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## Problem to solve <br> How to minimize the average cost of a one-warehouse multi-retailer system?

## Details of the problem

- A single warehouse delivers product n to retailer n only $\mathrm{n}=1 . . \mathrm{N}$
- No shortage allowed
- Delivery is instantaneous
- Set-up cost $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$
- $\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{n}}$ : holding cost rate of product n per time unit at the warehouse
- $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ : holding cost rate of product n per time unit at retailer n
- Constant demand rate per unit of time at each retailer : 2
- Continuous time
- Infinite horizon



## Previous solutions <br> and their limits

- There is no solution to the general case
- Optimal policies are either difficult to compute or have low effectiveness
> A new class of policies seemed to be needed


## Integer ratio policies <br> a new class of polices

- Each retailer places orders every $T_{n}$
- The warehouse places orders every T
- A Policy $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$, is an integer ratio policy if either $T / T_{N}$ or $T_{N} / T$ is an integer
- They have at least a 94\% effectiveness and are easy to compute


## Average cost of a policy (1/4)

The average cost of an integer ratio policy $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{N}\right)$ is :

$$
c(T)=K_{0} / T+\Sigma_{n>1} c_{n}\left(T, T_{n}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is the average cost per time unit of supplying the demand for product $n$

## Average cost of a policy (2/4)

$$
\text { If } T_{n}>T
$$

No inventory is held at he warehouse


Retailer
Inventory size


Average cost per unit of time for supplying product n :

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{T}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

## Average cost of a policy (3/4)

$$
\text { If } T_{n}<T
$$

Inventory is held both at he warehouse and the retailer


Average cost per unit of time for supplying product n :

$$
c_{n}\left(T, T_{n}\right)=K_{n} / T+h_{n}^{\prime} T_{n}+h\left(T-T_{n}\right)
$$



Warehouse inventory


Retailer inventory


## Average cost of a policy (4/4)

The average cost becomes: $c\left(T^{\prime}\right)=K_{0} / T+\Sigma_{n>1} c_{n}\left(T, T_{n}\right)$

$$
\text { With } c_{n}\left(T, T_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}K_{n} / T_{n}+\left(h^{n}+h_{n}\right) T_{n} & \text { if } T_{n}>T \\ K_{n} / T_{n}+h_{n} T_{n} h^{n} T & \text { if } T_{n}<T\end{cases}
$$

Where $h_{n}$ is the echelon holding cost : $h_{n}=h_{n}^{\prime}-h^{n}$

## Lower bound of the average cost of all policies by relaxation (1/2)

1. We minimize $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$ over all $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for a given T :



with $\tau^{\prime}{ }_{n}=\left[K_{n} /\left(h^{n}+h_{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$ and $\tau_{n}=\left(K_{n} / h_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}$
which introduce 3 sets we will need further :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(T)=\left\{n / T<\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& E(T)=\left\{n / \tau_{n}<T<\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& L(T)=\left\{n / \tau_{n}{ }_{n}<T\right\} \\
& \text { let } b_{n}(t)=\inf _{T n>0} C_{n}\left(T, T_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}2\left[K_{n}\left(h^{n}+h_{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} & \text { if } n \not Q G \\
K_{n} / T+\left(h^{n}+h_{n}\right) T & \text { if } n \not Q E \\
2\left(K_{n} h_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}+h^{n} T & \text { if } n \not Q L\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lower bound of the average cost of all policies by relaxation (2/2)

2. We minimize now the cost for T :
$B(T)=K_{0} / T+\Sigma_{n>1} b_{n}\left(T, T_{n}\right)$
Using the fact that $B(T)=K(T) / T+M(T)+H(T) T$
where $B, M$ and $H$ are constant piecewise functions
We can write an algorithm to minimize $B$ in $O(N \log N)$ time
$B^{*}=B\left(T^{*}\right)$ is a lower bound on the average cost of all integer-ratio policies. We can show that it is also the lower bound for all policies

## Order preserving policies

The cost of an order preserving policy can be seen as the sum of costs of single－facility lot－sizing type

Let T＇＊be the optimal relaxed order policy，notice that ：
$T_{n}^{*}>T^{*}$ when $n \mathbb{Q} G$
$T_{n}=T^{*}$ when $n$ 叉E
$T^{*}{ }_{n}<T^{*} \quad$ when $n \mathbb{Q} L$
$\mathrm{T}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ ，is said order preserving if
$T_{n}>T$ when $n \vee G$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}$ when n 叉 E
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{T}$ when $\mathrm{n} \mathbb{\mathrm { L }}$
The average cost can then be rewritten as $\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right)=(\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{T}+\mathrm{HT})+\sum_{\text {n®GuL }}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
With $K=K_{0}+\Sigma_{n 冈 E} K_{n} \quad$ aggregate set－up cost for $W=\{0\} U E$
$H=\Sigma_{\text {nQE }}\left(h^{n}+h_{n}\right)+\Sigma_{\text {n®QL }} h^{n} \quad$ aggregate holding cost rate for $W$
$H_{n}=\left(h^{n}+h_{n}\right)$ if $n \mathbb{Q} G, h_{n}$ if $n \mathbb{Q} L \quad$ average holding cost for GUL
It follows that $\mathrm{B}^{*}=\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\prime *}\right)=\mathrm{M}+\sum_{\text {næGuL }} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$
With $M=2(K H)^{1 / 2}$ and $M_{n}=2\left(K_{n} H_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}$
Let＇s define $H_{n}$ by $K_{n} / H_{n}=T^{* 2}$ for $n \mathbb{Q} W=\{0\} U E$

## The lower bound theorem

The minimum relaxed average cost $B^{*}$ is a lower bound on the average cost of all feasible policies over every finite horizon

Let $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right)$ be the cost of an arbitrary policy over the interval $\left[0, \mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right)$
Using the idea that it is possible to allocate the costs incurred by an arbitrary policy to individual facilities

We can show that $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right)>\mathrm{B}^{*}$

## The q-Optimal Integer-Ratio Lot-Sizing

It is possible find an integer-ratio policy whose effectiveness is at least 94\%

## The Optimal Power-of-two

It is possible find a integer-ratio policy whose effectiveness is $98 \%$

