18.02 Problem Set 5 - Solutions of Part B

Problem 1

a) The system of equations is
$$\begin{cases} z - 2x^2 - y^2 = 0\\ 2(x-2) = -4x\lambda\\ 2(y-1) = -2y\lambda\\ 2(z-10) = \lambda \end{cases}$$

Let $P_0 = (2, 1, 10)$ and $g(x, y, z) = z - 2x^2 - y^2$.

Call P = (x, y, z) a generic point. We want to minimize the distance between P and P_0 , or equivalently $f(x, y, z) = |\overrightarrow{P_0P}|^2 = (x-2)^2 + (y-1)^2 + (z-10)^2$, with the constraint g(x, y, z) = 0.

Introducing the Lagrange multiplier λ , we obtain the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} g(x, y, z) &= 0\\ \nabla f(x, y, z) &= \lambda \nabla g(x, y, z) \end{cases}$$

Computing the gradients, we have $\nabla f = \langle 2(x-2), 2(y-1), 2(z-10) \rangle$ and $\nabla g = \langle -4x, -2y, 1 \rangle$.

b) The point P = (x, y, z) has coordinates (approximated to 1/10000): x = 2.1132, y = 1.0275 and z = 9.9866.

The coordinated of the point P computed in Problem 6b - PS4 using linear approximation were (approximately) x = 2.116, y = 1.029, z = 9.986, so within 1/100 of the exact answer.

Solving the system in (a), we get

$$\begin{cases} z - 2x^2 - y^2 = 0\\ x = \frac{2}{1 + 2\lambda}\\ y = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda}\\ z = \frac{20 + \lambda}{2} \end{cases}$$

Substituting inside the first equation we have

$$(20+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)^2(1+\lambda)^2 - 16(1+\lambda)^2 - 2(1+2\lambda)^2 = 0$$

and finally

$$4\lambda^5 + 92\lambda^4 + 253\lambda^3 + 242\lambda^2 + 81\lambda + 2 = 0$$

This equation has three real solutions.

- $\lambda = 0.02677$, which gives x = 2.11315, y = 1.02751 and z = 9.98661
- $\lambda = -1.38482$, which gives x = -1.13018, y = -2.59865 and z = 0.30759
- $\lambda = -19.98391$, which gives x = -0.05132, y = -0.05268 and z = 0.00804

Clearly the first one corresponds to P closest to P_0 . The solution coming from linear approximation in Problem 6b - PS4 was $x = 146/69 \approx 2.116$, $y = 71/69 \approx 1.029$ and $z = 689/69 \approx 9.986$.

Problem 2

a)
$$\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_z = f_x - \frac{g_x}{g_y} f_y.$$

Instead $\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_x$ and $\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_y$ do not make sense.
In fact, $\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_x$ is certainly meaningless because we cannot differentiate with respect to x if x is fixed!
Moreover, the relation $g(x, y) = c$ implies that

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \mathrm{d}x + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \mathrm{d}y = 0$$

As a consequence, fixing x and so setting dx = 0 forces also dy to be zero (except in some trivial cases when g(x, y) really depends only on x).

Hence $\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_y$ is meaningless too.

On the contrary, we can compute $\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_z$ using differentials. The relation g(x,y) = c gives us

$$\mathrm{d}y = -\frac{g_x}{g_y}\mathrm{d}x$$

Totally differentiating w = f(x, y, z) we have

$$\mathrm{d}w = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\mathrm{d}y + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\mathrm{d}z$$

We are keeping z fixed, so dz = 0. Substituting we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}w = f_x \mathrm{d}x - f_y \frac{g_x}{g_y} \mathrm{d}x$$

and so the result.

b)
$$\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)_x = x \tan(x+y) + xy.$$

Totally differentiating $\sin(x+y) = 4t$ we have $\cos(x+y)dx + \cos(x+y)dy = 4dt$ and so $dy = \frac{4}{\cos(x+y)}dt$, because dx = 0.

Totally differentiating w = xyt - 2 we get dw = ytdx + xtdy + xydt. Plugging the previous relation in, we obtain

$$dw = xt\frac{4}{\cos(x+y)}dt + xydt = (x\tan(x+y) + xy)dt$$

which gives our result.

c) Along the curve $\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}y}(1,2,4) = \frac{42}{109}$.

The curve is given by the system of equations

$$(*) \qquad \begin{cases} x^3 - zyx = -7\\ x - y^2 z + z^3 = 49 \end{cases}$$

so the differentials dx, dy and dz along the curve satisfy the following system of linear equations

 $\begin{cases} (3x^2 - yz) & dx & - xz & dy & - xy & dz & = 0 \\ & dx & - 2yz & dy & + (3z^2 - y^2) & dz & = 0 \end{cases}$

obtained by totally differentiating (*). From the second equation we can extract dx:

$$\mathrm{d}x = (2yz)\mathrm{d}y + (y^2 - 3z^2)\mathrm{d}z$$

Plugging it into the first equation we get

$$(3x^2 - yz)[(2yz)dy + (y^2 - 3z^2)dz] - xzdy - xydz = 0$$

$$(6x^2yz - 2y^2z^2 - xz)dy + (3x^2y^2 - 9x^2z^2 + 3yz^3 - y^3z - xy)dz = 0$$

Setting x = 1, y = 2 and z = 4 we obtain

$$(6 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 - 2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 4^2 - 4)dy + (3 \cdot 2^2 - 9 \cdot 4^2 + 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 4^3 - 2^3 \cdot 4 - 2)dz = 0$$

(48 - 128 - 4)dy + (12 - 144 + 384 - 32 - 2)dz = 0
-84dy + 218dz = 0

from which we conclude that $\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}y}(1,2,4) = \frac{42}{109}$ along the curve.