## - Balancing a broom.

## Statement:

Consider the problem of balancing a broom upright, by placing it on a surface that moves up and down in some prescribed manner. Specifically:
Assume a rough flat horizontal surface, which oscillates up and down following some prescribed law (that is, at any time the surface can be described by the equation $y=Y(t)$, where $y$ is the vertical coordinate, and $Y$ is some oscillatory function). On this surface we place a broom, in upright position, with the sweeping side pointing up. ${ }^{2}$ Question: Can we prescribe $Y$ in such a way that the broom remains upright - i.e.: the position is stable?

In order to answer the question, consider the following idealized situation:
A) Replace the broom by a mass $m$, placed at the upper end of (massless) rigid rod of length $L$. Let the displacement of the rod from the vertical position be given by the angle $\theta$, with $\theta=0$ corresponding to the rod standing vertical, and the mass on the upper end.
B) The bottom of the rod is attached to a hinge that allows it to rotate in a plane. Thus the motion of the rod is restricted to occur on a plane.
C) Assume that friction can be neglected.
D) The hinge to which the rod is attached oscillates up and down, with position $x=0$ and $y=Y(t)-x$ is the horizontal coordinate on the plane where the rod moves. The mass is then at $x=L \sin (\theta)$ and $y=Y+L \cos (\theta)$ - we measure angles clockwise from the top.

## Now, do the following:

(1)

Use Newton's laws to derive the equation of motion for the mass $m$. You should obtain a second order ODE for the angle $\theta$, with coefficients depending on the parameters $g$ (the acceleration of gravity) and the length of the $\operatorname{rod} L-$ in addition to the forcing function $Y=Y(t)$.

Hint: Only two forces act on the mass $m$, namely: gravity and a force $F=F(t)$ along the rod. The force $F$ has just the right strength to keep the (rigid) rod at constant length $L$ - this is enough to determine $F$, though you do not need to calculate it.
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You should notice that adding a constant velocity to the hinge motion (that is: $Y \rightarrow Y+v t$, where $v$ is a constant) does not change the equation of motion. Why should this be so? What physical principle is involved?

## (3)

Write down the (linearized) equations for small perturbations of the equilibrium position $(\theta=0)$ that we wish stabilized. Stability occurs if and only if $Y=Y(t)$ can be selected so that the solutions of this linear equation do not grow in time - strictly speaking we should also consider the possible effects of nonlinearity, but we will ignore this issue here.

## (4)

You should notice that it is possible to stabilize $\theta=0$ by taking $Y=-a t^{2}$, where $a>0$ is a constant acceleration. How large does $a$ have to be for this to happen? Give a justification of this result based on physical reasoning, without involving any equations (this is something you should have been able to predict before you wrote a single equation).

## (5)

Of course, the "solution" found in (4) is not very satisfactory, since $Y$ grows without bound in it. Consider now oscillatory forcing functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\ell \cos (\omega t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell>0$ and $\omega>0$ are constants (with dimensions of length and time ${ }^{-1}$, respectively).

> The objective is to find conditions on $(\ell, \omega)$ that guarantee stability.

The next steps will lead you through this process, but first: Nondimensionalize the (linearized) stability equation. In doing so it is convenient to use the time scale provided by the forcing to nondimensionalize time - i.e.: let the nondimensional time be $\tau=\omega t$.
This step should lead you to an equation describing the evolution of the angle $\theta$ (valid for small angles), involving two nondimensional parameters. One of them, $\epsilon=\ell / L$, measures the amplitude
of the oscillations in terms of the length of the rod. The other measures the time scale of the forcing (as given by $1 / \omega$ ) in terms of the time scale of the gravitational instability - a function of $g$ and $L$. Call this second parameter $\mu$ - note that in the equation only $\mu^{2}$ appears, not $\mu$ itself. (6)

Find the stability range for $\mu$ as a function of $\epsilon$, for the values $0<\epsilon \leq 0.6$ - it is enough to pick a few values of $\epsilon$, say $\epsilon=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5,0.6$, and then to compute the stability range for each of them.

Note/hint: This step will require not just analysis, but some numerical computation. So as not to be forced to explore all possible values of $\mu$ when looking for the stability ranges (numerically an impossible task), you should notice that the analysis for $\epsilon=0$ can be done exactly - and should provide you with a good hint as to where to look.

## (7)

Write the period $p=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega}$ of the forcing, in terms of the nondimensional parameter $\mu$, and the parameters $g$ and $L$. The results of part (6) should provide you with the period ranges (for a given oscillation amplitude) where stability occurs. Use this information to provide a rough explanation of why it is relatively easy to balance a broom on the palm of your hand (using the strategy outlined in this problem - try it), and why you will not be able to balance a pencil.

## (8)

For $0 \leq \epsilon \ll 1$ and $0 \leq \mu \ll 1$ you should be able to obtain analytical approximations for the stable ranges. Do so, and compare your results with those of part (6).

Hint: Floquet theory provides a function (the Floquet Trace $\alpha=\alpha(\mu, \epsilon)$ ) that characterizes linearized stability - stability if and only if $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Compute this function for $\mu$ and $\epsilon$ small.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ MIT, Department of Mathematics, room 2-337, Cambridge, MA 02139.
    ${ }^{2}$ Because the surface is rough, the contact point of the broom with the surface will not move relative to the surface.

