + 1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ , then  $v$  is an optimal solution to  $\max\{\bar{w}x : x \in P\}$ .
- So  $\bar{w}v = wv + v_1$ , and both  $\bar{w}v$  and  $wv$  are integer.
- Thus  $v_1$  is an integer.
- Repeat for the remaining components of  $v$ . □

### Totally Unimodular Matrices

- Recall that *totally unimodular* matrices are exactly those integral matrices  $A$  for which the polyhedron  $\{x \geq 0 : Ax \leq b\}$  is integral for each integral vector  $b$ .
- This concept has led to a number of important results by virtue of the LP-duality equation

$$\max\{wx : x \geq 0, Ax \leq b\} = \min\{yb : yA \geq w\}.$$

For instance, ...

- König's Theorem: The maximum cardinality of a stable set in a bipartite graph is equal to the minimum number of edges needed to cover all nodes.
- König-Egerváry's Theorem: The maximum cardinality of a matching in a bipartite graph is equal to the minimum cardinality of a set of nodes intersecting each edge.
- The Max-Flow-Min-Cut Theorem: The maximum value of an  $s$ - $t$  flow is equal to the minimum capacity of any  $s$ - $t$  cut.
- ...

## Total Dual Integrality

- In this lecture, we fix  $A$  and  $b$  and study integer polyhedra.

Consider the LP-duality equation

$$\max\{wx : Ax \leq b\} = \min\{yb : yA = w, y \geq 0\}.$$

- If  $b$  is integral and the minimum has an integral optimal solution  $y$  for each integral vector  $w$ , then the maximum also has an integral optimum solution, for each such  $w$ .
- A rational system  $Ax \leq b$  is called *totally dual integral* (TDI) if the minimum has an integral optimum solution  $y$  for each integral vector  $w$  (for which the optimum is finite).
- Thus, if  $Ax \leq b$  is TDI and  $b$  is integral, then  $P = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$  is integral.

Total dual integrality is *not* a property of polyhedra. The systems

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

define the same polyhedron, but the second one is TDI, whereas the first one is not.

## TDI Representations

**Theorem 2** (Giles and Pulleyblank 1979). *Let  $P$  be a rational polyhedron. There exists a totally dual integral system  $Ax \leq b$ , with  $A$  integral, such that  $P = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$ . Furthermore, if  $P$  is an integral polyhedron, then  $b$  can be chosen to be integral.*

## Integral Hilbert Bases

Let  $C$  be a rational polyhedral cone. A set of integral vectors  $\{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$  is an *integral Hilbert basis* of  $C$  if each integral vector in  $C$  is a nonnegative integral combination of  $a_1, \dots, a_t$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Each rational polyhedral cone  $C$  is generated by an integral Hilbert basis. (If  $C$  is pointed, there is a unique minimal integral Hilbert basis.)*

## Integral Hilbert Bases

Proof:

- Let  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  be primitive integral vectors that generate  $C$ .
- Consider  $Z := \{\lambda_1 c_1 + \dots + \lambda_k c_k : 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1\}$ .
- Let  $H$  be the set of integral vectors in  $Z$ . Claim:  $H$  is a Hilbert basis.

- Let  $c \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then  $c = \lambda_1 c_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k c_k$ , where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .
- Rewrite as  $c - \sum_{i=1}^k \lfloor \lambda_i \rfloor c_i = \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i - \lfloor \lambda_i \rfloor) c_i$ .
- Since the LHS is integral, so is the RHS. However, the RHS belongs to  $Z$ .
- So  $c$  is nonnegative integer combination of elements in  $H$ . □

### Hilbert Bases and TDI Systems

**Lemma 4.**  $Ax \leq b$  is TDI if and only if for each minimal face  $F$  of  $P = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$  the rows of  $A$  which are active in  $F$  form a Hilbert basis.

Proof:

- Assume that  $Ax \leq b$  is TDI. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_t$  be the rows of  $A$  active in  $F$ .
- Let  $c$  be an integral vector in  $\text{cone}\{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ .
- The maximum of
 
$$\max\{cx : Ax \leq b\} = \min\{yb : yA = c, y \geq 0\} \tag{1}$$
 is attained by each vector  $x$  in  $F$ . The minimum has an integral optimal solution  $y$ .
- $y$  has 0's in positions corresponding to rows not active in  $F$ .
- Hence,  $c$  is an integral nonnegative combination of  $a_1, \dots, a_t$ .
- For the other direction, let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be such that the optima in (1) are finite.
- Let  $F$  be a minimal face of  $P$  so that each vector in  $F$  attains the maximum in (1).
- Let  $a_1, \dots, a_t$  be the rows active in  $F$ .
- Then  $c \in \text{cone}\{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ .
- In particular,  $c = \lambda_1 a_1 + \cdots + \lambda_t a_t$  for certain  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .
- Extending  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t)$  with 0's, we obtain an integral vector  $y \geq 0$  such that  $yA = c$  and  $yb = yAx = cx$  for all  $x \in F$ .
- So  $y$  attains the minimum in (1) □

## TDI Representations

**Theorem 5** (Giles and Pulleyblank 1979). *Let  $P$  be a rational polyhedron. There exists a totally dual integral system  $Ax \leq b$ , with  $A$  integral, such that  $P = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$ . Furthermore, if  $P$  is an integral polyhedron, then  $b$  can be chosen to be integral.*

Proof:

- Let  $F$  be a minimal face of  $P$ .
- Let  $C_F$  be the normal cone of  $F$ .
- Let  $a_1, \dots, a_t$  be an integral Hilbert basis for  $C_F$ .
- For some  $x_0 \in F$ , let  $b_i := a_i x_0$ .
- The system  $\Sigma_F$  of inequalities

$$a_1 x \leq b_1, \dots, a_t x \leq b_t$$

is valid for  $P$ .

- Let  $Ax \leq b$  be the union of all  $\Sigma_F$  over all minimal faces  $F$ .
- $Ax \leq b$  defines  $P$  and is TDI.
- And if  $P$  is integral, then so is  $b$ . □

## Procedure for Proving Integrality of Polyhedra

- Find an appropriate defining system  $Ax \leq b$ , with  $A$  and  $b$  integral.
- Prove that  $Ax \leq b$  is totally dual integral.
- Conclude that  $\{x : Ax \leq b\}$  is an integral polyhedron.

## An Application of Total Dual Integrality

Recall that, if  $(N, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid, then the convex hull of incidence vectors is equal to

$$P_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{conv}\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N : x(S) \leq r(S) \text{ for all } S \subseteq N\},$$

where  $r$  is the rank function of the matroid.

**Theorem 6** (Matroid Intersection Theorem). *The convex hull of the characteristic vectors of common independent sets of two matroids  $(N, \mathcal{I}_1)$  and  $(N, \mathcal{I}_2)$  is precisely the set of feasible solutions to*

$$\begin{array}{ll} x(S) \leq r_1(S) & \text{for all } S \subseteq N \\ x(S) \leq r_2(S) & \text{for all } S \subseteq N \\ x_j \geq 0 & \text{for all } j \in N. \end{array}$$

Proof:

- We show that the system is TDI.
- Consider the dual of maximizing  $wx$  over it:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{S \subseteq N} (r_1(S)y_S^1 + r_2(S)y_S^2) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{N \supseteq S \ni j} (y_S^1 + y_S^2) \geq w_j \text{ for } j \in N \\ & y_S^1, y_S^2 \geq 0 \text{ for } S \subseteq N \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $(y^1, y^2)$  be an optimal solution such that

$$\sum_{S \subseteq N} (y_S^1 + y_S^2) |S| |N \setminus S| \quad (2)$$

is minimized.

- Let  $\mathcal{F}_i := \{S \subseteq N : y_S^i > 0\}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- Claim: If  $S, T \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , then  $S \subseteq T$  or  $T \subseteq S$ .
- Suppose not. Choose  $\alpha := \min\{y_S^i, y_T^i\}$ .
- Decrease  $y_S^i$  and  $y_T^i$  by  $\alpha$ , and increase  $y_{S \cap T}^i$  and  $y_{S \cup T}^i$  by  $\alpha$ .
- Since  $\chi^S + \chi^T = \chi^{S \cap T} + \chi^{S \cup T}$ ,  $(y^1, y^2)$  remains feasible.
- Since  $r_i(S) + r_i(T) \geq r_i(S \cap T) + r_i(S \cup T)$ , it remains optimal.
- However, (2) decreases, contradicting the minimality assumption.
- The constraints corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  form a totally unimodular matrix. □

**Corollary 7.**

$$P(\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2) = P(\mathcal{I}_1) \cap P(\mathcal{I}_2)$$

### An Application of Hilbert bases

- Consider  $\max\{wx : Ax = b, 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ .
- For  $j = 1, \dots, 2^n$ , let  $O_j$  be the  $j$ -th orthant of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Let  $C^j := \{x \in O_j : Ax = 0\}$ , and let  $H^j$  be an integral Hilbert basis of  $C^j$ .

**Theorem 8** (Graver 1975). *A feasible solution  $x$  is optimal if and only if for every  $h \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^n} H^j$  the following holds:*

1.  $wh \leq 0$ , or
2.  $wh > 0$  and  $x + h$  is infeasible.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

15.083J / 6.859J Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization  
Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.