Managing the Innovation Process

Organizational Features

Overview

- Take-Away
- Required Readings
- Supplemental Readings
- Caveats

Take-Away

- Innovation requires experimenting and prototyping
- Innovation relies on recognition of opportunities
- Innovation arises from knowledge creation in firm
- Innovation on a regular basis can prevent inertia

(Leonard-Barton, 1995)

- *"Wellsprings of knowledge Chapter 5: Experimenting and prototyping (pp. 111-134)"*
- <u>Experimenting And Prototyping</u> (develop diverse portfolio of technological options)
- <u>Real-world Examples</u> (experimenting – encouraged alloy failures at J&J) (prototyping – camera 'wrecking crews' at Kodak)
- <u>Creating A Climate For Experimenting and Prototyping</u> (intelligent failure and role of failure in knowledge building)

(O'Connor & Rice, 2001)

- *"Opportunity recognition and breakthrough innovation in large established firms"*
- <u>Opportunity Recognition</u> (bridge that connects a breakthrough idea to the initial innovation evaluation process)
- <u>Example Breakthroughs</u> (Dupont's Biomax material,GE's digital X-ray technology, GM's alternative power supply, IBM's new microchip)
- <u>Improving Odds of Recognizing Opportunity</u> (gatherers, hunters, and radical innovation hub)

(Markides, 1997)

- "Strategic innovation"
- <u>Strategic Innovation</u> (breaking rules of the game to shift market share)
- Example Firms

(Canon caught Xerox by focusing on quality not speed)(Apple caught IBM by focusing on pc not mainframe)(Southwest caught American by flying point-to-point not hubs)

• <u>Redefining Business</u> (share, reuse, and expand core competencies)

(Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995)

- *"The knowledge-creating company Chapter 1: Introduction to knowledge in organizations (pp. 3-19)"*
- <u>Organizational Knowledge Creation</u> (capability of a company as a whole to create new knowledge, disseminate it throughout organization, and embody it)
- <u>Japanese vs 'Western' Approach</u> (continuous, incremental, and spiral innovation)
- <u>Making Tacit Knowledge Explicit</u> (metaphor and analogy, personal to organizational level, ambiguity and redundancy)

(Tushman & O'Reilly, 1997)

- *"Winning through innovation Chapter 1: The tyranny of success (pp. 1-15)"*
- <u>Tyranny of Success</u> (success followed by failure; innovation followed by inertia)
- <u>Innovation Streams</u> (systematically different kinds of innovation over time)
- <u>Ambidextrous Organizations</u> (celebrate stability and incremental change as well as experimentation and discontinuous change simultaneously)

Caveats

- What about the large costs of experimentation?
- Who should be responsible for finding opportunities?
- How do employees convert tacit to explicit knowledge?
- Are ambidextrous organizations a reality?