## 5. Tensor fields

5.1. A crash course on vector bundles. Let $X$ be a real manifold. A vector bundle on $X$ is, informally speaking, a (locally trivial) fiber bundle on $X$ whose fibers are finite dimensional vector spaces. In other words, it is a family of vector spaces parametrized by $x \in X$ and varying regularly with $x$. More precisely, we have the following definition.

Let $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.
Definition 5.1. A $\mathbb{K}$-vector bundle of rank $n$ on $X$ is a manifold $E$ with a surjective regular map $p: E \rightarrow X$ and a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space structure on each fiber $p^{-1}(x)$ such that every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $U$ admitting a diffeomorphism $h: U \times \mathbb{K}^{n} \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$ with the following properties:
(i) $\left(p \circ g_{U}\right)(u, v)=u$, and
(ii) the map $g_{U}: p^{-1}(u) \rightarrow u \times \mathbb{K}^{n}$ is $\mathbb{K}$-linear.

In other words, locally on $X, E$ is isomorphic to $X \times \mathbb{K}^{n}$, but not necessarily globally so.

As for ordinary fiber bundles, $E$ is called the total space and $X$ the base of the bundle.

Note that even if $X$ is a complex manifold and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}, E$ need not be a complex manifold.

Definition 5.2. A complex vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ on a complex manifold $X$ is said to be holomorphic if $E$ is a complex manifold and the diffeomorphisms $g_{U}$ can be chosen holomorphic.

From now on, unless specified otherwise, all complex vector bundles on complex manifolds we consider will be holomorphic.

It follows from the definition that if $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle then $X$ has an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ such that $E$ trivializes on each $U_{\alpha}$, i.e., there is a diffeomorphism $g_{\alpha}: p^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{K}^{n}$ as above. In this case we have clutching functions

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})
$$

(holomorphic if $E$ is a holomorphic bundle), defined by the formula

$$
\left(g_{\alpha} \circ g_{\beta}^{-1}\right)(x, v)=\left(x, h_{\alpha \beta}(x) v\right)
$$

which satisfy the consistency conditions

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}(x)=h_{\beta \alpha}(x)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}(x) \circ h_{\beta \gamma}(x)=h_{\alpha \gamma}(x)
$$

for $x \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}$. Moreover, the bundle can be reconstructed from this data, starting from the disjoint union $\sqcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{K}^{n}$ and identifying (gluing) points according to

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}:(x, v) \in U_{\beta} \times \mathbb{K}^{n} \sim\left(x, h_{\alpha \beta}(x) v\right) \in U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{K}^{n}
$$

The consistency conditions ensure that the relation $\sim$ is symmetric and transitive, so it is an equivalence relation, and we define $E$ to be the space of equivalence classes with the quotient topology. Then $E$ has a natural structure of a vector bundle on $X$.

This can also be used for constructing vector bundles. Namely, the above construction defines a $\mathbb{K}$-vector bundle on $X$ once we are given a cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ on $X$ and a collection of clutching functions

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})
$$

satisfying the consistency conditions.
Remark 5.3. All this works more generally for non-linear fiber bundles if we drop the linearity conditions along fibers.

Example 5.4. 1. The trivial bundle $p: E=X \times \mathbb{K}^{n} \rightarrow X, p(x, v)=$ $x$.
2. The tangent bundle is the vector bundle $p: T X \rightarrow X$ constructed as follows. For the open cover we take an atlas of charts $\left(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}\right)$ with transition maps

$$
\theta_{\alpha \beta}=\phi_{\alpha} \circ \phi_{\beta}^{-1}: \phi_{\beta}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow \phi_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right),
$$

and we set

$$
h_{\alpha \beta}(x):=d_{\phi_{\beta}(x)} \theta_{\alpha \beta} .
$$

(Check that these maps satisfy consistency conditions!)
Thus the tangent bundle $T X$ is a vector bundle of rank $\operatorname{dim} X$ whose fiber $p^{-1}(x)$ is naturally the tangent space $T_{x} X$ (indeed, the tangent vectors transform under coordinate changes exactly by multiplication by $h_{\alpha \beta}(x)$ ). In other words, it formalizes the idea of "the tangent space $T_{x} X$ varying smoothly with $x \in X^{\prime \prime}$.

Definition 5.5. A section of a map $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a map $s: X \rightarrow E$ such that $p \circ s=\mathrm{Id}_{x}$.

Example 5.6. If $p: X \times Y=E \rightarrow X, p(x, y)=x$ is the trivial bundle then a section $s: X \rightarrow E$ is given by $s(x)=(x, f(x))$ where $y=f(x)$ is a function $X \rightarrow Y$, and the image of $s$ is the graph of $f$. So the notion of a section is a generalization of the notion of a function.

In particular, we may consider sections of a vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ over an open set $U \subset X$. These sections form a vector space denoted $\Gamma(U, E)$.

Exercise 5.7. Show that a vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ is trivial (i.e., globally isomorphic to $X \times \mathbb{K}^{n}$ ) if and only if it admits sections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ which form a basis in every fiber $p^{-1}(x)$.

### 5.2. Vector fields.

Definition 5.8. A vector field on $X$ is a section of the tangent bundle $T X$.

Thus in local coordinates a vector field looks like

$$
\mathbf{v}=\sum_{i} v_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

$v_{i}=v_{i}(\mathbf{x})$, and if $x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}^{\prime}$ is a change of local coordinates then the expression for $\mathbf{v}$ in the new coordinates is

$$
\mathbf{v}=\sum_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}^{\prime}}
$$

where

$$
v_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} \frac{\partial x_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}} v_{j}
$$

i.e., the clutching function is the Jacobi matrix of the change of variable. Thus, every vector field $\mathbf{v}$ on $X$ defines a derivation of the algebra $O(U)$ for every open set $U \subset X$ compatible with restriction maps $O(U) \rightarrow O(V)$ for $V \subset U$; in particular, a derivation $O_{x} \rightarrow O_{x}$ for all $x \in X$. Conversely, it is easy to see that such a collection of derivations gives rise to a vector field, so this is really the same thing.

A manifold $X$ is called parallelizable if its tangent bundle is trivial. By Exercise 5.7, this is equivalent to having a collection of vector fields $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{n}$ which form a basis in every tangent space (such a collection is called a frame). For example, the circle $S^{1}$ and hence the torus $S^{1} \times S^{1}$ are parallelizable. On the other hand, the sphere $S^{2}$ is not parallelizable, since it does not even have a single nowhere vanishing vector field (the Hairy Ball theorem, or Hedgehog theorem). The same is true for any even-dimensional sphere $S^{2 m}, m \geq 1$.
5.3. Tensor fields, differential forms. Since vector bundles are basically just smooth families of vector spaces varying over some base manifold $X$, we can do with them the same things we can do with vector spaces - duals, tensor products, symmetric and exterior powers,
etc. E.g., the cotangent bundle $T^{*} X$ is dual to the tangent bundle TX.

More generally, we make the following definition.
Definition 5.9. A tensor field of $\operatorname{rank}(k, m)$ on a manifold $X$ is a section of the tensor product $(T X)^{\otimes k} \otimes\left(T^{*} X\right)^{\otimes m}$.

For example, a tensor field of rank $(1,0)$ is a vector field. Also, a skew-symmetric tensor field of rank $(0, m)$ is called a differential $m$ form on $X$. In other words, a differential $m$-form is a section of the vector bundle $\Lambda^{m} T^{*} X$.

For instance, if $f \in O(X)$ then we have a differential 1-form $d f$ on $X$, called the differential of $f$ (indeed, recall that $d_{x} f: T_{x} X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ). A general 1-form can therefore be written in local coordinates as

$$
\omega=\sum_{i} a_{i} d x_{i} .
$$

where $a_{i}=a_{i}(\mathbf{x})$. If coordinates are changed as $x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}^{\prime}$, then in new coordinates

$$
\omega=\sum_{i} a_{i}^{\prime} d x_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where

$$
a_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} \frac{\partial x_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{\prime}} a_{j} .
$$

Thus the clutching function is the inverse of the Jacobi matrix of the change of variable. For instance,

$$
d f=\sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} d x_{i} .
$$

More generally, a differential $m$-form in local coordinates looks like

$$
\omega=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m} \leq n} a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}(x) d x_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{i_{m}} .
$$

5.4. Left and right invariant tensor fields on Lie groups. Note that if a Lie group $G$ acts on a manifold $X$, then it automatically acts on the tangent bundle $T X$ and thus on vector and, more generally, tensor fields on $X$. In particular, $G$ acts on tensor fields on itself by left and right translations; we will denote this action by $L_{g}$ and $R_{g}$, respectively. We say that a tensor field $T$ on $G$ is left invariant if $L_{g} T=T$ for all $g \in G$, and right invariant if $R_{g} T=T$ for all $g \in G$.

Proposition 5.10. (i) For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{* \otimes m}$ there exists a unique left invariant tensor field $\mathbf{L}_{\tau}$ and a unique right invariant tensor field
$\mathbf{R}_{\tau}$ whose value at 1 is $\tau$. Thus, the spaces of such tensor fields are naturally isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{* \otimes m}$.
(ii) $\mathbf{L}_{\tau}$ is also right invariant iff $\mathbf{R}_{\tau}$ is also left invariant iff $\tau$ is invariant under the adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad}_{g}$.
Proof. We only prove (i). Consider the tensor fields $\mathbf{L}_{\tau}(g):=L_{g} \tau, \mathbf{R}_{\tau}(g):=$ $R_{g^{-1}} \tau$ (i.e., we "spread" $\tau$ from $1 \in G$ to other points $g \in G$ by left/right translations). By construction, $R_{g^{-1}} \tau$ is right invariant, while $L_{g} \tau$ is left invariant, both with value $\tau$ at 1 , and it is clear that these are unique.

Exercise 5.11. Prove Proposition 5.10(ii).
Corollary 5.12. A Lie group is parallelizable.
Proof. Given a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}=T_{1} G$, the vector fields $L_{g} e_{1}, \ldots, L_{g} e_{n}$ form a frame.

Remark 5.13. In particular, $S^{1}$ and $S U(2)=S^{3}$ are parallelizable. It turns out that $S^{n}$ for $n \geq 1$ is parallelizable if and only if $n=1,3,7$ (a deep theorem in differential topology). So spheres of other dimensions don't admit a Lie group structure. The sphere $S^{7}$ does not admit one either, although it admits a weaker structure of a "homotopy Lie group", or $H$-space (arising from octonions) which suffices for parallelizability. Thus the only spheres admitting a Lie group structure are $S^{0}=\{1,-1\}, S^{1}$ and $S^{3}$. This result is fairly elementary and will be proved in Section 46.
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