(1) Show that the converse to Schur Lemma is false by constructing a three dimensional algebra $A$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and a two dimensional module $M$ over $A$, such that $M$ is reducible but $\text{End}_A(M) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Can a module whose endomorphisms form a division ring be decomposable?

(2) Let $R$ be the subring in $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $R = \{(a_{ij}) \mid a_{21} = 0, a_{22} \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Show that $R$ is left Artinian and Noetherian but it is neither right Artinian nor right Noetherian.

Deduce that $R$ is not isomorphic to $R^{\text{op}}$.

(3) This problem illustrates that a finite dimensional algebra may have indecomposable modules of an arbitrarily large dimension.

Let $k$ be a field and $I \subset k[x, y]$ be the ideal generated by $x, y$. Let $A = k[x, y]/I^2$. Show that $M_n = I^n/I^{n+2}$ is an indecomposable $A$-module.

Construct an example of an infinite dimensional indecomposable $A$-module.

(4) Describe the socle and the co-socle filtration of the free rank one module for the following rings.

(a) $R = \mathbb{Z}/72$.

(b) $R = k[D_4]$, where $k$ is a field of characteristic two, and $D_4$ denotes the dihedral group of order 8 (the group of symmetries of the square).

(5) Let $Q$ be a quiver, i.e. a finite oriented graph. Let $A(Q)$ be the path algebra of $Q$ over a field $k$, i.e. the algebra whose basis is formed by paths in $Q$ (compatible with orientations, and including paths of length 0 from a vertex to itself), and multiplication is concatenation of paths (if the paths cannot be concatenated, the product is zero).

(a) Represent the algebra of upper triangular matrices as $A(Q)$.

(b) Show that $A(Q)$ is finite dimensional iff $Q$ is acyclic, i.e. has no oriented cycles.

(c) For any acyclic $Q$, decompose $A(Q)$ (as a left module) in a direct sum of indecomposable modules, and classify the simple $A(Q)$-modules.

(6) This problem provides examples showing that the conclusion of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem does not hold without the finiteness assumption on the module.

(a) Let $R$ be a Dedekind domain\(^1\) which is not a principal ideal domain (e.g. $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ or $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3 - 1)$). Show that the conclusion of Krull-Schmidt Theorem does not hold for finitely generated projective $R$ modules.

\(^1\)Recall that this means that $R$ is a Noetherian (commutative) domain where every ideal is a product of prime ideals. Rings of integers in number fields provide important examples of Dedekind domains. Another class of examples comes from coordinates rings of smooth affine algebraic curves over a field.
[Hint: you can use without proof that $I \oplus J \cong R \oplus IJ$ for nonzero ideals $I, J \subset R$ and that isomorphism classes of nonzero ideals in $R$ form a group under the operation $I \cdot J = IJ$.]

(b) Let $A$ be the algebra of smooth real functions on the real line, such that $a(x + 1) = a(x)$. Let $M$ be the $A$-module of smooth functions on the line such that $b(x + 1) = -b(x)$.

Show that $M$ is indecomposable and not isomorphic to $A$, and that $M \oplus M \cong A \oplus A$ as a left $A$-module. Thus the conclusion of Krull-Schmidt theorem does not hold in this case.
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