# **PS2 Solutions**

### 1: Engine Tuning

As shown in class, the ideal Otto cycle is depicted in this diagram:



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

The actual 4-stroke Otto cycle (if you were to put a pressure sensor in the cylinder) looks more like this:



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

Label the actions that are occurring in the engine at points

- A) Compression
- **B)** Power stroke
- C) Exhaust valves close / intake valves open

The amount of energy that an engine outputs is proportional to the area of this "box" in the ideal engine cycle. Given what you know about engine cycles, draw the modified PV diagrams (sketch which way each part of the graph would move) for how each of these features impact the cycle:

1. Supercharging (forced induction)



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

2. Optimal valve timing, intake and free-flow exhaust (reducing engine "breathing")



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

3. Boring out an engine (increasing its displacement)



Expands the curve laterally; the power taken out of this heat engine is the area in the top 'loop' -by making this larger we get more power.

Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

## 16.682 PS2 Ans.

# Problem 2

S.A =  $R(1)^2$  = 3.14 m<sup>2</sup> 250 lb. to kg = 113.4kg · 9.8 = 1111.32 N = 353.923 Pa

A) mass of contained  $N_2$ :

nitrogen gas is modeled as an ideal gas in this problem. initial temperature: 298K press: 101,679 Pa volume =  $1.57m^3$ PV = nRT individual gas constant for N<sub>2</sub> = 297 J/(kg·K)

$$m = \frac{(101, 697)(1.57)}{(297)(298)} = 1.8 kg$$

B) Constant-pressure heat capacity:  $C_p = M_g C_p = 1.8 \text{kg} \cdot \frac{1.04 \text{ks}}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{k}} = 1.87 \text{ kJ/k}$ 

C) New volume:  $3.14m^2 \cdot 4.5m = 14.14m^3$ Pressure remains constant:

$$\frac{P_1 V_1}{RT_1} = m = \frac{P_2 V_2}{RT_2} \Rightarrow \frac{V_1}{T_1} = m = \frac{V_2}{T_2}$$
$$\frac{1.57m^3}{298K} = \frac{14.14 m^3}{T_2}$$

 $T_2 = 2,682K$  (Tungston melts at 3,680K)

- D) Temperature Change: 2,682 298 = 2,384K. 2,384  $\cdot$  1.87 = 4.458 kJ PE = mgh  $\rightarrow$  (113.4)(9.8)(4) = 4,445.28J Energies are off by 3 orders of magnitude
- E) 1 Watt = 1 J/s 1500W = 1500J/s = 1.5 kJ/s4,458 kJ · 1s/1.5kJ = 2,972 sec = 49.5min
- F) Anything that sounds like "no".

G)Entropy Change:

"Assume that the piston is **adiabatic** and that the cylinder is perfectly insulated on all sides."

 $\rightarrow$  There is no entropy transfer to the outside.

 $\rightarrow$  However, entropy generation due to shift in equilibrium states (gas).

$$(S_2 - S_1)_{system} = S_{transfer} + S_{gen}$$
  

$$(S_2 - S_1)_{N_2} = m(C_p \cdot ln(\frac{T_{2,g}}{T_{1,g}}) + R \cdot ln(\frac{V_2}{V_1}))$$
  

$$= 1.8(1.87ln(\frac{2,384}{298}) + 297ln(\frac{14.13}{1.57}))$$
  

$$= 1,181 \text{ J/K}$$



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

## Problem 3

- b) 14.6:1
- c) 9:1

All well-known, can do math by hand or find this online.

B) a) mixture too lean, not enough fuel: air.

b) engine is always on the verge of being starved for fuel. Although the mixture in the red run is slightly rich, it ensures theres always complete combustion of the air.

C) peak torque. Same for all IC engines.

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm D}) \rightarrow {\bf 432.5~cc} \mbox{ of air burned every revolution.} \\ {\rm Air} = 1.184~{\rm kg/m^3} \mbox{ at } 25^\circ {\rm C} \ . \\ {\rm 432.5~cc} = 0.0004325~m^3 \rightarrow mass = 0.000512kg \\ {\rm fuel}(@~13.58~{\rm A/F~ratio}) \mbox{:} \begin{subarray}{c} \frac{0.000512}{13.58} \\ - 13.58 \mbox{ as oline} \mbox{:} \end{subarray} = 0.000038kg \mbox{ gasoline.} \\ {\rm At } 4,600~{\rm RPM}, \mbox{ this means } 0.173~{\rm kg} \mbox{ of gasoline burned every minute.} \\ {\rm 1gal.~gasoline} = 3.78{\rm L} \Rightarrow 6.073~{\rm lb/gal} \mbox{ (wikipedia/other sources)} \rightarrow 2.754~{\rm kg/gal.} \\ \rightarrow 15.9~{\rm min~to~burn~1~gal.~@60mph} \rightarrow \begin{subarray}{c} 15.9~{\rm mpg} \end{subarray} \end{array}$ 

F) 49 ft-lbs of torque for one revolution does:

49.5 ft-bl = 67.112 N-m

work =  $f \cdot distance$ .

distance = circumference of 1m radius circle = 2R(1) = 6.28m.

one revolution = 67.112 N  $\cdot$  6.28m = 421.6 J/rev.

Gasoline = 44 MJ/kg  $\rightarrow$  @ 0.000038 kg/rev:

Engine takes 1,672J to make 421J  $\rightarrow$  0.25 efficiency

#### 4. Vehicle Traction



#### Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

#### Chassis Engineering by Herb Adams

In this problem, we will investigate basic vehicle traction principles. The most important vehicle components when it comes to traction are the tires, since they are the only actual interface between the road and the car.

The figure above is a tire performance curve, which illustrates the nonlinear relationship between vertical load on a tire and available traction. As the vertical load on this tire increases, it's cornering efficiency decreases. Assume all vehicles in this problem are using tires that can be represented by the above curve.

a)We are entering a ¼ mile drag race in our small, home built racecar. Assume our vehicle is 1800 lbs and has a single driver who weighs 200 lbs. The vehicle has an even weight distribution between front and the back, and the driver's presence does not change it. What is the max acceleration our vehicle can attain without losing traction (in terms of g's)? What would this translate to in terms of a ¼ mile time (assume traction is limiting factor, not top speed)?

Doing some metric conversions ensures that our calculations carry the right units:

### Metric

Vehicle\_weight = 2000 lbs = 907.18 kg Tire\_weight = 500 lbs = 226.80 kg Tire\_traction\_force = 700 lbs = 3,112.5 N Vehicle\_traction\_force = 12,450 N

F\_veh = M\_veh \* A A = M\_veh / F\_veh = (12450 N)/(907.18 kg) A = 13.72 m/s^2 => 1.4 g

X\_final = ¼ mile = 402.34 m X\_final = .5\*A\*T^2 T = sqrt( 2\*402.3m/(13.72 m/s^s) ) Customary Vehicle\_weight = 2000 lbs Tire\_weight = 500 lbs Tire\_traction\_force = 700 lbs Vehicle\_traction\_force = 2800 lbs

A\_veh = 2800 lbs/2000 lbs = 1.4 g's

T = sqrt( 2\*402.34/13.72 ) = 7.66 s

V\_f = A\*T = (13.72)\*(7.66) = 105.08 m/s

V\_f = 235 mph

This problem assumed that the vehicle is only limited by available traction, and that the available traction does not change as a function of speed or tire temperature. However, in real life, rolling resistance, aerodynamic drag, engine power limitations, and other system losses would level off the acceleration well before we reached this ridiculous top speed.

b)We are now racing a heavier, 3000 lb vehicle around a circular race track. As the car turns (going counterclockwise), there will be a lateral weight transfer from the left to the right side of the vehicle. Use the following simplified formula to determine the weight transfer we would expect with a 1 g cornering force, where ' $W_{car'}$  is the weight of the car, 'a' is the cornering acceleration in g's, 'hcg' is the height of the center of gravity in inches (assume 20 inches), 'g' is gravity, and ' $I_{track}$ ' is the vehicle track width (assume 60 inches).

$$W_T = \frac{W_{car} * a * h_{cg}}{g * l_{track}}$$

Determine the traction that each wheel is able to maintain after the weight shift. What cornering force (in g's) does this equate to? How does that compare to the traction available to this vehicle on a straight track?

First, determine the lateral weight transfer:

W\_t = (3000 lb \* 1 g \* 20 inches) / (1 g \* 60 inches) = 1000 lb

Now, find the tractive force available on each wheel:

Wheel	Initial Weight	Initial	Weight Shift	Shifted	Available
		Traction		Weight	Traction
Front Left	750	850	-500	250	450
Back Left	750	850	-500	250	450
Front Right	750	850	+500	1250	1150
Back Right	750	850	+500	1250	1150

Initial Vehicle Traction Capability = 4\*850 lbs = 3,400 lbs Max Initial Acceleration = 3,400 lbs / 3,000 lbs = **1.13 g's** 

1g Cornering Vehicle Traction Capability = 2\*1150 lbs + 2\*450 lbs = 3,200 lbs Max Acceleration, 1g Cornering Vehicle = 3,200 lbs / 3,000 lbs = **1.07 g's**  So, the tractive capability of the vehicle that is cornering at 1 g is reduced from 1.13 g's to 1.07 g's. It won't lose traction while cornering at this speed unless it also accelerates forward or backward such that the resultant acceleration vectors is greater than 1.07 g's.

c)Understeer and oversteer are important concepts to understand, and they can be explained by vehicle weight distribution. Assume we have the same vehicle as in part (b), but this time with a 60-40 weight distribution front to back. Assume the vehicle enters a turn and experiences approximately the same weight transfer as in part (b). How much traction is available for the front and rear wheels now? Will the car understeer or oversteer? Why?

Wheel	Initial Weight	Initial	Weight Shift	Shifted	Available
		Traction		Weight	Traction
Front Left	900	950	-600	300	500
Back Left	600	800	-400	200	380
Front Right	900	950	+600	1500	1250
Back Right	600	800	+400	1000	1000

Front Weight Initial = 1800 lbs Front Traction Initial = 1900 lbs Front Max Accelerations = 1900 lbs / 1800 lbs = **1.06 g's** Rear Weight Initial = 1200 lbs Rear Traction Initial = 1600 lbs Rear Max Acceleration = 1600 lbs / 1200 lbs = **1.33 g's** 

Front Weight, 1g cornering = 1800 lbs Front Traction, 1g cornering = 1750 lbs Front Max Accel, 1g cornering = **0.97 g's** Rear Weight, 1g cornering = 1200 lbs Rear Traction, 1g cornering = 1380 lbs Rear Max Acceleration = 1600 lbs / 1380 lbs = **1.16 g's** 

So, while cornering on a counterclockwise turn, the available traction on the front tires is reduced compared to the available traction on the rear tires. This will result in **understeer**, as the front wheels want to come out of the turn while the rear wheels maintain traction. Moreover, because the front end of the car can't corer at over 0.97 g's, the vehicle won't be able to corner at over 0.97 g's.

# d) If you were a racecar driver (circular track) and you had a fixed 60-40 front-rear weight distribution but could add a lateral weight bias of up to 20%, what would you do to maximize the cornering performance of your vehicle? What would your max cornering acceleration be in this case?

Since a racecar driver on a circular track only experiences weight transfers in one direction, it would make sense to establish a lateral weight bias on the left side to limit the traction reducing effects of cornering with an understeer.

Wheel	Initial Weight (no bias)	Initial Weight (bias)	Initial Traction	Weight Shift	Shifted Weight	Available Traction
Front Left	900	1200	1100	-600	600	750
Back Left	600	900	950	-400	500	700

Front Right	900	600	800	+600	1200	1100
Back Right	600	300	500	+400	700	820

Front Weight Initial (bias) = 1800 lbs Front Traction Initial = 1900 lbs Front Max Accelerations = 1900 lbs / 1800 lbs = **1.06 g's** Rear Weight Initial = 1200 lbs Rear Traction Initial = 1450 lbs Rear Max Acceleration = 1450 lbs / 1200 lbs = **1.21 g's** 

Front Weight, 1g cornering = 1800 lbs Front Traction, 1g cornering = 1850 lbs Front Max Accel, 1g cornering = **1.03 g's** Rear Weight, 1g cornering = 1200 lbs Rear Traction, 1g cornering = 1320 lbs Rear Max Acceleration = 1320 lbs / 1200 lbs = **1.1 g's** 

So, the problem of understeer in part c is overcome by adding a lateral weight bias to the left around the circular racetrack. Max acceration on the front wheels is now up to 1.03 g's from .97 g's, and on the rear wheels it is down from 1.16 g's to 1.1 g's. By sacrificing some of the traction available to us on the rear wheels, then, we have mitigated the understeer issue.

16.682 Technology in Transportation Spring 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.