18.404/6.840 Lecture 3

Last time:

- Nondeterminism
- NFA \rightarrow DFA
- Closure under $\,\circ\,$ and $\,\ast\,$
- Regular expressions \rightarrow finite automata

Today: (Sipser §1.4 – §2.1)

- Finite automata \rightarrow regular expressions
- Proving languages aren't regular
- Context free grammars

We start counting Check-ins today. Review your email from Canvas.

Homework due Thursday.

$DFAs \rightarrow Regular Expressions$

Recall Theorem: If R is a regular expression and A = L(R) then A is regular

Proof: Conversion $R \rightarrow NFA M \rightarrow DFA M'$



Recall: we did $(a \cup ab)^*$ as an example

Today's Theorem: If A is regular then A = L(R) for some regular expr R

Proof: Give conversion DFA $M \rightarrow R$

WAIT! Need new concept first.

Generalized NFA

Defn: A <u>Generalized Nondeterministic Finite Automaton</u> (GNFA) is similar to an NFA, but allows regular expressions as transition labels



For convenience we will assume:

- One accept state, separate from the start state
- One arrow from each state to each state, except
 - a) only exiting the start state
 - b) only entering the accept state

We can easily modify a GNFA to have this special form.

$GNFA \rightarrow Regular Expressions$

Lemma: Every GNFA *G* has an equivalent regular expression *R* **Proof:** By induction on the number of states *k* of *G*

<u>Basis</u> (k = 2):

 $G = \rightarrow \bigcirc \stackrel{r}{\longrightarrow} \oslash$ Remember: G is in special form

Let R = r

Induction step (k > 2): Assume Lemma true for k - 1 states and prove for k states IDEA: Convert k-state GNFA to equivalent (k - 1) -state GNFA



k-state GNFA \rightarrow (k-1)-state GNFA

Check-in 3.1

We just showed how to convert <u>GNFAs</u> to regular expressions but our goal was to show that how to convert <u>DFAs</u> to regular expressions. How do we finish our goal?

- (a) Show how to convert DFAs to GNFAs
- (b) Show how to convert GNFAs to DFAs
- (c) We are already done. DFAs are a type of GNFAs.

Thus DFAs and regular expressions are equivalent.

- Pick any state x except the start and accept states.
- 2. Remove *x*.
- Repair the damage by recovering all paths that went through *x*.
- 4. Make the indicated change for each pair of states q_i, q_j .

Check-in 3.1

Non-Regular Languages

How do we show a language is not regular?

- Remember, to show a language is regular, we give a DFA.
- To show a language is not regular, we must give a proof.
- It is not enough to say that you couldn't find a DFA for it, therefore the language isn't regular.

Two examples: Here $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$.

- 1. Let $B = \{w \mid w \text{ has equal numbers of 0s and 1s} \}$ Intuition: B is not regular because DFAs cannot count unboundedly.
- 2. Let $C = \{w | w \text{ has equal numbers of 01 and 10 substrings} \}$

Intuition: C is not regular because DFAs cannot count unboundedly. However C is regular!

Moral: You need to give a proof.

Method for Proving Non-regularity

Pumping Lemma: For every regular language A, there is a number p (the "pumping length") such that if $s \in A$ and $|s| \ge p$ then s = xyz where 1) $xy^i z \in A$ for all $i \ge 0$ $y^i = yy \cdots y$ 2) $\gamma \neq \epsilon$ 3) $|xy| \leq p$ Informally: A is regular \rightarrow every long s Check-in 3.2 **Proof:** Let DFA M recognize A. Let pThe Pumping Lemma depends on the fact that if *M* has *p* states and it runs for more than *p* steps $s = \frac{x}{q_j} \frac{y}{q_j} \frac{z}{q_j}$ then *M* will enter some state at least twice. We call that fact: M will repeat a state q_i when reading (a) The Pigeonhole Principle because *s* is so long. (b) Burnside's Counting Theorem is als (c) The Coronavirus Calculation Check-in 3.2 q_i

Example 1 of Proving Non-regularity

Pumping Lemma: For every regular language *A*, there is a *p* such that if $s \in A$ and $|s| \ge p$ then s = xyz where 1) $xy^iz \in A$ for all $i \ge 0$ $y^i = yy \cdots y$ 2) $y \ne \varepsilon$ 3) $|xy| \le p$

Let $D = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \ge 0\}$

Show: *D* is not regular

Proof by Contradiction:

Assume (to get a contradiction) that D is regular. The pumping lemma gives p as above. Let $s = 0^p 1^p \in D$. Pumping lemma says that can divide s = xyz satisfying the 3 conditions.

$$S = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c}000 \cdots 000111 \cdots 111\\\hline x & y & z\\ \bullet \leq p \end{array}}_{z}$$

But xyyz has excess 0s and thus $xyyz \notin D$ contradicting the pumping lemma. Therefore our assumption (*D* is regular) is false. We conclude that *D* is not regular.

Example 2 of Proving Non-regularity

Pumping Lemma: For every regular language *A*, there is a *p* such that if $s \in A$ and $|s| \ge p$ then s = xyz where 1) $xy^iz \in A$ for all $i \ge 0$ $y^i = yy \cdots y$ 2) $y \ne \varepsilon$ 3) $|xy| \le p$

Let
$$F = \{ww | w \in \Sigma^*\}$$
. Say $\Sigma^* = \{0, 1\}$.

Show: *F* is not regular

Proof by Contradiction:

Assume (for contradiction) that F is regular. The pumping lemma gives p as above. Need to choose $s \in F$. Which s? Try $s = 0^p 0^p \in F$. Try $s = 0^p 10^p 1 \in F$. Show cannot be pumped s = xyz satisfying the 3 conditions. $xyyz \notin F$ Contradiction! Therefore F is not regular.

$$S = \frac{000 \cdots 001000 \cdots 001}{\begin{array}{c} x & y & z \\ \bullet & \leq p \end{array}}$$

Example 3 of Proving Non-regularity

Variant: Combine closure properties with the Pumping Lemma.

Let $B = \{w | w \text{ has equal numbers of 0s and 1s} \}$

Show: *B* is not regular

Proof by Contradiction:

Assume (for contradiction) that B is regular.

We know that 0^*1^* is regular so $B \cap 0^*1^*$ is regular (closure under intersection).

But $D = B \cap 0^* 1^*$ and we already showed D is not regular. Contradiction!

Therefore our assumption is false, so *B* is not regular.



Rule: Variable → string of variables and terminals
Variables: Symbols appearing on left-hand side of rule
Terminals: Symbols appearing only on right-hand side
Start Variable: Top left symbol

Grammars generate strings

- 1. Write down start variable
- 2. Replace any variable according to a rule Repeat until only terminals remain
- 3. Result is the generated string
- 4. L(G) is the language of all generated strings.

Check-in 3.3	<i>G</i> ₂	$S \rightarrow RR$ $R \rightarrow 0R1$ $R \rightarrow \varepsilon$		
Check <u>all</u> of the strings that are in $L(G_2)$:				
(a) 001101				
(b) 000111				
(c) 1010				
(d) ε				
				Check-in 3.3

Quick review of today

- 1. Conversion of DFAs to regular expressions Summary: DFAs, NFAs, regular expressions are all equivalent
- 2. Proving languages not regular by using the pumping lemma and closure properties
- 3. Context Free Grammars

12

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

18.404J / 18.4041J / 6.840J Theory of Computation Fall 2020

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.