

Lecture 12: Koszul Rings Continued, Bar Complex

12 March 21 - Koszul rings cont., bar complex

12.1 Finishing up Koszul rings

Proof (of Theorem 11.15, cont.) Subspaces $V^{\otimes i} \otimes I \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-2} \subset V^{\otimes n}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ generate a distributive lattice iff \mathbb{K}_n^\bullet is exact: to see that it is enough to note that $\mathbb{K}_n^\bullet = K^\bullet(\mathcal{W})$ for

$$\mathcal{W} = (V^{\otimes n}; V^{\otimes n-2} \otimes I, V^{\otimes n-3} \otimes I \otimes V, \dots, V^{\otimes n-i-1} \otimes I \otimes V^{\otimes i-1}, \dots, I \otimes V^{\otimes n-2}).$$

Now the claim follows from Lemma 11.18 (using induction on n).

It's easy to see that \mathbb{K}_n acyclic implies that \mathbb{K} is a resolution for the trivial module, and $\text{Tor}_i^A(k, k)$ is concentrated in degree i , so A is Koszul. In the other direction, suppose A is Koszul. We will inductively check acyclicity in the first d terms of the complex, which looks like $\cdots \rightarrow A \otimes I \rightarrow A \otimes V \rightarrow A$. If this complex is exact up to degree d , then the minimal space of generators for $\ker(A \otimes R_d \rightarrow A \otimes R_{d-1})$ is (some lift of) $\text{Tor}_{d+1}^A(k, k)$. Because A is Koszul, this is in degree $d+1$, so it's a subspace in $A_1 \otimes R_d = V \otimes R_d$. It is the kernel of the multiplication map, so it must be R_{d+1} , so we're done. \square

Remark 12.1: In commutative algebra, a “Koszul complex” often refers to a complex formed given a commutative ring R and n elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$. The last arrow in the complex is $R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow R$, sending $r_1, \dots, r_n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i r_i$. The Koszul complex for $\text{Sym}(V)$ is an example of this.

Remark 12.2: We are now ready to give a sketch of the proof of the fact that for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and large enough d , the algebra $A^{(d)}$ has the following property: P^{-i} is generated by degree i elements for $i \leq m$ (see Remark 11.11 above). So, our goal is to check that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the degree n th term of the Koszul complex for $A^{(d)}$ is exact for large enough d .

First of all, we can assume that A is generated by $A_1 = V$. Set $X := \text{Proj } A$. We can assume that the natural morphism $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is a closed embedding. We have a natural (very ample) line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ on X with $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = A_1 = V$. Set $Y := X^n$, $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X(1)^{\otimes n}$. For a closed $Z \subset Y$ we have $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}) = V^{\otimes n}$ and denote by $Q_Z \subset V^{\otimes n}$ the kernel of $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})$. Let $\Delta_i \subset X^n$ be the diagonal given by $x_i = x_{i+1}$. We have $Q_{\Delta_{i+1}} = V^{\otimes i} \otimes I \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-2}$.

Let S^n be the (finite) set of closed subschemes of Y generated by $\{\Delta_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and X^n, \emptyset via unions and (scheme-theoretic) intersections. Using Serre's vanishing theorem, we can assume that the statements of [6, Corollary 1.7] are satisfied for S^n . It then follows from [6, Lemma 2.1] that subspaces $V^{\otimes i} \otimes I \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-2}$ generate a distributive lattice of subspaces of $V^{\otimes n}$ so we are done by (the proof of) Theorem 11.15 (d).

Corollary 12.3: *The Poincare series of a graded algebra is*

$$P_A(t) = \sum d_n t^n, d_n = \dim A_n.$$

If A is Koszul, then $P_A(t)P_{A^1}(-t) = 1$.

Proof. This follows from the (graded) Euler characteristic of \mathbb{K} . If you look degree by degree, you can find that the Euler characteristic of \mathbb{K}_n is the n th coefficient of $P_A(t)P_{A^1}(-t)$ (see (1)) so the total Euler characteristic of \mathbb{K} is equal to $P_A(t)P_{A^1}(-t)$. Recall now that the Euler characteristic of \mathbb{K}_n can also be computed as the alternating sum of dimensions of the cohomology of \mathbb{K}_n . It remains to note that \mathbb{K}_n is exact for $n > 0$ and $\mathbb{K}_0 = k$ (sitting in degree 0). It follows that the total graded Euler characteristic of \mathbb{K} is equal to 1. \square

Example 12.4: Let $A = \bigwedge^n V$. Then $P_A(t) = (1+t)^n$. Likewise, $P_{\text{Sym}(V)} = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n}$.

Proof (of Theorem 11.15, cont. again). Finally, we need to check that $A^1 \simeq \text{Ext}_A^{\bullet}(k, k)$ is an algebra isomorphism. First, we explain how to make Ext^{\bullet} into an algebra: $\text{Ext}_A^{\bullet}(k, k) = H^*(\underline{\text{Hom}}(P^{\bullet}, P^{\bullet}))$ for a projective resolution P^{\bullet} ; $\underline{\text{Hom}}$ is a DGA.

Here is how A^1 acts on \mathbb{K} : start with the action of $T(V^*)$ on $T(V)$ by contracting tensors $V^{*\otimes i} \times V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n-i}$. Restrict this to $V^{*\otimes i} \times R_n \rightarrow R_{n-i}$, which factors through $A_i^1 \times R_n$. Recall that $\mathbb{K}^{-n} = A \otimes R_n$. Consider the map

$$(A \otimes R_n) \otimes A_i^1 \rightarrow A \otimes R_{n-i} = \mathbb{K}^{-(n-i)}.$$

This is the A^1 -action, and it commutes with the differential. Moreover, for $a \in A^1$, the composition $\mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{K} \rightarrow k$ represents the class of a . Hence, this is an algebra isomorphism. \square

Remark 12.5: Let Proj_A be the projective graded A -modules. Then $A^!$ gives us an equivalence of derived categories

$$\mathcal{H}(\text{Proj}_A^{f.g.}) \simeq \mathcal{H}(\text{Proj}_{A^!}^{f.g.})$$

sending $M(1) \mapsto M[1](-1)$ where $M(1)_i = M_{i+1}$ and $[\cdot]$ is some homological stuff we won't discuss here. The idea is to use k as a generator for the derived category and consider the functor $F_k: M \rightarrow \text{RHom}(k, M)$ which generalizes $F_P(M) = \text{Hom}(P, M)$.

Remark 12.6: Let A_1, A_2 quadratic, $A_i = T(V_i)/I_i$. Then

$$A_1 \otimes A_2 = T(V_1 \oplus V_2)/I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \langle v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_2 \otimes v_1 \rangle$$

and

$$(A_1 \otimes A_2)^! = T(V_1^* \oplus V_2^*)/I_1^\perp \oplus I_2^\perp + \langle v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1 \rangle,$$

the “super” (signed) tensor product.

Remark 12.7: If A is commutative and $I \supset \wedge^2(V)$, then $I^\perp \subset S^2V^*$. Then all the relations of $A^!$ will be relations between anticommutators and $A^!$ will be the enveloping algebra of a Lie superalgebra.

For more on Koszul rings, see [4] and [5].

12.2 Bar complex and Hochschild (co)homology

Definition 12.8: Let A be any algebra over a field k . Then the **bar complex** of A is

$$\cdots \rightarrow A \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where the last map is $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ and in general

$$d: a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mapsto a_0 a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n - a_0 \otimes a_1 a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n + \cdots.$$

The RHS is also written as $a_0 | a_1 | \cdots | a_n$. Then $d^2 = 0$.

Lemma 12.9: The bar complex is exact for any associative algebra.

Proof. The map $h: a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mapsto 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ satisfies $dh + hd = \text{id}$, so it is a chain homotopy. \square

The bar complex is also a complex of A -bimodules. The left action is on a_0 , and the right action is on a_n . A is the regular A -bimodule (i.e., $A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}$ -module), and all the other terms are free, so the bar complex is a free resolution for A . This allows us to compute $\text{Ext}_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}^i(A, A)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(A, A)$.

The bar complex also gives us a free resolution of every A -module by tensoring with M . The cohomology of the bar complex is $\text{Tor}_i^A(A, M) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Definition 12.10: The **Hochschild homology** of A is the homology of the bar resolution. The **Hochschild cohomology** of A is the cohomology of $\text{Hom}(\text{Bar}, A)$, so the n th term is $A \otimes (A^{\otimes n-1})^*$. If A is graded, you can likewise define graded Hochschild cohomology.

Remark 12.11: If A is augmented, you can use the reduced bar complex; let A_+ be the augmentation ideal, the reduced bar complex has terms $A \otimes_k A_+ \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k A_+ \otimes_k A$. This allows you to compute $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, k)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^A(k, k)$, and indeed $A^!$ is in the bottom degree.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.706 Noncommutative Algebra
Spring 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.