## 11.1 More on the Hattori-Stallings Dennis trace

Recall from Lemma 8.5 that the cocenter R/[R,R] = C(R) receives a universal trace map  $\tau(P,\varphi) \in C(R)$  where P is a finitely generated projective and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(P)$ . In fact, if R is Noetherian and of finite homological dimension, you can extend  $\tau$  to  $\tau(M,\varphi)$  where M is any finitely generated module. To do so, choose a finite projective resolution  $0 \to P^{-n} \to P^{-n+1} \to \cdots \to P^0 \to M \to 0$  (which exists because R has finite homological dimension). Then we can lift  $\varphi$  to  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \operatorname{End}(P_M^{\bullet})$  and this will be unique up to homotopy. Define

$$\tau(M,\varphi) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \tau(P^{-i}, \tilde{\varphi}^{-i})$$

which is well-defined because  $M \mapsto P_M^{\bullet}$  is a fully faithful functor to the homotopy category of complexes. Moreover,  $\tau$  is additive on short exact sequences of modules.

**Corollary 11.1:** If R is a finite-dimensional algebra of finite homological dimension over an algebraically closed field k, then  $J(R) \subset [R, R]$ .

Proof.

**Lemma 11.2:** For  $M \in R$ -Mod and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_R(M)$ , we can find a  $\varphi$ -invariant Jordan-Holder series of M.

*Proof.* Consider  $\varphi|_{Soc(M)}$ :  $Soc(M) \to Soc(M)$ , where  $Soc(M) = \bigoplus_i L_i^{d_i}$  is the socle of M. Then  $\varphi$  induces an R-linear map  $L_i^{d_i} \to L_i^{d_i}$  i.e. an element of  $End_R(L_i^{d_i}) = Mat_{d_i}(k)$  (use Schur's lemma) and this matrix has an eigenvector, which generates a  $\varphi$ -invariant irreducible submodule in M. Then by inducting on the length of M, we get a  $\varphi$ -invariant Jordan-Holder series.

Thus,  $\tau(M, \varphi) = \sum_i \tau(L_i, \lambda_i) = \sum_i \lambda_i \tau(L_i, 1)$  where  $\lambda_i \in k$ . It follows that the elements  $\tau(L_i, 1) \in C(R)$  generate C(R) as a vector space over k (use Lemma 8.5 or Example 8.6). We conclude that C(R) has dimension (over k) at most the number of irreducibles  $L_i$ . On the other hand, let  $\bar{R} := R/J(R)$  and note that  $C(R) \twoheadrightarrow C(\bar{R})$ . It's easy to see that  $C(\bar{R}) = k^{\#L_i}$ , so  $C(R) \cong C(\bar{R})$  and  $J(R) \subset [R, R]$ .

**Question**: Is there a way to prove this without using the trace map?

# 11.2 Minimal resolutions and Koszul rings

Given a module *M*, how can we find its minimal resolution? For certain algebras called Koszul algebras, their minimal resolutions are called Koszul complexes. One great reference is [5, Section 2].

Let *A* be a nonnegatively graded algebra over an algebraically closed field *k* with  $A_0$  semisimple. We will be interested in the case  $A_0 = k$  so we can write  $A = k \oplus A_{>0}$ .

**Remark 11.3:** An elementary property of minimal resolutions for graded modules is that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} M_i$ , then  $P^{-i}$  must be concentrated in degrees i and higher, since the projective cover  $P \twoheadrightarrow M$  is an isomorphism in the bottom degree (use that  $A_0 = k$  is semisimple).

We will need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 11.4:** Let M be a finitely generated graded module over A. Then the following properties are equivalent: (i) M is generated by degree i elements,

- (ii)  $M \otimes_A k$  is concentrated in degree i,
- (iii)  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, k)$  is concentrated in degree -i.

*Proof.* Lemma follows from the Nakayama lemma together with the fact that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, k) = \operatorname{Hom}_{A_{0}}(M/A_{>0}M, k) = (M/A_{>0}M)^{*}.$$

**Definition 11.5:** We say that A is **Koszul** if  $P^{-i}$  is generated by degree i elements. Equivalently,  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^A(k,k)$  (where each of the k are in degree 0) is concentrated in degree i, which is equivalent to  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^i(k,k)$  is concentrated in degree -i (use Lemma 11.2 above).

#### Theorem 11.6:

- a) Koszul rings are **quadratic**, i.e.  $A = T(V)/\langle I \rangle$ , where T(V) is the tensor algebra for a vector space V and I is a subspace of  $V \otimes V$ .
- b) If A is Koszul, then  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{\bullet}(k,k) = A^!$ , where  $A^!$  is the **dual quadratic algebra**  $T(V^*)/\langle I^{\perp}\rangle$ .

**Example 11.7:** Let A = T(V), so I = 0. Then the dual quadratic algebra is  $A^! = T(V^*)/\langle V^* \otimes V^* \rangle = k \oplus V^*$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Ext}_A(k,k)$  is only nonzero in degrees 0 and 1.  $k = T(V)/\langle V \rangle$  then has a free resolution in degrees 0 and 1.

**Example 11.8:** Let  $A = \text{Sym}(V) = T(V)/\langle \wedge^2 V \rangle$ . Then  $A^! = T(V^*)/\langle \text{Sym}^2(V^*) \rangle = \bigwedge^{\bullet} V^*$ .

**Definition 11.9:** The dth Veronese subalgebra  $A^{(d)}$  is  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{nd}$ .

Let us mention the following theorem without a proof (see [3] for details).

**Theorem 11.10:** If A is a finitely generated commutative algebra,  $A^{(d)}$  is Koszul for large d.

**Remark 11.11:** Using the approach of [6, Section 2] or [10] (see also Remark 12.2 below) one can easily prove (using Serre's vanishing theorem) that for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and large enough d (depending on m) the algebra  $A^{(d)}$  has the following property:  $P^{-i}$  is generated by degree i elements for  $i \leq m$ . The statement of Theorem 11.10 is stronger, and the proof is more involved.

### 11.3 Koszul complexes

**Remark 11.12:** Assume  $A = T(V)/\langle I \rangle$  is quadratic. Then

$$A_n = T^n(V)/\langle I \rangle_n = V^{\otimes n} / \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} V^{\otimes i} \otimes I \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-2} \right).$$

Define

$$R_n := \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-2} V^{\otimes i} \otimes I \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-2}$$

to be the intersection rather than the sum. Then  $R_n = (A_n^!)^*$ ,

$$R_n^* = V^{*\otimes n} / \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (V^*)^{\otimes i} \otimes I^{\perp} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes n-i-2} \right) = A_n^!.$$
 (1)

**Definition 11.13:** The **Koszul complex**, denoted  $\mathbb{K}^{\bullet}$ , is a complex of free A-modules  $\cdots \to A \otimes_k R_2 \to A \otimes_k R_1 \to A$ . As (graded) vector spaces,  $\mathbb{K}^{\bullet} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}_{n}^{\bullet}$ . The differential of  $\mathbb{K}_{n}^{\bullet}$  is given by:

$$\mathbb{K}_n^{i-n} = A_i \otimes R_{n-i} \hookrightarrow A_i \otimes V \otimes R_{n-i-1} \longrightarrow A_{i+1} \otimes R_{n-i-1} = \mathbb{K}_n^{i+1-n}$$

where the left map is induced by the natural embedding  $R_{n-i} \subset V \otimes R_{n-i-1}$  and the right map is induced by the multiplication  $A_i \otimes V \to A_{i+1}$ .

**Definition 11.14:** Let V be a vector space. A **distributive lattice** of subspaces of V is a collection of subspaces satisfying

- For Y in the lattice,  $X \subset Y$  is also in the lattice
- For X, Y in the lattice, X + Y is also in the lattice
- For X, Y, Z in the lattice,  $X \cap (Y + Z) = (X \cap Y) + (X \cap Z)$  (distributivity).

### Theorem 11.15 (Theorem 11.6 cont.):

- a) Koszul rings are **quadratic**, i.e.  $A = T(V)/\langle I \rangle$ , where T(V) is the tensor algebra for a vector space V and I is a subspace of  $V \otimes V$ .
- b) If A is Koszul, then  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{\bullet}(k,k) = A^!$ , where  $A^!$  is the **dual quadratic algebra**  $T(V^*)/\langle I^{\perp}\rangle$ .
- c) Say A is a quadratic algebra. It is Koszul iff  $\mathbb{K}$  is exact, i.e.  $H^i(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0$ , iff  $\mathbb{K}$  is the minimal resolution of the left module k.
- d) Say A is a quadratic algebra. It is Koszul iff for all n, the n-1 vector spaces  $V^{\otimes i} \otimes I \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-2}$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,n-2$ , generate a distributive lattice of subspaces of  $V^{\otimes n}$ .

**Lemma 11.16:** A collection of vector subspaces in a vector space W generate a distributive lattice iff there exists a basis of W such that every subspace is spanned by a subset of the basis.

Proof. Clear.

**Remark 11.17:** The distributive property for the subspaces of  $V^{\otimes n}$  described above is what implies the exactness of  $\mathbb{K}_n$ . Moreover, the exactness of  $\mathbb{K}_m$ ,  $m \leq n$ , implies the distributive property for the subspaces of  $V^{\otimes n}$ .

For a collection  $\mathcal{W} = (W; W_1, \dots, W_n)$ , where W is a vector space and  $W_1, \dots, W_n \subset W$  are its subspaces let  $K^{-l} = K^{-l}(\mathcal{W}) := \bigcap_{i=1}^{l-1} W_i / \left( (W_{l+1} + \dots + W_n) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{l-1} W_i \right) \right)$ , where  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$ .

For example, we have

$$K^{-n-1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} W_i, K^{-n} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} W_i, K^{-n+1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} W_i / \left( W_n \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=3}^{n} W_i \right) \right), \dots, K^{-1} = W / \sum_{i=2}^{n} W_i, K^0 = W / \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i.$$

We have the natural maps  $K^l \to K^{l+1}$  that make  $K^{\bullet} = K^{\bullet}(W)$  into a complex.

**Lemma 11.18:** If  $W_1, ..., W_n \subset W$  are proper subspaces and every proper subset of  $\{W_1, ..., W_n\}$  generate a distributive lattice then  $W_1, ..., W_n$  do the same iff  $K^{\bullet}(W)$  is exact.

*Proof.* It is clear that if  $\{W_1, \ldots, W_n\}$  generate a distributive lattice then  $K^{\bullet}(W)$  is exact (for example, use Lemma 11.16).

Assume now that  $K^{\bullet}(W)$  is exact. We prove the claim by the induction on n. We follow [4, Section 4.5]. We will use the following notations. Given a collection  $U_1, \ldots, U_n \subset U$ , say that a subspace  $B \subset U$  is a splitting for  $(U; U_1, \ldots, U_n)$  if there exists  $C \subset U$  such that  $B \oplus C = U$  and  $(B \cap U_i) + (C \cap U_i) = U_i$ . We will say that  $(U; U_1, \ldots, U_n)$  is indecomposable if U has no proper nonzero subspaces that split  $(U; U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ . The following easy facts will be extremely useful.

**Fact (1):** The subspace  $U_1 \cap ... \cap U_i$  or  $U_1 + ... + U_i$  is a splitting for  $(U; U_1, ..., U_n)$  iff it is a splitting for  $(U; U_{i+1}, ..., U_n)$ .

₽roof. Clear.

Fact (2): Assume that  $(U_1 + \ldots + U_i) \cap (U_{i+1} \cap \ldots \cap U_j) = 0$  and  $U_{i+1} \cap \ldots \cap U_j$  is a splitting for  $(U; U_1 + \ldots + U_i, U_{j+1}, \ldots, U_n)$ . Then  $U_{i+1} \cap \ldots \cap U_j$  is a splitting for  $(U; U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(U_{i+1} \cap \ldots \cap U_j) \oplus B$  be a splitting for  $(U; U_1 + \ldots + U_i, U_{j+1}, \ldots, U_n)$ . Our goal is to check that it also gives a splitting for  $(U; U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ . From  $(U_1 + \ldots + U_i) \cap (U_{i+1} \cap \ldots \cap U_j) = 0$  we conclude that  $U_1 + \ldots + U_i \subset B$  so  $U_1, \ldots, U_i \subset B$ . It remains to check that  $U_k = (U_k \cap (U_{i+1} \cap \ldots \cap U_j)) + (U_k \cap B)$  for  $k = i+1, \ldots, j$ . This is clear since  $U_{i+1} \cap \ldots \cap U_j \subset U_k$ . □

Fact (2'): Assume that  $(U_1 \cap \ldots \cap U_i) \cap (U_{i+1} + \ldots + U_j) = 0$  and  $U_1 \cap \ldots \cap U_i$  is a splitting for  $(U; U_{i+1} + \ldots + U_j, U_{j+1}, \ldots, U_n)$ . Then  $U_1 \cap \ldots \cap U_i$  is a splitting for  $(U; U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ .

**Proof.** Same proof as the one of Fact 2.

Let us now return to the proof. Without losing the generality, we can assume that  $W = (W; W_1, ..., W_n)$  is indecomposable and all  $W_i$  are nonzero (and proper).

It then follows (use that by the inductive assumption,  $W_1 \cap W_2, W_3, \dots, W_n \subset W$ ,  $W_1, \dots, W_{n-2}, W_{n-1} + W_n \subset W$  form distributive lattices and then apply Fact 1) that:

$$W_1 \cap W_2 = 0, W_{n-1} + W_n = W.$$
 (2)

We can assume that  $n \ge 4$  (for n = 3 the statement is clear, use exactness of  $K^{\bullet}(W)$ ). Assume that n = 4. We have  $W_1 \cap W_3 \cap W_4 = 0 = W_2 \cap W_3 \cap W_4$  (use Fact 1). We also have

$$(W_1 + W_2) \cap W_3 \cap W_4 = ((W_1 + W_2) \cap W_3) \cap ((W_1 + W_2) \cap W_4) = ((W_1 \cap W_3) + (W_2 \cap W_3)) \cap ((W_1 \cap W_4) + (W_2 \cap W_4)).$$

We claim that the intersection  $((W_1 \cap W_3) + (W_2 \cap W_3)) \cap ((W_1 \cap W_4) + (W_2 \cap W_4))$  is zero. Indeed, if a+b=c+d for some  $a \in W_1 \cap W_3$ ,  $b \in W_2 \cap W_3$ ,  $c \in W_1 \cap W_4$ ,  $d \in W_2 \cap W_4$  then a-c=d-b must lie in  $W_1 \cap W_2 = 0$  i.e.  $a=c \in W_1 \cap W_3 \cap W_4 = 0$ ,  $d=b \in W_2 \cap W_3 \cap W_4 = 0$  so a=b=c=d=0. We conclude that  $(W_1+W_2) \cap W_3 \cap W_4 = 0$ . It then follows from Fact 2 that  $W_3 \cap W_4$  splits  $(W;W_1,W_2,W_3,W_4)$  so we must have  $W_3 \cap W_4 = 0$  i.e.  $W=W_3 \oplus W_4$ . It remains to note that  $W=W_3 \oplus W_4$  is splitting for  $(W;W_1,W_2,W_3,W_4)$ , and a contradiction finishes the argument. If n>4. The propperty (2) implies that  $(W;W_1,\ldots,W_n)$  remains acyclic after arbitrary transpositions of  $W_1,\ldots,W_{n-2}$  (by acyclic, we mean that the corresponding complex  $K^{\bullet}$  is exact, it will be equal to zero in this case). So we may assume that for certain  $1 \leq i \leq n-3$  one has  $A=W_1\cap\ldots\cap W_i \neq 0$  and each i+1-tuple from  $W_1,\ldots,W_{n-2}$  intersects by zero. Put  $B=U_{i+1}+\ldots+U_{n-2}$ . Then  $(W;A;B;W_{n-1},W_n)$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 11.18 (acyclicity follows from the fact that  $A\cap B=0$  and  $W_{n-1}+W_n=W$ ) so (from n=4 case) we conclude that  $A;B;W_{n-1},W_n\subset W$  generate a distributive lattice so A is a splitting for  $(W;W_1,\ldots,W_n)$  by Fact 2'. Since  $A\neq 0$ , we get a contradiction.

*Proof (of Theorem 11.15).* If  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(k,k)$  is concentrated in degree 1, then  $A_{\geqslant 1}$  is generated by degree 1 elements as an A-module (use the exact sequence  $0 \to A_{\geqslant 1} \to A \to k \to 0$  together with Nakayama). Hence, A is generated by degree 1 elements as a ring. Let  $V = A_1$  and write A = T(V)/I. We have a map  $A \otimes V \to A$ . Using that  $\operatorname{Tor}_2(k,k)$  is concentrated in degree 2, we see that  $\ker(A \otimes V \to A)$  is generated by elements in  $A_1 \otimes V = V \otimes V$ . These elements considered as elements of  $V \otimes V \subset T(V)$  generated the ideal  $\ker(T(V) \to A)$ , so A is quadratic.

Exactness of Koszul complex implies Koszul: If  $\mathbb{K}_n$  is exact for  $n \ge 1$ , then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a free resolution of k as an A-module. So now we can use it to compute  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{\bullet}(k,k)$ . Since  $R_n^* \stackrel{0}{\to} R_{n-1}^*$  and  $R_n^* = A_n^!$ ,  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^n(k,k) = A_n^!$ . You also have to check that this is compatible with multiplication, but after showing that, we can deduce that A is Koszul. To be continued next lecture.

MIT OpenCourseWare <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu">https://ocw.mit.edu</a>

18.706 Noncommutative Algebra Spring 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu/terms">https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</a>.