Hi. Welcome back to recitation. In lecture, you've been learning about how to solve multivariable optimization problems using the method of Lagrange multipliers, and I have a nice problem here for you that can be solved that way.

So in this problem, we've got an ellipse, the ellipse with equation $x$ squared plus $4 y$ squared equals 4. So that's this ellipse, and we want to inscribe a rectangle in it, so here I mean actually a rectangle whose edges are parallel to the axes. So I want to inscribe a rectangle in this ellipse, and among all such rectangles, I want to find the one with the largest perimeter. So I want to find the maximal perimeter of a rectangle that can be inscribed in this ellipse. So why don't you have a go at solving this problem, pause the video, work it out, come back, and we can work it out together.

So hopefully, you've had some luck working on this problem. Let's get started on it. So one thing we need to start is we need to figure out a way to sort of describe these rectangles in a way that will let us describe their perimeter, write down what their perimeter is. So a natural way to do that is to call this upper right-hand corner of the rectangle, the call it the point ( $x, y$ ). So ( $x, y$ ) is going to be that upper right-hand corner of the rectangle, and it's going to be ranging over the region from this topmost point on the ellipse down to this rightmost point on the ellipse, on this quarter arc of the ellipse.

So if that point is ( $x, y$ ), we need to figure out what is the perimeter that we're trying to optimize. So the perimeter here, P , which is a function of x and y -- well, so x is this distance, so the length of the horizontal edge of the rectangle is $2 x$, and we've got two of those, so that's $4 x$ from the horizontal sides. And then this height is $y$, so the length of the vertical side of the rectangle is $2 y$, so the perimeter is going to be $4 x$ plus $4 y$. So that's our objective function that we're trying to optimize, that we're trying to find the maximum of.

And we also have the constraint function g , which is x squared plus 4 y squared, and the constraint is that $g$ is equal to 4 . So we have the objective function $P--P$ of $x, y$-- and we have this constraint function g , and so we want to write down some equations using Lagrange multipliers whose solutions will correspond to the possible maximum points of $P$.

So what are those equations? Well, we need that the gradient of $P$ is parallel to the gradient $g$. So that means that we need $P_{\_} x$ is equal to lambda times $g_{-} x$ and $P_{-} y$ is equal to lambda times $g_{\_} y$ for some value of lambda. We need to find a value of lambda that makes this true.

And then also, our third equation is the constraint equation, that g is equal to 4 .

So what does $P_{\text {_ }} \mathrm{x}$ equal lambda $\mathrm{g}_{-} \mathrm{x}$ translate to in our case? Let's just draw a line here. So in our case, $P \_x$ is the $x$ partial derivative of $4 x$ plus $4 y$, so that's just 4 , and $g_{-} x$, we take the partial derivative with respect to $x$ of $x$ squared plus $4 y$ squared, and that's equal to $2 x$, so 4 is equal to lambda times $2 x$. And from taking the y partial derivatives, we have that the y partial derivative of $P$ is $4, P \_y$ is 4 , and $g \_y$ is going to be the $y$ partial derivative of $x$ squared plus 4 y squared, so that's $8 y$, so 4 equals lambda times $8 y$, and we also have the constraint equation $x$ squared plus 4 y squared equals 4 . So we need to solve these three equations, and we need to figure out which values of $x$ and $y$ are the solutions.

So I think the simplest way to proceed here is to note that from the first equation and the second equation, we can eliminate lambda between them, and what we'll see is that x has to be exactly four times as large as y for this to be true, for both of these equations to be true at the same time. So we need $x$ to be equal to $4 y$.

So from the first two equations, we have that $x$ is equal to $4 y$, and now we can substitute that in to the constraint equation. So if $x$ is $4 y$, then $x$ squared is $16 y$ squared, so $x$ squared plus 4 y squared is 20 y squared. So we have $20 y$ squared is equal to 4 . And OK, so we can solve this for y . We can divide by 20 and take a square root, so we get that y -- well, so y squared is equal to $1 / 5$, so $y$ is equal to plus or minus 1 over the square root of 5 . But remember, come back over here, we've taken ( $x, y$ ) to be the upper right-hand corner, this first quadrant corner of our rectangle, so $y$ is always positive. So we had that $y$ squared equals $1 / 5$ and $y$ is positive, so there's actually only one root. We don't need to consider the negative root. So over here, we know that y is 1 divided by the square root of 5 .

OK, so that's $y$. Now what's $x$ ? Well, OK, so we solve for $x$ in terms of $y$, so $x$ is equal to 4 over the square root of 5 .

So Lagrange multipliers, when we use the method of Lagrange multipliers, we get this one possible point at which we have to check to be the maximum. But remember that when you're using Lagrange multipliers, you also have to worry about the boundary of the region that you're interested in. So let's go look at our picture again.

So over on our picture, this point ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) moved along the arc connecting the topmost point of the ellipse to the rightmost point of the ellipse. So we also have to look at the perimeters when the point is the topmost point and when the point is the rightmost point. Now, in those two
cases, the rectangle is a sort of degenerate rectangle, and when $(x, y)$ is this point ( 0,1 ), it's sort of two copies of this vertical line, this minor axis, and when $(x, y)$ is the point $(2,0)$, then our rectangle just looks like the major axis, which is that horizontal line. But we still have to check those cases to see whether our function has a maximum and what it is.

So we need to compute the objective function value at this point and we need to compute it at those endpoints. So we need to look at P of-- so this is our point 4 over the square root of 5 comma 1 over the square root of 5 , and we know that $P$ of $x, y$ is $4 x$ plus $4 y$, so that's equal to 20 over the square root of 5 , which we can also write as 4 times the square root of 5 .

And we also need to check those two endpoints, so we need to check the point $P$ of 0,1 , so that's 4 , and we need to check the point $P$ of 2,0 , so that's 8 . So in order to find out what the maximum value of $P$ is, we need to compare the value of $P$ at the points given to us by Lagrange multipliers and at the boundary points of the region, which in this case are the endpoints of the arc. So we need to compare the numbers 4 square root of 5,4 and 8 , and indeed, 4 square root of 5 is the largest of these. So this is the largest, so this is actually the maximum value, OK?

So the maximum perimeter is 4 square root of 5 when rectangle has its upper rightmost vertex at this point: 4 over square root of 5 comma 1 over square root of 5 . So our rectangle's maximal perimeter is 4 root 5 , and that occurs when the upper right-hand vertex is at the point 4 over root 5, 1 over root 5 .

So to quickly recap, we wanted to apply the method of Lagrange multipliers to this problem. So we chose to keep track of our rectangles by their upper right-hand corner. And then that gave us-- the perimeter was $4 x$ plus $4 y$. That was our objective function. And the constraint was that that upper right-hand corner actually had to lie on the ellipse.

So then we set the gradients of the two functions equal and solved the system of equations that we get by having those-- sorry, the gradients not to be equal, but to be parallel. There's some constant multiple lambda that appears. So we set the gradients to be parallel to each other and the constraint equation to hold, and we solved those three equation simultaneously for x and y . And those equations gave us one point that we had to check to be the maximum, and we also needed to check points on the boundary of the region in question. So here, those were just the two points $(0,1)$ and $(2,0)$. So l'll end there.

