18.404/6.840 Lecture 2

Last time: (Sipser §1.1)

- Finite automata, regular languages
- Regular operations U,o,*
- Regular expressions
- Closure under U

Today: (Sipser §1.2 – §1.3)

- Nondeterminism
- Closure under and *
- Regular expressions \rightarrow finite automata

Goal: Show finite automata equivalent to regular expressions

1

Problem Sets

- 35% of overall grade
- Problems are hard! Leave time to think about them.
- Writeups need to be clear and understandable, handwritten ok.
 Level of detail in proofs comparable to lecture: focus on main ideas.
 Don't need to include minor details.
- Submit via gradescope (see Canvas) by 2:30pm Cambridge time.
 Late submission accepted (on gradescope) until 11:59pm following day: 1 point (out of 10 points) per late problem penalty.
 After that solutions are posted so not accepted without S3 excuse.
- Optional problems:

Don't count towards grade except for A+. Value to you (besides the challenge): Recommendations, employment (future grading, TA, UROP)


- Problem Set 1 is due in one week.

Closure Properties for Regular Languages

Theorem: If A_1 , A_2 are regular languages, so is A_1A_2 (closure under \circ)

Recall proof attempt: Let $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ recognize A_1 $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ recognize A_2

Construct $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ recognizing A_1A_2




M should accept input wif w = xy where M_1 accepts x and M_2 accepts y.



Doesn't work: Where to split *w*?

Hold off. Need new concept.

Nondeterministic Finite Automata



New features of nondeterminism:

- multiple paths possible (0, 1 or many at each step)
- ε-transition is a "free" move without reading input
- Accept input if <u>some</u> path leads to **O** accept

Example inputs:

- ab
- aa
- aba
- abb


Check-in 2.1

What does N_1 do on input aab?

- (a) Accept
- (b) Reject
- (c) Both Accept and Reject

Check-in 2.1

NFA – Formal Definition



Defn: A <u>nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA)</u> *N* is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ $s_{e_{k_s}} s_{e_{k_s}} s_{e_{k_s$

- all same as before except δ - $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \to \mathcal{P}(Q) = \{R | R \subseteq Q\}$ power set $\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$

- In the N_1 example: $\delta(q_1, \mathsf{a}\,) = \{q_1, q_2\}$ $\delta(q_1, \mathsf{b}\,) = \emptyset$

Ways to think about nondeterminism:

Computational: Fork new parallel thread and accept if any thread leads to an accept state.

Mathematical: Tree with branches. Accept if any branch leads to an accept state.

Magical: Guess at each nondeterministic step which way to go. Machine always makes the right guess that leads to accepting, if possible.


Converting NFAs to DFAs

Theorem: If an NFA recognizes A then A is regular

Proof: Let NFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ recognize AConstruct DFA $M' = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q'_0, F')$ recognizing A

(Ignore the ε-transitions, can easily modify to handle them)

IDEA: DFA M' keeps track of the <u>subset of possible states</u> in NFA M.



Return to Closure Properties


Recall Theorem: If A_1, A_2 are regular languages, so is $A_1 \cup A_2$ (The class of regular languages is closed under union) **New Proof (sketch):** Given DFAs M_1 and M_2 recognizing A_1 and A_2 Construct NFA_M recognizing $A_1 \cup A_2$ М M_1 0 \bigcirc Nondeterminism €O parallelism \bigcirc VS 0 guessing M_2 0 ε \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc 0

7

Closure under • (concatenation)

Theorem: If A_1, A_2 are regular languages, so is A_1A_2

Proof sketch: Given DFAs M_1 and M_1 recognizing A_1 and A_2 Construct NFA M recognizing A_1A_2



M should accept input wif w = xy where M_1 accepts x and M_2 accepts y.




Nondeterministic M' has <u>the option</u> to jump to M_2 when M_1 accepts.

Closure under * (star)

Theorem: If A is a regular language, so is A^*

Proof sketch: Given DFA M recognizing AConstruct NFA M' recognizing A^*



Make sure M' accepts ϵ

Check-in 2.3


If M has n states, how many states does M' have by this construction? (a) n(b) n + 1(c) 2n

Check-in 2.3


Regular Expressions \rightarrow NFA

Theorem: If R is a regular expr and A = L(R) then A is regular

Proof: Convert R to equivalent NFA M:



If R is composite: $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ $R = R_1 \circ R_2$ $R = R_1^*$ Use closure constructions Example:



Quick review of today

- 1. Nondeterministic finite automata (NFA)
- 2. Proved: NFA and DFA are equivalent in power
- 3. Proved: Class of regular languages is closed under •,*
- 4. Conversion of regular expressions to NFA

```
Check-in 2.4

Recitations start tomorrow online (same link as for lectures).

They are optional, unless you need more help.

You may attend any recitation(s).

Which do you think you'll attend? (you may check several)

(a) 10:00 (b) 11:00 (c) 12:00

(d) 1:00 (e) 2:00 (f) I prefer a different time (please

post on piazza, but no promises)
```

Check-in 2.4

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

18.404J / 18.4041J / 6.840J Theory of Computation Fall 2020

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.