CLASS 5

P&N ROADMAP

- In past 4 classes we introduced cognitive strategies for:
 - i) getting your piece of the pie,
 - ii) expanding the pie, and
 - iii) navigating the tensions and dilemmas when trying to do both.
- Going forward: COMPLEXITIES
 - Emotions, relationships, trust, culture, multiple parties, cognitive biases, fairness, ethics

HOW RESPOND TO ANGER?

- Common mistakes:
 - Reciprocating. This causes escalation & often impasse
 - Make concessions to appease the angry party
 - Interpreting the anger to mean something about the other side's RP, and lowering your own AP
 - Immediately abandoning integrative strategies

IF MUTUAL GAINS ARE POSSIBLE → DON'T BE REACTIVE.
PROACTIVELY SHIFT THE TONE TO COOPERATION

Does it matter if the anger is tactical or real?

HOW RESPOND TO TACTICAL ANGER?

- If the anger is tactical (not real) the counterparty is attempting to gain power through intimidation. It is not effective to respond with empathy. Instead, match their power first, then attempt to turn dynamics around.
 - Indicate your capacity to be equally angry, to assert your rights, or to make an extreme opening demand
 - Indicating capacity to reciprocate is different from reciprocating
 - Suggest you prefer to refrain from a shouting match in favor of finding mutually beneficial solutions.
- "We can continue shouting and blaming or we can move forward to finding a solution that meets our interests"

HOW RESPOND TO REAL ANGER?

- If the anger is real try to listen and understand (more on this later)
- How can you tell if real or tactical?
 - Tactical anger is used in early stages to unhinge, real anger is gradual and builds up (e.g., because one feels not listened to)
 - Real anger subsides when you allow venting, listen and acknowledge where they are coming from
 - Real anger escalates if you attempt a power-matching strategy
 - Research the reputation of your counterparty
 - Is the counterparty a lawyer?

DIFFICULT TACTICS

- EXAMPLES:
 - Intimidation/Anger
 - Extreme opening positions (most common)
 - Take it or leave it offers
 - Good cop/Bad cop
 - The Nibble
 - Chicken

DEALING WITH DIFFICULT TACTICS

 HOW RESPOND? Avoid: reciprocating, surrendering or quitting. Instead change the game.

Strategy #1: REFRAME by focusing on:

- Interests. Respond as if they are attempting to communicate their interest, and ask further questions
- Option. Treat their position as one among many possible options of meeting your interests
- Standard. Treat their position as suggesting standard of legitimacy and ask why that's the right one to use (or propose an alternative)

In each case be assertive in your push for a cooperative approach.

DEALING WITH DIFFICULT TACTICS

HOW RESPOND?

--Strategy #2: NAME THEIR GAME AND ITS CONSEQUENCES AND SUGGEST ANOTHER:

"You are essentially saying 'take it or leave it' but I can play that game too and we'll spend all our time posturing. But perhaps there is another approach to figuring out how to work out a deal that works for both of us."

This shows that you are not "naïve" or intimidated but are suggesting a different approach

- --Strategy #3: CHANGE THE PLAYERS/STRUCTURE
- --Strategy #4: PLAY THEIR GAME, BUT AS A DELIBERATE CHOICE
- --Strategy #5: WALK AWAY

EMOTIONAL OBSTACLES

- Even if both sides strategically <u>understand</u> the benefits of cooperation, they may not get there due to REAL (not tactical) emotions.
- Often you will walk in to a negotiation having made the strategic decision to seek an integrative solution but get derailed by your emotions or your counterparty's emotions.

HOW DEAL WITH EMOTIONS: YOURS AND THEIRS?

- The "Don't Get Emotional" Approach?
 - Can't avoid feelings any more than thoughts
 - Even if cognitively distract yourself emotions will show up:
 - In your body and behavior
 - Color your thoughts (negative thoughts, less creative)

EMOTIONS CAN BE POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE

NEGATIVE emotions: Obstacles to cooperation

- Divert attention from substance
- Damage relationship
- Make it hard to negotiate cooperatively

BUT POSITIVE EMOTIONS (feeling "in snyc") Facilitate cooperation:

- --Share information
- --Reduce fear/suspicion

THE SHAPIRO FRAMEWORK

- Emotions are too complex to deal with directly
 - Overwhelming to analyze: what you are feeling? what they are feeling? what do to about it?
- Focus on core concerns that typically matter to all negotiators.
 - These all relate to how see self in relation to others
- Core concerns are the most common TRIGGERS of emotions, negative or positive, focus on these to avoid negative and stimulate positive emotions

CORE CONCERNS

appreciation, autonomy, status, affiliation,

LENS: To understand negative emotions

E.g., why upset? Why hostile response?

LEVER: To stimulate positive emotions

These are the **4 key variables** to the emotional tone of negotiations

CORE CONCERN #1: APPRECIATION

Understanding other's concern AND acknowledging where it's coming from (NOT mean "agree" or being "grateful")

Obstacle #1:

- failure to understand (cognitively) other's point of view. This Requires truly listening to see the world from their perspective:
 - Ask open ended questions
 - Concentrate on their answer and not your response or next question
 - Listen to tone as well as words
 - Look at body language

CORE CONCERN #1: APPRECIATION

Obstacle #2:

- failure to find some merit or the underlying reasons for their view
 - We only listen for what's wrong with other's view
 - Separate the need from the strategy for meeting it
 - Appreciating DOES NOT EQUAL agreeing. It acknowledges the reasons they see the world as they do.
 - Even an ex-spouse who wants "everything"?
 - Even a hostage taker?

CORE CONCERN #1: APPRECIATION

Obstacle #3:

- failure to communicate the real merit or underlying reasons we see
 - The "love lab's" primary predictor: ratio of appreciation to critical remarks (need 5:1) replicated for organizations
 - Not phony "active listening" with constant nods or "employee appreciation day" but truly appreciating
 - Dilemma: It's hardest to appreciate when its most needed!

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

15.665 Power and Negotiation Spring 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.