

# Lecture 15: Separable Splitting Fields and Cross-Product Algebras

---

15 April 6 - Separable splitting fields and cross-product algebras

## 15.1 Separable splitting fields

**Theorem 15.1:** *For a finite Galois extension  $E/F$ , we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Br}(E/F) = H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(E/F), E^\times).$$

To use this theorem, we want to say that every element splits over a finite Galois extension. In characteristic 0, every finite extension is contained in a finite Galois extension and we proved that every element splits over a finite extension. In general, a field extension is contained in a Galois extension iff it is separable.

**Proposition 15.2:** *Every element in  $\mathrm{Br}(F)$  splits over a finite separable extension (and hence over a finite Galois extension).*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a skew field with center  $F$  (so a central simple algebra over  $F$ ). It's enough to show that there exists a commutative subfield  $E \subset D$  such that  $E \supseteq F$  and  $E/F$  is separable; then we can consider instead the centralizer  $D' = Z_D(E)$ ; since  $[Z_D(E)] = [E \otimes_F D]$ , we are done by induction on  $\dim_F D$ , use Lemma 14.12.

Suppose such an  $E$  does not exist. Then, by field extension theory, for every  $x \in D$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $x^{p^n} \in F$ .

**Lemma 15.3:** *Let  $A$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. For  $x \in A$ , we have  $\text{ad}(x)^p = \text{ad}(x^p)$ , where  $\text{ad}(x)(y) = y \mapsto xy - yx$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a, b$  are commuting elements in an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, then  $(a - b)^p = a^p - b^p$ . Applying this to  $a = L_x$  and  $b = R_x$ , where  $L_x$  is left multiplication by  $x$  and  $R_x$  is right multiplication by  $x$ , we see that  $(a - b)^p = \text{ad}(x)^p$  while  $a^p - b^p = \text{ad}(x^p)$ .  $\square$

Now we have two ways to finish the argument.

The first uses Engel's Theorem (see 18.745): if  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$  is a subalgebra consisting of nilpotent matrices, then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent. Equivalently, it is contained in the algebra of strictly upper triangular matrices in some basis. The lemma implies that  $\text{ad}(x)$  is nilpotent for all  $x$ . Hence, the image of  $D$  in the Lie algebra  $\text{End}_F(D)$  (via the map  $x \mapsto \text{ad}(x)$ ) is nilpotent by Engel's Theorem. This contradicts that  $D \otimes_F E \cong \text{Mat}_n(E)$  for some  $E$ .

The second uses Jordan normal form. Pick  $x \in D$  such that  $x \notin F$  but  $x^p \in F$ . Let  $E = F(x)$ . Then  $[E : F] = p$  and  $\dim_F(Z_D(E)) = \frac{d^2}{p}$ . By the lemma,  $\text{ad}(x)^p = 0$  where  $\text{ad}(x) : D \rightarrow D$ , and

$$\dim_F(\ker(\text{ad}(x))) = \dim_F(Z_D(E)) = \frac{\dim_F(D)}{p}.$$

Therefore, the Jordan normal form of  $\text{ad}(x)$  must have  $d^2/p$  equal Jordan blocks of size  $p > 1$ . In particular,  $\ker(\text{ad}(x)) \subset \text{im}(\text{ad}(x))$ . So if  $x \in \ker(\text{ad}(x))$ , there exists  $y$  such that  $[x, y] = x$ . Then  $\text{ad}(-y)$  fixes  $x$ , so  $\text{ad}(-y)$  cannot be nilpotent, contradiction.  $\square$

## 15.2 Group cohomology

Let  $G$  be a group. Recall that a  $G$ -module is the same as a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, and for such a  $G$ -module  $M$ , we define

$$H^i(M) := \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^i(\mathbb{Z}, M)$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. In other words,  $H^i$  is the  $i$ th derived functor of the functor of  $G$ -invariants. To compute this, you can also use the bar resolution, which is a resolution for any flat algebra over a commutative ring, in particular  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ . This results in a complex where  $C^n$  consists of maps  $f : G^n \rightarrow M$  and the differential is

$$df(g_0, \dots, g_n) = g_0 f(g_1, \dots, g_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i f(\dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots) + (-1)^n f(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}).$$

**Example 15.4:** In particular, a 1-cocycle is a map  $c : G \rightarrow M$  such that  $gc(h) - c(gh) + c(g) = 0$ ; these are called "cross homomorphisms" and you can produce them from an  $M$ -torsor  $T$  over  $G$  and a choice of point  $x_0 \in T$ . The correspondence takes a cocycle  $c$  to the  $G$ -module structure on  $M$  where  $g.m = m + c(g)$  ( $T = M$  and  $x_0 = 0$ ). Given a torsor  $T$  and a point  $x_0 \in T$ , for each  $g \in G$  we set  $c(g)$  to be the element in  $M$  such that  $g(x_0) = x_0 + c(g)$ . Varying the choice of a point results in adding a coboundary to the cocycle. We end up with a bijection between  $H^1(G, M)$  and isomorphism classes of  $M$ -torsors over  $G$ . There is also a bijection between  $H^1(G, M)$  and extensions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $M$  because of its definition as  $\text{Ext}^1$ .

**Remark 15.5:** Moreover, the definition of  $H^1(G, M)$  generalizes to the case when  $M$  is a nonabelian group equipped with a  $G$ -action, and in this case we view  $M$  as acting on itself on the right, while  $G$  acts on the left. This does not hold for higher cohomology.

**Example 15.6:** A 2-cocycle is a map  $c: G^2 \rightarrow M$  such that  $gc(h, k) - c(gh, k) + c(g, hk) - c(g, h) = 0$ .

**Definition 15.7:** A **cross-product extension of  $G$  by  $M$**  is a group  $\tilde{G}$  with a normal subgroup identified with  $M$  and an isomorphism  $\tilde{G}/M \cong G$  (i.e. an extension of  $G$  by  $M$ ) such that the conjugation action of  $\tilde{G}$  on  $M$ , which automatically factors through  $G$ , coincides with the given action of  $G$  on  $M$  (the cross-product).

2-cocycles are in bijection with cross-product extensions of  $G$  by  $M$  together with a splitting of the surjection of sets  $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ . Choosing a different splitting modifies the cocycle by a coboundary. Hence, there is a bijection between  $H^2(G, M)$  and cross-product extensions of  $G$  by  $M$  up to isomorphism.

### 15.3 Cross-product algebras

Recall that given a group  $G$  acting on a ring  $R$ , we can form the smash product

$$G\#R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g, x_g y_h = (xg(y))_{gh}.$$

Given a cocycle  $c \in H^2(G, R^\times)$ , one can define a twisted version of this called the **cross-product algebra**,

$$G\#_c R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g, x_g y_h = (xg(y)c(g, h))_{gh}.$$

Up to isomorphism, the cross-product algebra depends only on the class of  $c$  in  $H^2(G, R^\times)$ .

This can also be described in terms of the cross-product group  $\tilde{G}$  as

$$\tilde{G}\#R/(\lambda - [\lambda]), \lambda \in R^\times, [\lambda] \in \tilde{G} \text{ is the corresponding element.}$$

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.706 Noncommutative Algebra  
Spring 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.