# Problem Set Number 10, 18.385j/2.036j MIT (Fall 2014)

Rodolfo R. Rosales (MIT, Math. Dept., Cambridge, MA 02139)

November 19, 2014

# Due Fri., December 05, 2014. 1 Problem 09.06.02 - Strogatz. Pecora and Carroll's approach

#### Statement for problem 09.06.02

Pecora and Carroll's approach for signal transmission/reception using the Lorenz system. In the pioneering work of Pecora and Carroll<sup>1</sup> one of the receiver variables is simply set *equal* to the corresponding transmitter variable. For instance, if x(t) is used as the transmitter drive signal, then the receiver equations are

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll}
x_r(t) &\equiv x(t), \\
\frac{dy_r}{dt} &= r x(t) - y_r - x(t) z_r, \\
\frac{dz_r}{dt} &= x(t) y_r - b z_r,
\end{array}\right\}$$
(1.1)

where the first equation is **not** a differential equation.<sup>2</sup> Their numerical simulations, and a heuristic argument, suggested that  $y_r(t) \to y(t)$  and  $z_r(t) \to z(t)$  as  $t \to \infty$ , even if there were differences in the initial conditions.

Here are the steps for simple proof of the result stated above, due to He and Vaidya.<sup>3</sup>

**A.** Show that the error dynamics are governed by:

$$e_x(t) \equiv 0,$$

$$\frac{de_y}{dt} = -e_y - x(t) e_z,$$

$$\frac{de_z}{dt} = x(t) e_y - b e_z,$$

$$(1.2)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pecora, L. M., and Carroll, T. L., Synchronization in chaotic systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. 64:821, (1990).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This equation replaces the first equation  $\dot{x_r} = \sigma (y_r - x_r)$  in a Lorenz system for  $(x_r, y_r, z_r)$ . Then x is used to replace  $x_r$  in the other two equations. The Lorenz system constants are  $\sigma$ , r, b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> He, R., and Vaidya, P. G., *Analysis and synthesis of synchronous periodic and chaotic systems*. Phys. Rev. A, **46:**7387 (1992).

where  $e_x = x - x_r$ ,  $e_y = y - y_r$ , and  $e_z = z - z_r$ .

- **B.** Show that  $V = (e_y)^2 + (e_z)^2$  is a Liapunov function.
- C. What do you conclude?

# 2 Hill equation problem #04 (with damping)

## Statement: Hill equation problem #04 (with damping)

Let  $S = S(\xi)$  be a periodic (of period  $2\pi$ ) function — i.e.:  $S(\xi + 2\pi) = S(\xi)$ . Consider now the damped Hill equation problem

$$\ddot{x} + 2\nu \dot{x} + \left(k^2 + a^2 \mathcal{S}(\omega t)\right) x = 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $\nu, k, a, \omega > 0$  are constants — note that the coefficients period is  $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}$ .

#### Problem tasks:

- **1.** Write the equations in the standard form  $\dot{X} = \mathcal{A}(\omega t) X$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a 2 × 2 matrix with period 2  $\pi$  and X is a two-vector.
- 2. Write the Floquet multipliers  $\lambda_j$  in terms of  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(R)$ , where R is the Floquet matrix. Hint.  $\Delta = \det(R)$  can be computed explicitly.
- **3.** Write the stability/instability condition in terms of  $\alpha$ .
- **4.** Find the function  $\alpha_0 = \lim_{a \to 0} \alpha$ . Then use it to identify the places, if any, where an instability may occur for  $0 < a \ll 1$ . That is, the values  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_*$  such that, for  $0 < a \ll 1$ , instabilities can arise for k near  $k_*$  only.

Hint. For a small instabilities only arise near k's where a Floquet multiplier satisfies  $|\lambda_j| = 1$  for a = 0.

- 5. Plot α<sub>0</sub> versus k/ω, with ν/ω fixed, in a graph that includes the neutral stability curves. Use the range 0 ≤ k/ω ≤ 5.1 and take ν/ω = 0.06, 0.20, 0.50. The neutral stability curves are lines in the α-k plane such that: a Floquet multiplier satisfies |λ<sub>j</sub>| = 1 when/where the graph of α intersects the curve. You should know these curves from item 3. Hint: when solving item 4 you should find that α<sub>0</sub> is a function of k/ω and ν/ω only, while the neutral stability boundary depends on ν/ω only.
- **6.** Plot  $\alpha_0$  versus  $k/\omega$ , with  $\nu$  a function of k, in a graph that includes the neutral stability curves. Use the range  $0 \le k/\omega \le 5.1$  and take  $\nu = 0.06 k, 0.12 k, 0.10 \frac{k^2}{\omega}$ .

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## THE END.

18.385J / 2.036J Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos  $\mathsf{Fall}\ \mathsf{2014}$ 

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.