# 15.083J/6.859J Integer Optimization 

Lecture 2: Efficient Algorithms and Computational Complexity

## 1 Outline

- Efficient algorithms
- Complexity
- The classes $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$
- The classes $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-complete and $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard
- What if a problem is $\mathcal{N P}$ hard?


## 2 Efficient algorithms

- The LO problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min & \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x} \\
\mathrm{s.t.} & \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b} \\
& \boldsymbol{x} \geq \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- A LO instance

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\min & 2 x+3 y \\
\text { s.t. } & x+y \leq 1 \\
& x, y \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

- A problem is a collection of instances


### 2.1 Size

- The size of an instance is the number of bits used to describe the instance, according to a prespecified format
- A number $r \leq U$

$$
r=a_{k} 2^{k}+a_{k-1} 2^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{1} 2^{1}+a_{0}
$$

is represented by $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ with $k \leq\left\lfloor\log _{2} U\right\rfloor$

- Size of $r$ is $\left\lfloor\log _{2} U\right\rfloor+2$
- Instance of LO: $(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{b})$
- Size is

$$
(m n+m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\log _{2} U\right\rfloor+2\right)
$$

- What is an instance of the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)?
- What is the size of such an instance?


### 2.2 Running Time

Let $A$ be an algorithm which solves the optimization problem $\Pi$.
If there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that $A$ terminates its computation after at most $\alpha f(|I|)$ elementary steps for each instance $I(|I|$ is the size of $I)$, then $A$ runs in $\mathrm{O}(f)$ time.

Elementary operations are

- variable assignments
- comparison of numbers
- random access to variables
- arithmetic operations
- conditional jumps
- ...

A "brute force" algorithm for solving the min-cost flow problem:
Consider all spanning trees and pick the best tree solution among the feasible ones.
Suppose we had a computer to check $10^{15}$ trees in a second. It would need more than $10^{9}$ years to find the best tree for a 25 -node min-cost flow problem.
It would need $10^{59}$ years for a 50-node instance.
That's not efficient!
Ideally, we would like to call an algorithm "efficient" when it is sufficiently fast to be usable in practice, but this is a rather vague and slippery notion.

The following notion has gained wide acceptance:
An algorithm is considered efficient if the number of steps it performs for any input is bounded by a polynomial function of the input size.

Polynomials are, e.g., $n, n^{3}$, or $10^{6} n^{8}$.

### 2.3 The Tyranny of

## Exponential Growth

|  | $100 n \log n$ | $10 n^{2}$ | $n^{3.5}$ | $2^{n}$ | $n!$ | $n^{n-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{9} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $1.19 \cdot 10^{9}$ | 600,000 | 3,868 | 41 | 15 | 13 |
| $10^{10} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $1.08 \cdot 10^{10}$ | $1,897,370$ | 7,468 | 45 | 16 | 13 |

Maximum input sizes solvable within one hour.

### 2.3.1 Pros of the Polynomial View

- Extreme rates of growth, such as $n^{80}$ or $2^{n / 100}$, rarely come up in practice.
- Asymptotically, a polynomial function always yields smaller values than any exponential function.
- Polynomial-time algorithms are in a better position to take advantage of technological improvements in the speed of computers.
- You can add two polynomials, multiply them, and compose them, and the result will still be a polynomial.


### 2.4 Punch line

The equation

$$
\text { efficient }=\text { polynomial }
$$

has been accepted as the best available way of tying the empirical notion of a "practical algorithm" to a precisely formalized mathematical concept.

### 2.5 Definition

An algorithm runs in polynomial time if its running time is $\mathrm{O}\left(|I|^{k}\right)$, where $|I|$ is the input size, and all numbers in intermediate computations can be stored with $\mathrm{O}\left(|I|^{k}\right)$ bits.

## 3 Complexity Theory

### 3.1 Recognition Problems

- A recognition problem is one that has a binary answer: YES or NO.
- Example: Is the value of an IO problem less than or equal to B?
- Example: Can a graph be colored with 4 colors?
- Example: Is a number $p$ composite?


### 3.2 Transformations-reductions

- Definition: Let $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ be two recognition problems. We say that $\Pi_{1}$ transforms to $\Pi_{2}$ in polynomial if there exist a polynomial time algorithm that given an instance $I_{1}$ of of problem $\Pi_{1}$, outputs an instance $I_{2}$ of $\Pi_{2}$ with the property that $I_{1}$ is a YES instance of $\Pi_{1}$ if and only if $I_{2}$ is a YES instance of $\Pi_{2}$.
- Suppose there exists an algorithm for some problem $\Pi_{1}$ that consists of a polynomial time computation in addition to a polynomial number of subroutine calls to an algorithm for problem $\Pi_{2}$. We then say that problem $\Pi_{1}$ reduces (in polynomial time) to problem $\Pi_{2}$.


### 3.3 Properties

- Theorem: If problem $\Pi_{1}$ transforms to problem $\Pi_{2}$ in polynomial time, and if $\Pi_{2}$ is solvable in polynomial time, then $\Pi_{1}$ is also solvable in polynomial time.
- Interpretation: a) $\Pi_{1}$ is "no harder" than $\Pi_{2} ;$ b) $\Pi_{2}$ is "at least as hard" as $\Pi_{1}$; if there existed a polynomial time algorithm for $\Pi_{2}$, then the same would be true for $\Pi_{1}$.
- If we have some evidence that $\Pi_{1} \notin \mathcal{P}$, a transformation of $\Pi_{1}$ to $\Pi_{2}$ would provide equally strong evidence that $\Pi_{2} \notin \mathcal{P}$.
- Property: If problem problem $\Pi_{1}$ transforms to problem $\Pi_{2}$ and problem $\Pi_{2}$ transforms to problem $\Pi_{3}$, then problem $\Pi_{1}$ transforms to problem $\Pi_{3}$.


## 4 The classes $\mathcal{P}-\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$

- A recognition problem $\Pi$ is in $\mathcal{P}$ if it is solvable in polynomial time.
- Is $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ feasible? It is in $\mathcal{P}$.
- A problem $\Pi$ belongs to $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ if given an instance $I$ of $\Pi$, there exists a certificate of size polynomial in the size of $I$, such that together with this certificate we can decide, whether $I$ is a YES instance in polynomial time.
- BIO: is the problem $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \leq \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{x} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ feasible?
- Certificate: A feasible solution $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$. We can check whether $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \leq \boldsymbol{b}$.
- TSP: Is there a tour of length less than or equal to $L$ ? Is $T S P \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ ?
- Property: $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$.
- Open problem: Is $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ ?


## 5 The class $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-complete

- A problem $\Pi$ is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-complete if $\Pi \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ and all other problems in $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ polynomially reduce to it.
- Theorem: BIO is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-complete.
- Theorem: TSP is $\mathcal{N P}$-complete.
- A problem $\Pi$ is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard if all other problems in $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ polynomially reduce to it.
- A polynomial time algorithm for an $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard problem would imply $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$.
- Thousands of DOPs are $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard. Examples: knapsack, facility location, set covering, set packing, set partitioning, sequencing with setup times, and traveling salesman problems.


### 5.1 Proving $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hardness

- Theorem: Suppose that a problem $\Pi_{0}$ is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard and that $\Pi_{0}$ can be transformed (in polynomial time) to another problem $\Pi$. Then, $\Pi$ is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ hard.
- Useful theorem as there are thousands of $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard problems. Any one of these problems can play the role of $\Pi_{0}$, and this provides us with a lot of latitude when attempting to prove $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hardness of a given problem $\Pi$.
- Given a problem $\Pi$ whose $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hardness we wish to establish, we search for a known $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard problem $\Pi_{0}$ that appears to be closely related to $\Pi$. We then attempt to construct a transformation of $\Pi_{0}$ to $\Pi$. Coming up with such transformations is mostly an art, based on ingenuity and experience, and there are very few general guidelines.


### 5.2 Example

- $\Delta$ TSP: Given a complete undirected graph, a bound $L$ and $\operatorname{costs} c_{i j}=c_{j i}$ :

$$
c_{i j} \leq c_{i k}+c_{k j}, \quad \forall i, j, k
$$

Does there exists a tour with cost less than or equal to $L$ ?

- Theorem: $\Delta$ TSP is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-complete.
- Hamilton circuit: Given an undirected graph does there exists a tour?
- We transform Hamilton circuit to $\Delta$ TSP. Since Hamilton circuit is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ hard, this will imply that $\Delta \mathrm{TSP}$ is also $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard.
- Given an instance $G=(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ of Hamilton circuit, with $n$ nodes, we construct an instance of $\Delta \mathrm{TSP}$, again with $n$ nodes:

$$
c_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }\{i, j\} \in E \\ 2, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We also let $L=n$.

- This is an instance of $\Delta$ TSP.
- The transformation can be carried out in polynomial time $\left[O\left(n^{2}\right)\right.$ time suffices].
- If we have a yes instance of Hamilton circuit, there exists a tour that uses the edges in $\mathcal{E}$. Since these edges are assigned unit cost, we obtain a tour of cost $n$, and we have a YES instance of $\Delta$ TSP.
- This argument can be reversed to show that if we have a YES instance of $\Delta$ TSP, then we also have a Yes instance of Hamilton circuit.


## 6 What if a problem is $\mathcal{N P}$-hard?

- $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hardness is not a definite proof that no polynomial time algorithm exists. It is possible but unlikely that $\mathrm{BIO} \in \mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$. Nevertheless, $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hardness suggests that we should stop searching for a polynomial time algorithm, unless we are willing to tackle the $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$ question.
- $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hardness can be viewed as a limitation on what can be accomplished; very different from declaring the problem "intractable" and refraining from further work. Many $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard problems are routinely solved in practice. Even when solutions are approximate, without any quality guarantees, the results are often good enough to be useful in a practical setting.
- Not all $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-complete problems are equally hard. The knapsack problem can be solved in time $O\left(n^{2} c_{\text {max }}\right)$, exponential in the size $O\left(n\left(\log c_{\text {max }}+\log w_{\max }\right)+\right.$ $\log K)$ of the input data; the running time may be acceptable for the range of values of $c_{\text {max }}$ that arise in certain applications.
- In the knapsack problem, $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hardness is only due to large numerical input data. Other problems, however, remain $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard even if the numerical data are restricted to take small values. The $\Delta$ TSP where the costs $c_{i j}$ are either 1 or 2 is $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-hard. Complexity due to combinatorial structure not numerical data.
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