

Class 20 in-class problems, 18.05, Spring 2022

Concept questions

Concept question 1. Significance tests

Three different tests are run, all with significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.

Experiment 1: finds $p = 0.003$ and rejects its null hypothesis H_0 .

Experiment 2: finds $p = 0.049$ and rejects its null hypothesis.

Experiment 3: finds $p = 0.15$ and fails to reject its null hypothesis.

Which result has the highest probability of being correct?

1. Experiment 1
2. Experiment 2
3. Experiment 3
4. Impossible to say.

Concept question 2. Multiple testing

(a) Suppose we have 6 treatments and want to know if the average recovery time is the same for all of them. If we compare two at a time, how many two-sample t -tests do we need to run?

- (i) 1 (ii) 2 (iii) 6 (iv) 15 (v) 30

(b) Suppose we use the significance level 0.05 for each of the 15 tests. Assuming the null hypothesis, what is the best estimate of the probability that we reject at least one of the 15 null hypotheses?

- (i) < 0.05 (ii) 0.05 (iii) 0.10 (iv) > 0.25

Board questions

Problem 1. Stop!

Experiments are run to test a coin that is suspected of being biased towards heads. The significance level is set to $\alpha = 0.1$

Experiment 1: Toss a coin 5 times. Report the sequence of tosses.

Experiment 2: Toss a coin until the first tails. Report the sequence of tosses.

(a) Give the test statistic, null distribution and rejection region for each experiment. List all sequences of tosses that produce a test statistic in the rejection region for each experiment.

(b) Suppose the data is $HHHHT$.

(i) Do the significance test for both types of experiment.

(ii) Do a Bayesian update starting from a flat prior: $\text{Beta}(1,1)$.

Draw some conclusions about the fairness of coin from your posterior. (Use R: `pbeta` for computation in part (b).)

Problem 2. Stop!

For each of the following experiments (all done with $\alpha = 0.05$)

- (a) Comment on the validity of the claims.
- (b) Find the true probability of a type I error in each experimental setup.
- Experiment 1. By design Alexandre did 50 trials and computed $p = 0.04$. They report $p = 0.04$ with $n = 50$ and declare it significant.
 - Experiment 2. Sara did 50 trials and computed $p = 0.06$. Since this was not significant, she then did 50 more trials and computed $p = 0.04$ based on all 100 trials. She reports $p = 0.04$ with $n = 100$ and declares it significant.
 - Experiment 3. Gabriel did 50 trials and computed $p = 0.06$. Since this was not significant, he started over and computed $p = 0.04$ based on the next 50 trials. He reports $p = 0.04$ with $n = 50$ and declares it statistically significant.

Problem 3. From Class 19: Chi-square for independence

(From Rice, *Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis*, 2nd ed. p.489)

Consider the following contingency table of counts

Education	Married once	Married multiple times	Total
College	550	61	611
No college	681	144	825
Total	1231	205	1436

Use a chi-square test with significance level 0.01 to test the hypothesis that the number of marriages and education level are independent.

Discussion questions

1. From Class 18: Type I errors Q1

Suppose a journal will only publish results that are statistically significant at the 0.05 level. What percentage of the papers it publishes contain type I errors?

2. From Class 18: Type I errors Q2

Jerry desperately wants to cure diseases but he is terrible at designing effective treatments. He is however a careful scientist and statistician, so he randomly divides his patients into control and treatment groups. The control group gets a placebo and the treatment group gets the experimental treatment. His null hypothesis H_0 is that the treatment is no better than the placebo. He uses a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. If his p -value is less than α he publishes a paper claiming the treatment is significantly better than a placebo.

- (a) Since his treatments are never, in fact, effective what percentage of his experiments result in published papers?
- (b) What percentage of his published papers contain type I errors, i.e. describe treatments that are no better than placebo?

3. From Class 18: Type I errors Q3

Jen is a genius at designing treatments, so all of her proposed treatments are effective. She is also a careful scientist and statistician, so she too runs double-blind, placebo controlled, randomized studies. Her null hypothesis is always that the new treatment is no better than the placebo. She also uses a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ and publishes a paper if $p < \alpha$.

- (a) How could you determine what percentage of her experiments result in publications?
- (b) What percentage of her published papers contain type I errors, i.e. describe treatments that are, in fact, no better than placebo?

MIT OpenCourseWare

<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.05 Introduction to Probability and Statistics

Spring 2022

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.