

AGGREGATE BUSINESS BEHAVIOR UNDER UNCERTAINTY, ENDOGENOUS SENTIMENTS, AND MACROECONOMIC FLUCTUATIONS

Serçin ŞAHİN

15.879-Research Seminar on System Dynamics Course, MIT

Personel Problem Draft

March 15, 2025

In 1921, both Frank Knight in his *Risk, Uncertainty, and Profit* and Keynes in his *A Treatise on Probability* distinguished the concepts of risk and uncertainty. According to Knight, this distinction is essential because uncertainty brings profit opportunities that would not exist in situations to which probability distributions can be assigned (Rakow, 2010). Keynes (1936) argues that it is not possible to represent future outcomes with a probability distribution because an exhaustive list of possible outcomes cannot be known (Dimand, 2021). I'm not sure how useful to the problem of modeling uncertainty and sentiment this discussion of risk vs uncertainty is. I would lead with either a more specific problem state or a clearer motivation for the model for the given audience. It's useful background for after situating us though, I'm just not sure yet what details I'm meant to be pulling into a model without some being situated.

In the presence of uncertainty, sentiments play an important role in decisions of economic agents. Because of the unknowability of the future, Keynes (1936) suggests that rational calculation can only in part justify the decisions and actions of economic agents (Marchionatti, 1999). Instead of objective calculations and optimization, economic agents form their expectations with extrapolation, and then, they complement them with what he calls animal spirits (Geiger, 2016).

As firms operate in a complex environment, uncertainty is present in all stages of the production process. Minsky and Kaufman (2008) argue that investors arrange their asset and liability structures to protect themselves against unfavorable events. Indeed, firms use buffering mechanisms to absorb the effects of uncertainties that might disrupt the production process. These buffers might take different forms towards the type of uncertainty they are built against. Firms hold capacity buffers against uncertainties such as machine breakdowns, discontinuities in capacity adjustment, or variability in the amount and composition of demand (Caputo, 1996). Firms hold raw material, semi-finished goods, and finished goods in inventories proportional to their sales (Tinbergen and Polak, 1950). Firms also hold liquid assets as a buffer stock against unexpected declines in cash flow, particularly when they have fixed commitments such as loan payments (Baum et al., 2005). Apart from buffers on the asset side, firms hold retained earnings on the liability side to keep the company floating and make regular dividend payments in case of unexpected declines in profits (Cyert et al., 1996).

This study aims to investigate the interactions between the real sector sentiment and aggregate income through
what we're talking about first and then motivate it with the conversation of uncertainty. I'm not sure if i'm supposed to be reading the things above it with an eye for modeling aspects of risk, uncertainty, economics, or operations. A system dynamics model will be built, in which the level of excess capital stock, excess inventories, excess liquid assets, and retained assets held by business firms will be a function of the sentiment index. Sentiment index will is sentiment index getting at the idea of animal spirits? Might need to define it more clearly for an outsider. also be endogenized, which

Commented [JD1]: I would just start with this - let us know what we're talking about first and then motivate it with the conversation of uncertainty. I'm not sure if i'm supposed to be reading the things above it with an eye for modeling aspects of risk, uncertainty, economics, or operations.

Deleted:

Commented [JD2]: Is sentiment index getting at the idea of animal spirits?

will be assumed to be a function of the growth rate and volatility of the aggregate demand of the firms. As the unit cost of firms will be assumed to be fixed, firm profits would change in the same direction with the aggregate demand.

Level of Aggregation: The project is aimed for academic audience. The level of aggregation is determined by the Stock-Flow-Consistent (SFC) framework pioneered by Godley and Lavoie (2006). In SFC framework, each agent in an economy is represented with a balance sheet. Fund and good flows between agents are recorded in accordance with accounting principles.

There will be three groups of agents in the model: households, firms, and banks. I think you should mention the perspectives here earlier - I wouldn't have naturally assumed banks were part of it until now because your second paragraph paints such a clear operations-level focus. In order to focus on the dynamics resulting from firm behavior, the behaviors of households and banks will be simplified. Simplified how? Maybe suggest what is and isn't important to capture.

Sources of Inertia: Firm capital stock, firm inventories, firm liabilities, firm cash stock, and real sector sentiment.

Reference Mode: Both the aggregate income and real sector sentiments are expected to exhibit oscillations for a reasonable set of parameter values. Endogenous oscillations? How are you capturing aggregate demand volatility? Furthermore, the sensitivity parameters of the real sector sentiment index are expected to have major impacts on the dynamic behaviors of these two variables.

What levers will you be pulling in order to examine how your model reacts? Volatility? Buffer sizes? Might be worth spelling out.

REFERENCES

- Baum, C.F., Caglayan, M., Ozkan, N., and Talavera, O. (2006). The impact of macroeconomic uncertainty on non-financial firms' demand for liquidity. *Review of Financial Economics*, Vol.15, No.4, pp.289-304. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rfe.2006.01.002>
- Caputo, M. (1996). Uncertainty, flexibility and buffers in the management of firm operating system. *Production Planning and Control*, Vol.7, No.5, pp.518-528.
- Cyert, R., Kang, S., and Kumar, P. (1996). Managerial objectives and firm dividend policy: a behavioral theory and empirical evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, Vol.31, pp.157-174.
- Dimand, R.W. (2021). Keynes, Knight, and fundamental uncertainty: a double centenary 1921-2021. *Review of Political Economy*, Vol.33, No.4, pp.570. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09538259.2021.1924>
- Geiger, N. (2016). Psychological elements in business cycles theories: old approaches and new insights. *The European Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, Vol.23, No.3, pp.478-507.
- Godley, W. and Lavoie, M. (2006). *Monetary economics: an integrated approach to credit, money, income, production, and wealth*. Springer.
- Keynes, J.M. (1921). *A treatise on probability*. London: Macmillan.

Commented [JD3]: I think you should mention the perspectives here earlier - I wouldn't have naturally assumed banks were part of it until now because your second paragraph paints such a clear operations-level focus

- Keynes, J.M. (1936). *The general theory of employment, interest, and money*. London: Macmillan.
- Knight, F.H. (1921). *Risk, uncertainty, and profit*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Marchionatti, R. (1999). On Keynes' animal spirits. *Kyklos*, Vol.52, No.3, pp.415-439.
- Minsky, H.P., and Kaufman, H. (2008). *Stabilizing an unstable economy* (Vol.1). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Rakow, T. (2010). Risk, uncertainty, and prophet: the psychological insights of Frank H. Knight. *Judgement and Decision Making*, Vol.5, No.6, pp.458-466.
- Tinbergen, J., and Polak, J.J. (1950). *The Dynamics of Business Cycles: A Study of Economic Fluctuations*, New York: Routledge.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu/>

15.879 Building Serious System Dynamics Models from Scratch
Spring 2025

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.