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## 1 Problems 08.07.05/06/07 - Strogatz <br> (Another driven overdamped system)

Statement for problems 08.07.05/06/07
A. By considering an appropriate Poincaré map, prove that the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta}{d t}+\sin \theta=\sin t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least two periodic solutions. Can you say anything about their stability?
B. Give a mechanical interpretation for equation (1.1).
C. Plot a computer generated phase portrait for the system in (1.2). Check that the answer agrees with the results in part $\mathbf{A}$.

Hint. Regard the system as a vector field on a cylinder:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{d t}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\sin t-\sin \theta \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sketch the nullclines and thereby infer the shape of certain key trajectories that can be used to bound the periodic solutions. For instance, sketch the trajectory through $P=(t, \theta)=\frac{1}{2} \pi(1,1)$.

## 2 Problem 141003-Newton's Method in the complex plane

## Statement for problem 141003

Suppose that you want to solve an equation, $g(x)=0$. Then you can use Newton's method, which is as follows: Assume that you have a "reasonable" guess, $x_{0}$, for the value of a root. Then the sequence $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n + 1}}=\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$, $n \geq \mathbf{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x-\frac{g(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges (very fast) to the root.
Remark 2.0.1 (The idea). Assume an approximate solution $g\left(x_{a}\right) \approx 0$. Write $x_{b}=x_{a}+\delta x$ to improve it, where $\delta x$ is small. Then $0=g\left(x_{a}+\delta x\right) \approx g\left(x_{a}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(x_{a}\right) \delta x \Rightarrow \delta x \approx-\frac{g\left(x_{a}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(x_{a}\right)}$, and (2.1) follows.
Of course, if $x_{0}$ is not close to a root, the method may not converge. Even if it converges, it may converge to a root that is far away from $x_{0}$, not necessarily the closest root. In this problem we investigate the behavior of Newton's method in the complex plane, for arbitrary starting points.
Consider iterations of the map in the complex plane generated by Newton's method for the roots of $z^{3}-1=0$. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+1}=f\left(z_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{3 z_{n}^{3}}\right) z_{n}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\left|z_{0}\right|<\infty$ is arbitrary. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}=1, \quad \zeta_{2}=e^{i 2 \pi / 3}=\frac{1}{2}(-1+i \sqrt{3}), \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta_{3}=e^{i 4 \pi / 3}=\frac{1}{2}(-1-i \sqrt{3}), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the roots of $z^{3}=1$.
Your tasks: Write a computer program to calculate the orbits $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then, for every 1 initial point $z_{0}$, draw a colored dot at the position of $z_{0}$, where the colors are picked as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta_{1}, \text { cyan. } \quad z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta_{2}, \text { magenta. } \quad z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta_{3}, \text { yellow. } \quad \text { No convergence, black. } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## What do you see? Do blow ups of the limit regions between zones.

Hint. Deciding that the sequence converges is easy: once $z_{n}$ gets "close enough" to one of the roots, then the very design of Newton's method guarantees convergence. Thus, given a $z_{0}$, compute $z_{N}$ for some large $N$, and check if $\left|z_{N}-\zeta_{j}\right|<\delta$ for one of the roots and some "small" tolerance $\delta$ - which does not have to be very small, in fact $\delta=0.25$ is good enough. You can get pretty good pictures with $N=50$ iterations on a $150 \times 150$ grid. A larger $N$ is needed when refining near the boundary between zones.
Hint. If you use MatLab, do not plot "points". Instead, plot "regions", where the color of each pixel is decided by $z_{0}$ - use the command image $(x, y, C)$ to plot. Why? Because using points leaves a lot of unpainted space in the figure, and gives much larger file sizes.

## 3 Coupled oscillators \#01

## Statement: Coupled oscillators \#01

In this problem we present an example of the process described in § 4.1, and consider the coupling of two oscillators with a stable, and strongly attracting, limit cycle each. The oscillators are very simple, with trivial

[^0]equations in polar coordinates. This simplifies the analysis enormously, but the principles illustrated here are valid for the coupling of more generic oscillators.
Consider the following equations for two coupled oscillators
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x_{j}} & =-\omega_{j} y_{j}+\lambda_{j}\left(R_{j}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}-y_{j}^{2}\right) x_{j}+F_{j}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
\dot{y_{j}} & =\omega_{j} x_{j}+\lambda_{j}\left(R_{j}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}-y_{j}^{2}\right) y_{j}+G_{j}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $j=1$ or $j=2$, and
(a) $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{j}}>\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{j}}>\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j}>\mathbf{0}$, are constants, with $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j} \gg \mathbf{1}$,
(b) $F_{j}$ and $G_{j}$ are some functions - these are the coupling terms.

Using the fact that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j} \gg 1$, write reduced equations for the two phases $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}$, defined by $x_{j}=r_{j} \cos \theta_{j}$ and $y_{j}=r_{j} \sin \theta_{j}$, where $r_{j}=\sqrt{x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}}$. In particular, consider the following cases

1. What form do the reduced equations take when the $F_{j}$ and $G_{j}$ are only functions of the variables $\eta=x_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}$ and $\xi=y_{1} x_{2}-x_{1} y_{2}$.
2. What form do the reduced equations take when $G_{1}=G_{2}=0, F_{1}=-\alpha \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} x_{2}$, and $F_{2}=$ $-\beta \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} x_{1}$ - where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants.

Hint. Write the equations in polar coordinates. $\underline{\underline{2}}$ Then consider what happens in a neighborhood of the limit cycles for the two oscillators when de-coupled - i.e.: $r_{j}$ not too far from $R_{j}$. In this context, argue $\underline{3}$ that the dependence on the radial variables can be made trivial.

## 4 Notes: coupled oscillators, phase locking, etc.

These are notes with facts useful for the problems. They are not a problem.

### 4.1 On phases and frequencies

Consider a system made by two coupled oscillators, where each of the oscillators (when not coupled) has a stable attracting limit cycle. Let the limit cycle solutions for the two oscillators be given by $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\mathbf{1}}=\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\omega_{\mathbf{1}} t\right)$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\mathbf{2}}=\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{t}\right)$, where $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are the vectors of variables for each of the two systems, the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ are periodic functions of period $2 \pi$, and the $\omega_{j}$ are constants (related to the limit cycle periods by $\omega_{j}=2 \pi / T_{j}$ ). In the un-coupled system, the two limit cycle orbits make up a stable attracting invariant torus for the evolution. Assume now that either the coupling is weak, or that the two limit cycles are strongly stable. Then the stable attracting invariant torus survives for the coupled system. $\frac{4}{}$ The solutions (on this torus) can be (approximately) represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}_{1} \approx \vec{F}_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \vec{x}_{2} \approx \vec{F}_{2}\left(\theta_{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $\theta_{1}=\theta_{1}(t)$ and $\theta_{2}=\theta_{2}(t)$ satisfy some equations, of the general form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta_{1}}=\omega_{1}+K_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{\theta_{2}}=\omega_{2}+K_{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are the "projections" of the coupling terms along the oscillator limit cycles. For example, take $K_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2}$ and $K_{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{1}$. Another example is the one in $\S 8.6$ of Strogatz' book (Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos), where a model system with

$$
K_{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=-\kappa_{1} \sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) \text { and } K_{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\kappa_{2} \sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)
$$

is introduced, with constants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}>0$. Note that:

1. In (4.2), $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ must be $2 \pi$-periodic functions of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$.
2. The phase space for (4.2) is the invariant torus $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { T }}$, on which $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are the angles. We can also think of $\mathcal{T}$ as a $2 \pi \times 2 \pi$ square with its opposite sides identified. On $\mathcal{T}$ a solution is periodic if and only if $\theta_{1}(t+T)=\theta_{1}(t)+2 n \pi$ and $\theta_{2}(t+T)=\theta_{2}(t)+2 m \pi$, where $T>0$ is the period, and both $n$ and $m$ are integers.
3. In the "Coupled oscillators \# 01" problem an example of the process leading to (4.2) is presented.
4. The $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ 's are the oscillator phases. One can also define oscillator frequencies, even when the $\theta_{j}$ 's do not have the form $\theta_{j}=\omega_{j} t$, with $\omega_{j}$ constant. The idea is that, near any time $t_{0}$ we can write $\theta_{j}=\theta_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)+\dot{\theta}_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\ldots$, identifying $\dot{\theta}_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)$ as the local frequency. Hence, we define the oscillator frequencies by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\boldsymbol{j}}=\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{j}$. These frequencies are, of course, generally not constants.
5. The notion of phases can survive even if the limit cycles cease to exist (i.e.: oscillator death). For example: if the equations for $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ have an attracting critical point. We will see examples where this happens in the problems, e.g.: "Bifurcations in the torus \# 01".

### 4.2 Phase locking and oscillator death

The coupling of two oscillators, each with a stable attracting limit cycle, can produce many behaviors. Two of particular interest are

1. Often, if the frequencies are close enough, the system phase locks. This means that a stable periodic solution arises, in which both oscillators run at some composite frequency, with their phase difference kept constant. The composite frequency need not be constant. In fact, it may periodically oscillate about a constant average value.
2. However, the coupling may also suppress the oscillations, with the resulting system having a stable steady state. This even if none of the component oscillators has a stable steady state. This is oscillator death. It can happen not only for coupled pairs of oscillators, but also for chains of oscillators with coupling to the nearest neighbors.

On the other hand, we note that it is also possible to produce an oscillating system, with a stable oscillation, by coupling non-oscillating systems (e.g., the coupling of excitable systems can do this).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numerically this means: choose a sufficiently fine grid in a rectangle, and pick every point in the grid. For example, select the square $-2<x<2$ and $-2<y<2$, where $z_{0}=x+i y$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Recall that $r_{j} \dot{r}_{j}=x_{j} \dot{x}_{j}+y_{j} \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{j} \quad$ and $\quad r_{j}^{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{j}=x_{j} \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{j}-y_{j} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{j}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Use arguments similar to the one introduced to describe relaxation oscillations, e.g.: for the van der Pol equation. Another example occurs when justifying that inertial terms can be neglected in the limit of a large viscosity.
    ${ }^{4}$ With a (slightly) changed shape and position.

