

Governments often don't work well

Poor-performing states are the central challenge of the 21st century

Generic challenges

- Simple lack of state capacity (e.g., cartel activity in C. America)
- Abusive behavior by street-level bureaucrats (e.g., use of force by police)
- Inefficiency and poor service delivery (e.g., education)
- Corruption

Today we are focused on the last issue, though some of the same strategies may apply to other problems

What explains variation in corruption?

An economist's view

- Officials will exploit opportunities for rents
- Policy interventions: drive costs up, benefits down, prevent buyers from meeting sellers

A sociologist's view

- Societal culture and norms are the main determinant of whether officials engage in corruption (Example: Zaidenweber)
- Policy interventions: organizational change, moral appeals

A psychologist's view

- Irrational calculation of costs and benefits
- Interpersonal differences (personality)
- Policy interventions: training, find the right "types"

Toward a unified model (at least of sociological and economic approaches)

Returns to corruption = Benefits of corruption (**B**) – costs of corruption (**C**)

Benefits:

Costs:

Toward a unified model (2)

Returns to corruption = Benefits of corruption (**B**) – costs of corruption (**C**)

- **B** = opportunities for corruption (**o**) * financial gains per opportunity (**g**)
- **C** = [costs of getting punished (**s**) * **p**₁ (getting caught)] * **p**₂(getting punished if caught)]
+ psychic costs (**q**)[†]
+ social costs (**z**)^{††}
- punishment for being clean (**l**)^{†††}
- Strategies for preventing corruption:
 - Reducing **o**, **g**
 - Increasing **s**, **p**₁, **p**₂
 - Increasing **q**
 - Increasing **z**
 - Reducing **l**
- Psychological strategies [not discussed here]
 - Getting “good” types into the organization and “bad” types out of the organization.
 - Getting people to think and plan financially

[†]Individual morality, guilt, etc.

^{††}Ostracism from uncorrupt peers, etc.

^{†††}Ostracism from corrupt peers, criticism from superiors, lack of prospective promotion, threats, etc.

Some common strategies for controlling corruption

Reducing opportunities (o)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminating bureaucracy and regulation • Isolation / sequestering (e.g., military units in MX) • Reduce discretion in spending / financial controls
Reducing gain per opportunity (g)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidding for bribes (agencies compete)
Reducing the punishments for being clean within a corrupt organization (l)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whistleblower laws • Media coverage of whistleblowers • Organizational culture
Increasing the severity of punishment (s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prison terms, death sentence, asset forfeiture
Increasing the chance of being punished if caught (p ₂)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Media • Ease of dismissal (labor laws for public employees) • Life tenure for judges • Independence of prosecutors • “Capacity building” for prosecutorial apparatus • Witness protection
Increase the probability of getting caught (p ₁)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More aggressive enforcement (wiretapping) • Vetting, background checks, recurrent polygraphing, etc. • “Illicit enrichment” / “inexplicable wealth” laws • Media openness and investigation • Sunshine laws, transparency legislation, eGovernment • Decentralization?
Increasing the psychic costs of engaging in corruption(q)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Esprit de corps with the organization • Public morality campaigns

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

17.50 Introduction to Comparative Politics
Fall 2022

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.