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## 1 Problems from the book by Saff and Snider.

### 1.1 Problem 04 in section 4.4.

Let us first of all parametrise the contour $\Gamma_{0}$ :

$$
\Gamma_{0}: z(t)= \begin{cases}e^{i t}, & 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi \\ e^{i(4 \pi-t)}, & 2 \pi \leq t \leq 4 \pi\end{cases}
$$

We want to deform this contour continuously to the contour $\Gamma_{1}: z(t)=1,0 \leq t \leq 4 \pi$. This is achieved by the following intermediate set of contours,

$$
\Gamma_{s}: z(s, t)= \begin{cases}e^{i(1-s) t}, & 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi \\ e^{i(1-s)(4 \pi-t)}, & 2 \pi \leq t \leq 4 \pi\end{cases}
$$

where $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

To check that the conclusion of the Deformation Invariance Theorem holds for this example, let us split the contour $\Gamma_{0}$ into two parts: Let $\gamma_{a}$ be the part of the contour that has counterclockwise direction and let $\gamma_{b}$ be the part of the contour that has clockwise direction. Notice that $\gamma_{b}=-\gamma_{a}$, so that (by equation (3) of page 115 in the book):

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{0}} f(z) d z=\int_{\gamma_{a}} f(z) d z+\int_{\gamma_{b}} f(z) d z=\int_{\gamma_{a}} f(z) d z-\int_{\gamma_{a}} f(z) d z=0 .
$$

For the integral along $\Gamma_{1}$, we can use theorem 5 of section 4.2:

$$
\left|\int_{\Gamma_{1}} f(z) d z\right| \leq \max _{z \in \gamma_{1}}|f(z)| \times \text { length }\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=|f(1)| \times 0=0 .
$$

Thus both integrals (along $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ ) vanish, and therefore are equal.

### 1.2 Problem 07 in section 4.4.

Part (a)
Since $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic, we know that $u$ and $v$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
$$

The vector field corresponding to $\bar{f}=u-i v$ is $\mathbf{V}=(u,-v)$, that is: $V_{1}=u$ and $V_{2}=-v$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial x} \\
& \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial y}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the first line here we see that the vector field is irrotational and from the second we see that it is also solenoidal.

## Part (b)

Now suppose that $\mathbf{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ is a continuously differentiable, irrotational, solenoidal vector field. Thus

$$
\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial x} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial x}=-\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial y} .
$$

If we now let $f=V_{1}-i V_{2}$, we see that the real and imaginary parts of $f$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations and are continuously differentiable. By Theorem 5 of section 2.4, $f$ is differentiable and hence analytic.

### 1.3 Problem 10 in section 4.4.

Consider the contour integral (for the choices of $f$ below)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{|z|=2} f(z) d z \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Part (a)
$f(z)=\frac{z}{z^{2}+25}=\frac{z}{(z+25 i)(z-25 i)}$. This function is analytic everywhere, except at the values $z= \pm 25 i$. Since both of these points lie outside the circle $|z|=2$, the integral (1.3.1) vanishes by Cauchy's Theorem.

Part (b)
$f(z)=e^{-z}(2 z+1)$. This function is analytic everywhere, so that the integral (1.3.1) vanishes by Cauchy's Theorem.

Part (c)
$f(z)=\frac{\cos z}{(z-3+i)(z-3-i)}$. This function is analytic everywhere, except at the values $z=3 \pm i$.
Since both of these points lie outside of the circle, the integral (1.3.1) vanishes by Cauchy's Theorem.

Part (d)
$f(z)=\log (z+3)$. This function is analytic everywhere, except when $\operatorname{Arg}(z+3)=\pi$, i.e. except on the real axis with $\operatorname{Re}(z)<-3$. So it is analytic inside the circle and the integral (1.3.1) vanishes by Cauchy's Theorem.

Part (e)
$f(z)=\sec (z / 2)=1 / \cos (z / 2)$. This function is analytic everywhere, except when the denominator vanishes, which occurs when $z=\pi+2 k \pi$ for $k$ an integer. Since $-\pi<2$ and $\pi>2$, this function is analytic inside the circle and the integral (1.3.1) vanishes by Cauchy's Theorem.

### 1.4 Problem 18 in section 4.4.

Consider the contour integral

$$
I=\oint_{|z|=2} \frac{d z}{z^{2}(z-1)^{3}} .
$$

We show now that this integral vanishes.

## Step (a)

The integrand is a function analytic everywhere, except when $z=0$ or $z=1$. We can define the domain $D$ to be the complex plane without the interior of the circle $|z|=1.5$ for example. (Note that this domain is not simply connected, but it doesn't need to be for this argument.) The domain $D$ contains the circles $|z|=2$ and $|z|=R$ for any $R>2$, and these circles can be continuously deformed into each other (by continuously varying the radius). We can therefore use theorem 8 of section 4.4, to conclude that $I=I(R)$ for every $R>2$.

## Step (b)

On the contour, we have $z=R \cos \theta+i R \sin \theta$. Thus $|z|=R$ and

$$
|z-1|=\sqrt{(R \cos \theta-1)^{2}+R^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}=\sqrt{R^{2}+1-2 R \cos \theta} \geq \sqrt{R^{2}+1-2 R}=R-1
$$

Thus (on the contour) we have $\left|\frac{1}{z^{2}(z-1)^{3}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{R^{2}(R-1)^{3}}$ and by theorem 5 of section 4.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(R)| \leq \frac{1}{R^{2}(R-1)^{3}} \times(\text { length of path })=\frac{2 \pi}{R(R-1)^{3}} \tag{1.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step (c)
From (1.4.1) it follows that $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} I(R)=0$.
Step (d)
We know that $I=I(R)$ for all $R>2$. Now, suppose $I \neq 0$, say $|I|=\epsilon>0$. But (from part (c)) we know that there is an $R_{0}>2$, such that $|I(R)|<\epsilon$ for all $R \geq R_{0}$. But then, for $R \geq R_{0}$ we have $\epsilon=|I|=|I(R)|<\epsilon$, which is a contradiction. Hence $I=0$.

### 1.5 Problem 06 in section 4.5.

Consider the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{i z}}{\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}} d z=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{i z}}{(z+i)^{2}(z-i)^{2}} d z \tag{1.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the circle $|z|=3$ traversed once in the counterclockwise direction. Since the integrand is analytic everywhere, except at $z= \pm i$, we can use the deformation invariance theorem (theorem 8 of section 4.4) and apply it to the domain $D$, where $D$ is the complex plane without the points $\pm i$. We deform the contour as indicated in figure 1.5.1, to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{e^{i z}}{\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}} d z+\int_{\gamma_{2}} \frac{e^{i z}}{\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}} d z \\
& =2 \pi i\left[\left.\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{e^{i z}}{(z+i)^{2}}\right)\right|_{z=i}+\left.\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{e^{i z}}{(z-i)^{2}}\right)\right|_{z=-i}\right] \\
& =\frac{\pi}{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

where (in the second step) we used theorem 19 of section 4.5.

### 1.6 Problem 08 in section 4.5.

Consider the circle $\left|z-z_{0}\right|=r$. Let us parametrise it as follows: $z(\theta)=z_{0}+r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$. Then Cauchy's Integral Formula tells us that if $f$ is analytic inside and on the circle, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(z_{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\left|z-z_{0}\right|=r} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_{0}} d z \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{f\left(z_{0}+r e^{i \theta}\right)}{z_{0}+r e^{i \theta}-z_{0}} r i e^{i \theta} d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1.5.1: Deformation of the contour $\Gamma$ in (1.5.1).

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(z_{0}+r e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta
$$

where we have used the fact that $\frac{d z}{d \theta}=r i e^{i \theta} d \theta$. More generally, using theorem 19 of section 4.5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{(n)}(z) & =\frac{n!}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\left|z-z_{0}\right|=r} \frac{f(z)}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}} d z \\
& =\frac{n!}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{f\left(z_{0}+r e^{i \theta}\right)}{r^{n+1} e^{i(n+1) \theta}} r i e^{i \theta} d \theta \\
& =\frac{n!}{2 \pi r^{n}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(z_{0}+r e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.7 Problem 14 in section 4.5.

Consider the function

$$
G(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\cos \zeta}{\zeta-z} d \zeta,
$$

where $\Gamma$ is a simple closed positively oriented contour that passes through the point $2+3 i$. The function $\cos (\zeta)$ is is analytic everywhere, so that $\frac{\cos (\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)}$ is analytic everywhere, except when $\zeta=z$.

- If $z$ lies outside of $\Gamma$, then from Cauchy's Theorem $G(z)=0$, since the integrand is analytic inside the contour. Thus
$\lim _{z \rightarrow 2+3 i} G(z)=0$ when $z$ approaches $2+3 i$ from outside $\Gamma$.
- If $z$ lies inside $\Gamma$, we can use Cauchy's Integral Formula to evaluate the integral. Then $G(z)=\cos (z)$. Thus

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 2+3 i} G(z)=\cos (2+3 i) \text { when } z \text { approaches } 2+3 i \text { from inside } \Gamma \text {. }
$$

### 1.8 Problem 06 in section 4.6.

Let $f(z)$ be an entire function such that $f^{(5)}(z)$ is bounded in the whole plane. Then $f$ is a polynomial of degree at most 5 .

Since $f$ is entire, it is infinitely many times differentiable, and each of its derivatives is also entire. In particular, $f^{(5)}$ is entire and (by assumption) it is bounded in the whole plane. By theorem 21 of section 4.6 (Liouville's Theorem), we conclude that $f^{(5)}$ is a constant function. Integrating $f^{(5)}$ five times we see that $f$ must be a polynomial of degree at most 5.

### 1.9 Problem 14 in section 4.6.

Minimum Modulus Principle: Let $f$ be analytic in a bounded domain $D$ and continuous up to and including its boundary. Then, if $f$ is non-zero in $D$, the modulus $|f(z)|$ attains its minimum value on the boundary of $D$.

To show this we will apply the maximum modulus principle to the function $g(z)=1 / f(z)$. However, we must be careful, since the maximum modulus principle requires that the function it is applied to be analytic in the domain $D$ and continuous up to and including its boundary. It should be clear that the only way $g(z)$ can fail to satisfy these conditions is if $f(z)$ vanishes somewhere (which, by hypothesis, can only happen on the boundary). Thus we distinguish two cases:

- i) $f\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ at some point $z_{0}$ on the boundary. Then, since $f(z) \neq 0$ in $D,|f(z)|>0$ in $D$ and (by continuity) $|f(z)| \geq 0$ on the boundary of $D$. Since $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=0,|f(z)|$ does indeed attain its minimum on the boundary.
- ii) On the other hand, assume that $f(z) \neq 0$ in $D$ and on the boundary. Then $g=g(z)$ satisfies the conditions for the maximum modulus principle, so that $|g(z)|$ attains its maximum on the boundary. But a maximum of $|g(z)|$ is a minimum of $|f(z)|$. Thus, again, $|f(z)|$ attains its minimum on the boundary.

Counterexample 1: Consider $f(z)=z$ on the unit disk $|z|<1$, which satisfies the conditions for the Minimum Modulus Principle, except that $f(0)=0$. In this case $|f(z)|=1$ on the boundary of the domain (the unit circle), while the minimum of $|f|$ is clearly 0 . Thus, if the condition that $f$ be non-zero on $D$ fails, the Minimum Modulus Principle need not apply.

Counterexample 2: Consider $f(z)=e^{-z}$ on the right hand side of the complex plane $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$, which satisfies the conditions for the Minimum Modulus Principle, except that the domain is not bounded. In this case $|f(z)|=1$ on the boundary of the domain (the imaginary axis), while the minimum of $|f|$ is clearly 0 (look at the values of $|f(z)|$ on the positive real axis). Thus, if the condition that the domain be bounded fails, the Minimum Modulus Principle need not apply.

### 1.10 Problem 24 in section 4.6.

Here we show that if $P$ is a polynomial that has no zeros on a simple positively oriented contour $\Gamma$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)} d z \tag{1.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the number of zeros (counting multiplicity) that $P$ has inside the contour $\Gamma$.
We can write $P(z)=c \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$, where the $z_{k}$ 's are the zeros of $P(z)$ (occurring with their multiplicities) and $c$ is some constant. Then, using the product rule to differentiate $P(z)$, we find

$$
\frac{d P}{d z}=c \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \prod_{k=1 \& k \neq \ell}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-z_{\ell}}
$$

We recall now that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z-z_{\ell}} d z= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } z_{\ell} \text { is inside } \Gamma \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

so that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)} d z=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z-z_{\ell}} d z\right)=\text { No. of zeros of } \mathrm{P} \text { inside } \Gamma \text {, counting multiplicities. }
$$
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