## FINAL EXAM SOLUTIONS

1. (a) False, hierarchy theorem. (b) True, Savitch's theorem.
(c) True , PSPACE is closed under complement.
(d) Open, stated in lecture. (e) True, follows from definition.
(f) Open, implies $\mathrm{NP}=\mathrm{coNP}$ (considering language $0 S A T \cup 1 \overline{S A T}$ ); negation implies PSPACE $\neq$ NP.
(g) Open, equivalent to NP = coNP. (h) Open, stated in lecture.
(i) False, implies PSPACE $=$ EXPSPACE .
(j) True, recompute bits of first reduction.
(k) Open, equivalent to NP $=$ coNP.
(1) True, $S A T$ is decidable.
(m) False, implies PSPACE $=$ NL.
(n) Open, equivalent to $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$.
(o) True, $P A T H \in \mathrm{P}$.
(p) True, NL = coNL.
2. First, show that $C$ is in EXPTIME. Here's the algorithm:
"On input $\langle M, w, i, j, \alpha\rangle$ :
3. Run $M$ on $w$ for $j$ steps. If it halts in fewer steps, reject.
4. Accept if the $i$ th symbol of the configuration of the $j$ th step is $\alpha$. Otherwise, reject."

To analyze the running time of this algorithm, observe that to simulate one step of $M$ we only need to update $M$ 's configuration and the counter which records how long $M$ has been running. Both can be done within $O(j)$ steps (actually much less is possible, but unnecessary here). We run $M$ for at most $j$ steps, so the total running time of this algorithm is $O\left(j^{2}\right)$, and that is exponential in the size of the input, because $j$ represented in binary, so $|j|=\log _{2} j$ and thus $j^{2}=\left(2^{|j|}\right)^{2}=2^{2|j|} \leq 2^{2 n}$, where $n$ is the length of the entire input.
Second, we show that $C$ is EXPTIME-hard, that is, that every language in EXPTIME is polynomial time reducible to $C$. Let $A \in$ EXPTIME where $M$ decides $A$ in time $2^{n^{k}}$. Modify $M$ so that when it accepts it first moves its head to the left-hand end of the tape and then enters the accept state $q_{\text {accept }}$. Then the reduction of $A$ to $C$ is the polynomial time computable function $f$, where $f(w)=\left\langle M, w, 1, j, q_{\text {accept }}\right\rangle$ and $j=2^{n^{k}}$.
3. First, SOLITAIRE $\in$ NP because we can check in polynomial time that a solution works.

Second, show that $3 S A T \leq_{\mathrm{P}}$ SOLITAIRE.
Given $\phi$ with $k$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ and $l$ clauses $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}$, first remove any clauses that contain both $x_{i}$ and $\overline{x_{i}}$. These clauses are useless anyway and would mess up the coming construction. Construct the following $l \times k$ game $G$.
If $x_{i}$ is in clause $c_{j}$ put a blue stone in row $c_{j}$, column $x_{i}$.
If $\overline{x_{i}}$ is in clause $c_{j}$ put a red stone in row $c_{j}$, column $x_{i}$.
(We can make it a square $m \times m$ by repeating a row or adding a blank column as necessary without affecting solvability).
Claim: $\phi$ is satisfiable iff $G$ has a solution.
$(\rightarrow)$ : Take a satisfying assignment. If $x_{i}$ is true (false), remove the red (blue) stones from the corresponding column. So, stones corresponding to true literals remain. Since every clause has a true literal, every row has a stone.
$(\leftarrow)$ : Take a game solution. If the red (blue) stones were removed from a column, set the corresponding variable true (false). Every row has a stone remaining, so every clause has a true literal. Therefore $\phi$ is satisfied.
4. Show that $A_{\mathrm{T} M} \leq_{\mathrm{m}} I N P$.

Assume (to get a contradiction) that TM $R$ decides $I N P$. Construct the following TM $S$ deciding $A_{\mathrm{TM}}$.
"On input $\langle M, w\rangle$ :

1. Construct the following $\mathrm{TM} M_{1}$ :
"On input $x$ :
2. If $x \in E Q_{\mathrm{REX} \uparrow}$, accept.
3. Run $M$ on $w$.
4. If $M$ accepts $w$, accept."
5. Run $R$ on $M_{1}$.
6. If $R$ accepts, accept; otherwise, reject."

Observe that if $M$ accepts $w$, then $L\left(M_{1}\right)=\Sigma^{*}$, and if $M$ doesn't accept $w$, then $L\left(M_{1}\right)=$ $E Q_{\mathrm{REX} \uparrow}$. So, $L\left(M_{1}\right) \in \mathrm{P}$ exactly when $M$ accepts $w$.
5. (a) Obviously $O D D-P A R I T Y \in \mathrm{~L}$ and we know $\mathrm{L} \subseteq \mathrm{NL}$. We proved that PATH is NPcomplete and so every language in NL is log-space reducible to PATH.
Note: Giving a direct reduction from ODD-PARITY to PATH is possible too.
(b) If PATH $\leq_{\mathrm{L}} O D D-P A R I T Y$ then $P A T H \in \mathrm{~L}$ and thus $\mathrm{NL}=\mathrm{L}$, solving a big open problem.
6. We can assume without loss of generality that our BPP machine makes exactly $n^{r}$ coin tosses on each branch. Thus the problem of determining the probability of accepting a string reduces to counting how many branches are accepting and comparing this number with $\frac{2}{3} 2^{\left(n^{r}\right)}$.
So given $w$, we generate all binary strings $x$ of length $n^{r}$ (we can do this in PSPACE) and simulate $M$ on $w$ using $x$ as the source of randomness. If $M$ accepts, then we increment a count. At the end, we see how many branches have accepted. If that number is more than $\frac{2}{3} 2^{\left(n^{r}\right)}$ we accept else we reject. This works because of the definition of what it means for a BPP machine to accept. If $w \in L$ then more than $\frac{2}{3}$ of $M$ 's branches must accept. If $w \notin L$ then at most $\frac{1}{3}$ of its branches can accept.
7. (a) No, the prover for $\# S A T$ is not a weak Prover, as far as we know. Calculating the cooeficients of the polynomials seems to require more than polynomial time.
(b) The class weak-IP $=$ BPP. Clearly, BPP $\subseteq$ weak-IP because the Verifier can simply ignore the Prover. Conversely, weak-IP $\subseteq$ BPP because we can make a BPP machine which simulates both the Verifier and the weak Prover $P$. If $w \in A$ then $P$ causes the Verifier to accept with high probability and so will the BPP machine. If $w \notin A$ then $P$ causes the Verifier to accept with low probability and so will the BPP machine.
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