## 22. Properties of the Weyl group

22.1. Weyl chambers. Suppose we have two polarizations of a root system R defined by  $t, t' \in E$ , and  $\Pi, \Pi'$  are the corresponding systems of simple roots. Are  $\Pi, \Pi'$  equivalent in a suitable sense? The answer turns out to be yes. To show this, we will need the notion of a Weyl chamber.

Note that the polarization defined by t depends only on the signs of  $(t, \alpha)$ , so does not change when t is continuously deformed without crossing the hyperplanes  $(t, \alpha) = 0$ . This motivates the following definition:

**Definition 22.1.** A Weyl chamber is a connected component of the complement of the root hyperplanes  $L_{\alpha}$  given by the equations  $(\alpha, x) = 0$  in E ( $\alpha \in R$ ).

Thus a Weyl chamber is defined by a system of strict homogeneous linear inequalities  $\pm(\alpha, x) = 0$ ,  $\alpha \in R$ . More precisely, the set of solutions of such a system is either empty or a Weyl chamber.

Thus the polarization defined by t depends only on the Weyl chamber containing t.

The following lemma is geometrically obvious.

**Lemma 22.2.** (i) The closure  $\overline{C}$  of a Weyl chamber C is a convex cone.

(ii) The boundary of  $\overline{C}$  is a union of codimension 1 faces  $F_i$  which are convex cones inside one of the root hyperplanes defined inside it by a system of non-strict homogeneous linear inequalities.

The root hyperplanes containing the faces  $F_i$  are called the **walls** of C.

We have seen above that every Weyl chamber defines a polarization of R. Conversely, every polarization defines the corresponding **positive Weyl chamber**  $C_+$  defined by the conditions  $(\alpha, x) > 0$  for  $\alpha \in R_+$ (this set is nonempty since it contains t, hence is a Weyl chamber). Thus  $C_+$  is the set of vectors of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \omega_i$  with  $c_i > 0$ . So  $C_+$ has r faces  $L_{\alpha_1} \cap \overline{C}_+, ..., L_{\alpha_r} \cap \overline{C}_+$ .

**Lemma 22.3.** These assignments are mutually inverse bijections between polarizations of R and Weyl chambers.

Exercise 22.4. Prove Lemma 22.3.

Since the Weyl group W permutes the roots, it acts on the set of Weyl chambers.

**Theorem 22.5.** W acts transitively on the set of Weyl chambers.

Proof. Let us say that Weyl chambers C, C' are **adjacent** if they share a common face  $F \subset L_{\alpha}$ . In this case it is easy to see that  $s_{\alpha}(C) = C'$ . Now given any Weyl chambers C, C', pick generic  $t \in C, t' \in C'$  and connect them with a straight segment. This will define a sequence of Weyl chambers visited by this segment:  $C_0 = C, C_1, ..., C_m = C'$ , and  $C_i, C_{i+1}$  are adjacent for each *i*. So  $C_i, C_{i+1}$  lie in the same *W*-orbit. Hence so do C, C'.

Corollary 22.6. Every Weyl chamber has r walls.

*Proof.* This follows since it is true for the positive Weyl chamber and by Theorem 22.5 the Weyl group acts transitively on the Weyl chambers.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 22.7.** Any two polarizations of R are related by the action of an element  $w \in W$ . Thus if  $\Pi, \Pi'$  are systems of simple roots corresponding to two polarizations then there is  $w \in W$  such that  $w(\Pi) = \Pi'$ .

22.2. Simple reflections. Given a polarization of R and the corresponding system of simple roots  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r\}$ , the simple reflections are the reflections  $s_{\alpha_i}$ , denoted by  $s_i$ .

**Lemma 22.8.** For every Weyl chamber C there exist  $i_1, ..., i_m$  such that  $C = s_{i_1}...s_{i_m}(C_+)$ .

Proof. Pick  $t \in C, t_+ \in C_+$  generically and connect them with a straight segment as before. Let m be the number of chamber walls crossed by this segment. The proof is by induction in m (with obvious base). Let C' be the chamber entered by our segment from C and  $L_{\alpha}$  the wall separating C, C', so that  $C = s_{\alpha}(C')$ . By the induction assumption  $C' = u(C_+)$ , where  $u = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{m-1}}$ . So  $L_{\alpha} = u(L_{\alpha_j})$  for some j. Thus  $s_{\alpha} = us_j u^{-1}$ . Hence  $C = s_{\alpha}(C') = s_{\alpha}u(C_+) = us_j(C_+)$ , so we get the result with  $i_m = j$ .

**Corollary 22.9.** (i) The simple reflections  $s_i$  generate W; (ii)  $W(\Pi) = R$ .

*Proof.* (i) This follows since for any root  $\alpha$ , the hyperplane  $L_{\alpha}$  is a wall of some Weyl chamber, so  $s_{\alpha}$  is a product of  $s_i$ .

(ii) Follows from (i).

Thus R can be reconstructed from  $\Pi$  as  $W(\Pi)$ , where W is the subgroup of O(E) generated by  $s_i$ .

**Example 22.10.** For root system  $A_{n-1}$  part (i) says that any element of  $S_n$  is a product of transpositions of neighbors.

22.3. Length of an element of the Weyl group. Let us say that a root hyperplane  $L_{\alpha}$  separates two Weyl chambers C, C' if they lie on different sides of  $L_{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 22.11.** The length  $\ell(w)$  of  $w \in W$  is the number of root hyperplanes separating  $C_+$  and  $w(C_+)$ .

We have  $t \in C_+, w(t) \in w(C_+)$ , so  $\ell(w)$  is the number of roots  $\alpha$ such that  $(t, \alpha) > 0$  but  $(w(t), \alpha) = (t, w^{-1}\alpha) < 0$ . Note that if  $\alpha$  is a root satisfying this condition then  $\beta = -w^{-1}\alpha$  satisfies the conditions  $(t, \beta) > 0, (t, w\beta) < 0$ . Thus  $\ell(w) = \ell(w^{-1})$  and  $\ell(w)$  is the number of positive roots which are mapped by w to negative roots. Note also that the notion of length depends on the polarization of R (as it refers to the positive chamber  $C_+$  defined using the polarization).

**Example 22.12.** Let  $s_i$  be a simple reflection. Then  $s_i(C_+)$  is adjacent to  $C_+$ , with the only separating hyperplane being  $L_{\alpha_i}$ . Thus  $\ell(s_i) = 1$ . It follows that the only positive root mapped by  $s_i$  to a negative root is  $\alpha_i$  (namely,  $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$ ), and thus  $s_i$  permutes  $R_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ .

**Proposition 22.13.** Let  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha$ . Then  $(\rho, \alpha_i^{\vee}) = 1$  for all *i*. Thus  $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^r \omega_i$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha \in R_+, \alpha \neq \alpha_i} \alpha$ . Since  $s_i$  permutes  $R_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ , we get  $s_i \rho = \rho - \alpha_i$ . But for any  $\lambda$ ,  $s_i \lambda = \lambda - (\lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee})\alpha_i$ . This implies the statement.

The weight  $\rho$  plays an important role in representation theory of semisimple Lie algebras. For instance, it occurs in the Weyl character formula for these representations which we will soon derive.

**Theorem 22.14.** Let  $w = s_{i_1}...s_{i_l}$  be a representation of  $w \in W$  as a product of simple reflections that has minimal possible length. Then  $l = \ell(w)$ .

Proof. As before, define a chain of Weyl chambers  $C_k = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}(C_+)$ , so that  $C_0 = C_+$  and  $C_l = w(C_+)$ . We have seen that  $C_k$  and  $C_{k-1}$  are adjacent. So there is a zigzag path from  $C_+$  to  $w(C_+)$  that intersects at most l root hyperplanes (namely, the segment from  $C_{k-1}$  to  $C_k$ intersects only one hyperplane). Thus  $\ell(w) \leq l$ . On the other hand, pick generic points in  $C_+$  and  $w(C_+)$  and connect them with a straight segment. This segment intersects every separating root hyperplane exactly once and does not intersect other root hyperplanes, so produces an expression of w as a product of  $\ell(w)$  simple reflections. This implies the statement.  $\Box$ 

An expression  $w = s_{i_1}...s_{i_l}$  is called **reduced** if  $l = \ell(w)$ .

**Proposition 22.15.** The Weyl group W acts simply transitively on Weyl chambers.

*Proof.* By Theorem 22.5 the action is transitive, so we just have to show that if  $w(C_+) = C_+$  then w = 1. But in this case  $\ell(w) = 0$ , so w has to be a product of zero simple reflections, i.e., indeed w = 1.

Thus we see that  $\overline{C}_+$  is a *fundamental domain* of the action of W on E.

Moreover, we have

**Proposition 22.16.**  $E/W = \overline{C}_+$ , *i.e.*, every *W*-orbit on *E* has a unique representative in  $\overline{C}_+$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C}_+$  and  $\lambda = w\mu$ , where  $w \in W$  is shortest possible. Assume the contrary, that  $w \neq 1$ . Pick a reduced decomposition  $w = s_{i_l} \dots s_{i_1}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the positive root which is mapped to a negative root by w but not by  $s_{i_l}w$ , i.e.,  $\gamma = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{l-1}}\alpha_{i_l}$ . Then  $0 \leq (\mu, \gamma) = (\lambda, w\gamma) \leq 0$ . so  $(\mu, \gamma) = 0$ . Thus

$$\lambda = w\mu = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_1}\mu = s_{i_{l-1}} \dots s_{i_1} s_{\gamma}\mu = s_{i_{l-1}} \dots s_{i_1}\mu$$

which is a contradiction since w was the shortest possible.

**Corollary 22.17.** Let  $C_{-} = -C_{+}$  be the negative Weyl chamber. Then there exists a unique  $w_0 \in W$  such that  $w_0(C_{+}) = C_{-}$ . We have  $\ell(w_0) = |R_{+}|$  and for any  $w \neq w_0$ ,  $\ell(w) < \ell(w_0)$ . Also  $w_0^2 = 1$ .

Exercise 22.18. Prove Corollary 22.17.

The element  $w_0$  is therefore called the **longest element** of W.

**Example 22.19.** For the root system  $A_{n-1}$  the element  $w_0$  is the order reversing involution:  $w_0(1, 2, ..., n) = (n, ..., 2, 1)$ .

18.745 Lie Groups and Lie Algebras I Fall 2020

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.