# 18.303 Problem Set 1

#### Due Friday, 12 September 2014.

Note: For computational (Julia-based) homework problems in 18.303, turn in with your solutions a printout of any commands used and their results (please edit out extraneous/irrelevant stuff), and a printout of any graphs requested; alternatively, you can email your notebook (.ipynb) file to the grader. Always label the axes of your graphs (with the xlabel and ylabel commands), add a title with the title command, and add a legend (if there are multiple curves) with the legend command. (Labelling graphs is a good habit to acquire.) Because IJulia notebooks let you combine code, plots, headings, and formatted text, it should be straighforward to turn in well-documented solutions.

### Problem 1: 18.06 warmup

Here are a few questions that you should be able to answer based only on 18.06:

- (a) Suppose that B is a Hermitian positive-definite matrix. Show that there is a unique matrix  $\sqrt{B}$  which is Hermitian positive-definite and has the property  $(\sqrt{B})^2 = B$ . (Hint: use the diagonalization of B.)
- (b) Suppose that A and B are Hermitian matrices and that B is positive-definite.
  - (i) Show that  $B^{-1}A$  is *similar* (in the 18.06 sense) to a Hermitian matrix. (Hint: use your answer from above.)
  - (ii) What does this tell you about the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $B^{-1}A$ , i.e. the solutions of  $B^{-1}A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ ?
  - (iii) Are the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}$  orthogonal?
  - (iv) In Julia, make a random  $5 \times 5$  real-symmetric matrix via A=rand(5,5); A = A+A' and a random  $5 \times 5$  positive-definite matrix via B = rand(5,5); B = B'\*B ... then check that the eigenvalues of  $B^{-1}A$  match your expectations from above via lambda,X = eigvals(B\A) (this will give an array lambda of the eigenvalues and a matrix X whose columns are the eigenvectors).
  - (v) Using your Julia result, what happens if you compute  $C = X^T B X$  via C=X'\*B\*X? You should notice that the matrix C is very special in some way. Show that the elements  $C_{ij}$  of C are a kind of "dot product" of the eigenvectors i and j, but with a factor of B in the middle of the dot product.
- (c) The solutions y(t) of the ODE y'' 2y' cy = 0 are of the form  $y(t) = C_1 e^{(1+\sqrt{1+c})t} + C_2 e^{(1-\sqrt{1+c})t}$  for some constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  determined by the initial conditions. Suppose that A is a real-symmetric  $4 \times 4$  matrix with eigenvalues 3, 8, 15, 24 and corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_4$ , respectively.

  - (ii) After a long time  $t \gg 0$ , what do you expect the approximate form of the solution to be?

## Problem 2: Les Poisson, les Poisson

In class, we considered the 1d Poisson equation  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}u(x) = f(x)$  for the vector space of functions u(x) on  $x \in [0, L]$  with the "Dirichlet" boundary conditions u(0) = u(L) = 0, and solved it in terms of the eigenfunctions of  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  (giving a Fourier sine series). Here, we will consider a couple of small variations on this:

- (a) Suppose that we we change the boundary conditions to the *periodic* boundary condition u(0) = u(L).
  - (i) What are the eigenfunctions of  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  now?
  - (ii) Will Poisson's equation have unique solutions? Why or why not?
  - (iii) Under what conditions (if any) on f(x) would a solution exist? (You can restrict yourself to f with a convergent Fourier series.)
- (b) If we instead consider  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}v(x) = g(x)$  for functions v(x) with the boundary conditions v(0) = v(L) + 1, do these functions form a vector space? Why or why not?
- (c) Explain how we can transform the v(x) problem of the previous part back into the original  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}u(x) = f(x)$  problem with u(0) = u(L), by writing u(x) = v(x) + q(x) and f(x) = g(x) + r(x) for some functions q and r. (Transforming a new problem into an old, solved one is always a useful thing to do!)

#### Problem 3: Finite-difference approximations

For this question, you may find it helpful to refer to the notes and reading from lecture 3. Consider a finite-difference approximation of the form:

$$u'(x) \approx \frac{-u(x+2\Delta x) + c \cdot u(x+\Delta x) - c \cdot u(x-\Delta x) + u(x-2\Delta x)}{d \cdot \Delta x}$$

- (a) Substituting the Taylor series for  $u(x + \Delta x)$  etcetera (assuming u is a smooth function with a convergent Taylor series, blah blah), show that by an appropriate choice of the constants c and d you can make this approximation *fourth-order accurate*: that is, the errors are proportional to  $(\Delta x)^4$  for small  $\Delta x$ .
- (b) Check your answer to the previous part by numerically computing u'(1) for  $u(x) = \sin(x)$ , as a function of  $\Delta x$ , exactly as in the handout from class (refer to the notebook posted in lecture 3 for the relevant Julia commands, and adapt them as needed). Verify from your log-log plot of the lerrors versus  $\Delta x$  that you obtained the expected fourth-order accuracy.

18.303 Linear Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Numerics Fall 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.