18.02SC Multivariable Calculus, Fall 2010

Transcript – Recitation 30, The Chain Rule with Constraints

DAVID JORDAN: Hello, and welcome back to recitation. So today, the problem I'd like to work with you is about taking partial derivatives in the presence of constraints. So this is a pretty subtle business. So take your time when you work these problems.

So what we have is we have this function w, and it's a function of four variables: x, y, z, and t. OK? But it's not really a function of these four variables because we have a constraint. So we want to study how w changes as we vary the parameters, except that we have imposed this constraint here. So that really we kind of only have three variables, because we have four variables and one constraint.

So that's what partial derivatives with constraints help us do. So let's explain first the notation. OK? So it says partial w partial z, and then we have the subscripts x and y. So what's important about this notation is not what you see as much as what you don't see. What you don't see is the variable t. OK?

So what this notation means is, as always, the denominator in our derivative expression-- partial z herethat means that we want to vary z. And we want to see how w changes as we vary z. And the x and y here mean that we want to keep x and y fixed. So if we didn't have a constraint, this x and y here would be superfluous. Because by partial derivative, we always mean to keep the other unlisted variables unchanged. However, the fact that t is missing here, it means that-- so if you think about it-- if we vary z, and we keep x and y fixed, then t also is varying. Right? Because we have this constraint here.

And so it wouldn't make sense for me to ask you to compute the partial derivative of w in z varying x, y and t because-- excuse me-- keeping x, y, and t fixed, because then there would be no room for z to vary. OK? So this notation means that z is going to be allowed to vary, but it's going to vary in a way that we're just going to ignore. So you will see how this works out in the problem. So what we're really interested in is making sure that x and y stay fixed and that z varies. And then we're going to need to, when we do some algebra, we're going to need to get rid of any mention of the variable t. OK.

So the first way that we're going to work this out is using total differentials. And I like to use total differentials when I'm on new ground because they're not the most computationally effective, because they involve computing all the derivatives that we might possibly need in sight. So they're not the most efficient computationally. But if you go ahead and compute the total differentials, then all the other

computations that you have to do are just substitution. So it really just becomes linear algebra, and that's what I like about it.

In part b, we'll see a shortcut using implicit differentiation and the chain rule. And this is going to be a little bit tricky. So we have these two equations, we need to turn them both into differential equations. And so we'll do that using a combination of implicit differentiation and the chain rule.

So I'll let you pause the video and get started on these problems. And you can check back and we'll work it out together.

OK, welcome back. So let's start by doing a, let's start with problem a. So we have total differentials is the suggested way to attack this. So why don't we just start computing the total differentials that we know.

So we have two equations. w in relation to the other variables and the constraint equation. And what we first want to do is just take the total differential of both of those equations to get started.

So we can take the first one and it tells us that dw is equal to-- OK so we have-- 3x squared y dx, plus x cubed dy, minus 2zt dz, minus z squared dt. OK. Now right away, we can simplify this equation. So this is the total differential, but we have to remember that in the setting we're interested in, x and y are held fixed.

And so holding x and y fixed means that the differentials dx and dy are both set to 0. So that lets us rewrite this first differential equation is just dw equals minus 2zt dz minus z squared dt. So that's our first equation that we get. Let me just check with my notes to make sure. That's right. OK.

And so now, we have the constraint equation from the original statement of the problem. And we need to take its differential. So on the one hand, we get x dy plus y dx. That's the total differential of the left-hand side. And then on the right-hand side, we have t dz plus z dt. OK? And now we notice that now the left-hand side of this equation is just 0 for the same reason. dy and dx are being held fixed.

So the relation that we end up getting is we get that dt is equal to minus t over z dz by just doing straightforward algebra. OK. So with that in hand now we can-- so remember I mentioned in the beginning our goal was-- so from the very beginning, we knew that if we varied z, because of our constraint, we're going to be forced to be varying t. And that's exactly what this equation says, doesn't it? We got this by just taking the differential of the constraint. And it says if you vary z, you have to vary t in an appropriate way, and that's what this coefficient tells us.

So what we're really interested in is how does w vary in terms of z here. And so we want to get rid of this dt here. And in fact, we can by using the constraint.

So combining this equation with this equation, we get that dw here is equal to-- OK, so we have-- minus 2zt dz. And then we have-- minus-- OK-- z squared times another minus times t over z, so this all becomes-- plus zt dz. So all I did is I plugged in for dt using our formula here. And so this altogether is equal to just minus zt dz. And that tells us that the partial derivative that we're after is just this coefficient, right? The partial derivative is just defined to be the coefficient of the differential once you work everything out. And so this is minus zt.

OK, so that's a. So now let's see if we can use some tricks to make the computation a bit shorter. So the tricks that we're going to use are implicit differentiation and the chain rule.

So at the end of the day-- excuse me-- we're interested in partial w partial z. And what we're going to do is use the chain rule to just take a straightforward partial derivative of our original expression. So remember, w was x cubed y minus zt squared. And so let's just take a partial derivative of that in the z-direction.

So the partial derivative in the z-direction of x cubed y is just 0. So that will go away. And so we only have minus, we have a 2zt component. That's just because the partial derivative of z squared is 2z. And then we have another term which is minus z squared, and now we need to take the partial derivative of t in the z-direction.

So, you know, often times when we take partial derivatives of one variable in terms of the other, it's common to think that the partial derivative of one variable in terms of the other is just 0. Because usually our variables are independent. They don't vary in terms of one another. But this is exactly a situation where t does vary depending on z, and so we had to include that into our notation. OK.

So now this is almost what we want, except we have this mystery component here. And of course, there's only one way we can solve this mystery, which is the same way we solved it in part a. We have to use the constraint.

So let's take partial z of our constraint equation. And remember, our constraint equation was xy equals zt. OK. So if we take the partial derivative of this equation, so if I take the partial derivative x and y in terms of z, then I do get 0, because x and y are genuinely independent from z. It's only t that depends on z. So on this side we get 0.

Now, on the other side I just need to use the product rule. So I get t, plus z partial t partial z. OK? So we can rewrite this as saying that partial z is minus t over z. OK?

Now, you might notice that, you know, this is formally very similar to what we did in part a, and of course, that's no surprise. When we are manipulating using implicit differentiation and the chain rule, it's just a compact way of doing what we were doing with the total differentials. I mean, to me, the chain rule is a computation which you could prove by doing the corresponding thing with total differentials. And so we get this same coefficient negative t over z, which you recall that we got in part a. OK.

So now we have, once again we have this, two equations and we just can do substitution. So we get that partial w partial z is equal to minus 2zt. And now again, we get minus another minus, and z here cancels the z squared, so we get plus zt. And so we get minus zt.

OK, and finally, if we remember our assumptions, our assumptions were that x and y were independent of z. That was our notation. And we use that assumption at this step right here. So in fact, we don't just have the partial derivative of w with respect to z. We need to specify that we held x and y fixed. OK.

So just to review again, if we look now at what we did in part b, you know, the meat of the argument was the exact same as what we did in part a. The meat of the argument was right here. We took some derivative and then this was an unknown. The definition of w doesn't know how t and z depend on one another. That you can only find by looking at the constraint. And so we just went through the problem and we took derivatives of the constraint, and that gave us an equation that we were looking for.

Now if we go back now to part a over here. So as you can see, there's a lot more work involved in part a. On the other hand, to me it was more straightforward. We just had to compute the total differentials and then do some linear algebra with cancellations. And somehow, when you do total differentials, you just compute everything that could possibly come up, and then you just substitute it in. And indeed, we got the same answer: partial w partial z as being minus zt.

OK, and I think I'll stop there.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

18.02SC Multivariable Calculus Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.