Applications: Human Rights
Outline

- Defining human rights
- Why do states violate human rights
- How does respect for human rights improve?
Definition of Human Rights

**Human Rights**: Rights possessed by all individuals by virtue of being human.

Enlightenment (Locke) → French and American revolutions

Holocaust → UN charter

“International Bill of Rights”
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966)
- International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (1966)
# Definition of Human Rights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty</th>
<th>Date Signed/In Force</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Outlines basic human rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide</td>
<td>1948/1951</td>
<td>Bans acts committed with the intent to destroy, in whole or part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD)</td>
<td>1965/1969</td>
<td>Bans all racial discrimination, with particular attention to policies and practices of apartheid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)</td>
<td>1966/1976</td>
<td>Details the basic economic, social, and cultural rights of individuals and nations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Definition of Human Rights

| International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (ICRMW) | 1990/2003 | Details the special rights of workers outside their country of origin. |
Definition of Human Rights

... Which rights are most important?

Treaties clarify **nonderogable** rights:
- personal integrity (torture, etc)
- freedom from cruel punishment
- recognition as a person before the law
- freedom of thought, conscience, religion

Why are these things controversial?

sovereignty, Western origin, different societal norms, perceived utility of torture
Definition of Human Rights

International definitions may be oriented to Western norms.
  ▶ Right to family? Right to work? Right to health?

This is at the core of the two main binding agreements
  1. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
  2. International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)

US finally ratifies ICCPR in 1992. US has never ratified ICESCR.
Definition of Human Rights

Vague consensus on rights masks major differences in definition
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Definition of Human Rights

Weaker states may see foreign efforts to promote individual political rights as a means of increasing Western influence.
Why do states violate human rights?

A variety of reasons and strategies...
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Why do states violate human rights?

- Lack of capacity
- Foreign or terrorist threats
- Economic benefits
- To maintain power
- To reshape society
Why do actors legally commit to improving human rights?

Also a variety of reasons and strategies...

- Moral and philosophical commitments
- Democratic Lock-in
- Rewards (accession to the EU, foreign aid, investment, praise) → but see Nielsen and Simmons (2015)
- Self-interest (labor unions demanding human rights clauses in trade agreements)
Committing to human rights agreements is not enough

Revisiting the debate about whether international law is effective:

- Yes: Most states comply most of the time
- Yes: Noncompliance often a matter of capacity
- Yes: International law mobilizes domestic stakeholders
- No: Self-selection of which states ratify
- No: Autocrats ratify and then torture more
- No: Measures of human rights not improving
Are human rights improving?

Data on human rights violations worldwide.

Coded from State Department or Amnesty International reports
Are human rights not improving?

...but Fariss (2014) argues that the measurement standard is changing.
When do states take action on human rights?

- **Domestic:** Increased capacity
- **Domestic:** International pressure (sanctions, etc.)
- **Domestic:** Change in domestic politics or economy (including revolution)
- **International:** Domestic pressure
- **International:** Boomerang effect (e.g., from Amnesty International reports)
- **International:** Self-interest (Nielsen 2013)
  - When effects of violations flow across borders (e.g., refugees)
  - When violators are not allies
New Developments

Transitional justice

- Truth and reconciliation (amnesty for perpetrators?)
- *lustration*: political limits on previous regime members

Individual Petition

International Criminal Court (Rome Statute, 2002)

- controversial
- effect is unclear and strategy is complicated
- US “unsigned” and urging countries to sign Article 98 agreements.

Human rights provisions in Regional Trade Agreements.
Conclusion

Human rights is hard problem

- sovereignty
- moral obligations and self-interest are poorly aligned
- international law lacks teeth

Substantial role for technology, both good and bad!