

5. Admissible representations and (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules

5.1. Admissible representations. Now let G be a Lie group and $K \subset G$ a compact subgroup. For a continuous representation V of G , denote by $V^{K\text{-fin}}$ the space $(V|_K)^{\text{fin}}$. In general $V^{K\text{-fin}}$ is not contained in V^∞ ; for example, if $K = 1$ then $V^{K\text{-fin}} = V$. However, this inclusion holds if K is sufficiently large and V is sufficiently small.

Definition 5.1. V is said to be K -admissible (or of finite K -type) if for every finite-dimensional irreducible representation ρ of K , the space $\text{Hom}_K(\rho, V)$ is finite-dimensional.

Example 5.2. Let G be a connected Lie group and $V = L^2(G/B)$ where B is a closed subgroup of G (half-densities on G/B). Then V is K -admissible iff K acts transitively on G/B , i.e., $KB = G$. In this case setting $T = K \cap B$, we have $G/B = K/T$, so $V = L^2(K/T)$ and $\text{Hom}_K(\rho, V) \cong (\rho^T)^*$.¹¹

Example 5.3. For $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $K = SU(2)$, the unitary representation of G on the space $V = L^2(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ of square-integrable half-densities on \mathbb{CP}^1 is K -admissible. Indeed, taking ρ_n to be the representation of $SU(2)$ with highest weight n , we have $\dim \text{Hom}(\rho_n, V) = 0$ for odd n and 1 for even n .

More generally, for a real number s we may consider the representation V_s of square integrable $\frac{1}{2} + is$ -densities on \mathbb{CP}^1 ; this space is canonically defined since for a $\frac{1}{2} + is$ -density f , the complex conjugate \bar{f} is a $\frac{1}{2} - is$ -density, so $|f|^2 = f\bar{f}$ is a density and can be integrated canonically over \mathbb{CP}^1 . This representation has the same K -multiplicities as $V = V_0$.

Similarly, for $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $K = SO(2)$, we have a unitary K -admissible representation $V = L^2(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ (half-densities) and more generally V_s ($\frac{1}{2} + is$ -densities). For the K -multiplicities we have equalities $\dim \text{Hom}(\chi_n, V_s) = 1$ for odd n and 0 for even n , where $\chi_n(\theta) = e^{in\theta}$.

We will see that the representations V_s in both cases are irreducible and V_s, V_t are isomorphic iff $s = \pm t$. The family of representations V_s is called the **unitary spherical principal series**.

¹¹Note that here we don't have to distinguish between half-densities and functions on K/T since K/T always has a K -invariant volume form as K is compact.

Note that this family makes sense also when s is a complex number which is not necessarily real. In this case V_s is not necessarily unitary but still a continuous representation on square integrable $\frac{1}{2} + is$ -densities. The space of such densities is canonically defined as a topological vector space, although its Hilbert norm is not canonically defined unless s is real (however, we will see that for some non-real s , corresponding to so-called **complementary series**, this representation is still unitary, even though the inner product is not given by the standard formula). The family V_s with arbitrary complex s is called the **spherical principal series**.

Explicitly, the action of G on V_s looks as follows (realizing elements of V_s as functions on \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , removing the point at infinity):

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} f \right) (z) = f \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) |cz + d|^{-m(1+2is)},$$

where $m = 1$ in the real case and $m = 2$ in the complex case.

Proposition 5.4. *If V is K -admissible then $V^{K\text{-fin}} \subset V^\infty$, and it is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule (although not in general a G -submodule).*

Proof. For a finite-dimensional irreducible representation ρ of K , let $V^\rho := \text{Hom}(\rho, V) \otimes \rho$ be the isotypic component of ρ .

We claim that for any continuous representation V the space $V^\infty \cap V^\rho$ is dense in V^ρ . Indeed, let $\psi_\rho \in L^2(K)^{\text{fin}}$ be the character of ρ given by

$$\psi_\rho = \sum_i \psi_{\rho ii}.$$

Let ξ_ρ be the pushforward of $\psi_\rho dx$ from K to G (a measure on G supported on K). Then $\pi(\xi_\rho)$ is the projector to V^ρ annihilating $\overline{\bigoplus_{\eta \neq \rho} V^\eta}$. Let $\phi_n \rightarrow \delta_1$ be a smooth Dirac sequence on G . Then for $v \in V^\rho$,

$$\pi(\xi_\rho * \phi_n)v = \pi(\xi_\rho)\pi(\phi_n)v \rightarrow \pi(\xi_\rho)v = v$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However, $\xi_\rho * \phi_n$ is smooth, so $\pi(\xi_\rho * \phi_n)v \in V^\infty \cap V^\rho$.

Thus if V^ρ is finite-dimensional (which happens for K -admissible V) then $V^\infty \cap V^\rho = V^\rho$, so $V^\rho \subset V^\infty$. Hence $V^{K\text{-fin}} \subset V^\infty$.

Finally, it is clear that for $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in V^\rho$, the vector bv generates a K -submodule of a multiple of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \rho$, so $bv \in V^{K\text{-fin}}$. It follows that $V^{K\text{-fin}}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule. \square

Example 5.5. If $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $K = SO(2)$, $V = V_s = L^2(S^1)$ is a spherical principal series representation, then $V^{K\text{-fin}}$ is the space of trigonometric polynomials. Note that this space is *not* invariant under

the action of G . However, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ does act on this space.

Exercise 5.6. Compute this Lie algebra action in the basis $v_n = e^{in\theta}$ and write it as first order differential operators in the angle θ . (Pick generators e, h, f in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ so that h acts diagonally in the basis v_i).

5.2. **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-modules.** This motivates the following definition. Let K be a compact connected Lie group and $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}K$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional real Lie algebra containing \mathfrak{k} , and suppose the adjoint action of \mathfrak{k} on \mathfrak{g} integrates to an action of K . In this case we say that (\mathfrak{g}, K) is a **Harish-Chandra pair**.

Definition 5.7. Let (\mathfrak{g}, K) be a Harish-Chandra pair.

(i) A **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-module** is a vector space M with actions of K and \mathfrak{g} such that

- M is a direct sum of finite-dimensional continuous K -modules;
- the two actions of \mathfrak{k} on M (coming from the actions of \mathfrak{g} and K) coincide.

(ii) Such a module is said to be **admissible** if for every $\rho \in \text{Irr}K$ we have $\dim \text{Hom}_K(\rho, M) < \infty$.

(iii) An admissible **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-module** which is finitely generated over $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is called a **Harish-Chandra module**.

Exercise 5.8. Show that if M is a **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-module** then for every $g \in K, a \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in M$ we have

$$gav = \text{Ad}(g)(a)gv,$$

where Ad denotes the K -action on \mathfrak{g} .

In fact, a **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-module** is a purely algebraic object, since finite-dimensional K -modules can be described as algebraic representations of the complex reductive group $K_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, we can represent them even more algebraically in terms of the action of \mathfrak{k} . Namely, let us say that a finite-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{k} is **integrable** to K if it corresponds to a representation of K (note that this is automatic if K is simply connected). Then **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-modules** are simply \mathfrak{g} -modules which are locally integrable to K when restricted to \mathfrak{k} (i.e., sum of integrable modules). So if K is simply connected (in which case \mathfrak{k} is semisimple) then a **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-module** is the same thing as a \mathfrak{g} -module which is locally finite when restricted to \mathfrak{k} (i.e., sum of finite-dimensional modules).

Thus **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-modules** form an abelian category closed under extensions (and this category can be defined over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero). The same applies to admissible **(\mathfrak{g}, K)-modules** and to Harish-Chandra modules (the latter is closed under

taking kernels of morphisms because the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is Noetherian, as so is its associated graded $S\mathfrak{g}$ by the Hilbert basis theorem).

Example 5.9. Let G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group. Then its maximal compact subgroup is the compact form $K = G_c$. Thus a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module is a \mathfrak{g} -module M which is locally finite for $\mathfrak{g}_c \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_c = \text{Lie}G_c$. Note that the action of \mathfrak{g} here is only **real linear**. Thus we may pass to complexifications: $(\mathfrak{g}_c)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, and \mathfrak{g} sits inside $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ as the diagonal. Thus a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module is simply a $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ -module which is locally finite for the diagonal copy of \mathfrak{g} . This is the same as a \mathfrak{g} -bimodule¹² with locally finite adjoint action

$$\text{ad}(b)m := [b, m] = bm - mb.$$

For example, if I is any two-sided ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module.

Thus we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.10. *If V is a K -admissible continuous representation of G then $V^{K\text{-fin}}$ is an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module.*

Exercise 5.11. Show that for any continuous representation V of G , the intersection $V^{\infty} \cap V^{K\text{-fin}}$ is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module (not necessarily admissible).

Exercise 5.12. Show that if V is an admissible representation of G and L a finite-dimensional (continuous) representation of G then $V \otimes L$ is also admissible. Prove the same statement for (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules.

5.3. Harish-Chandra's admissibility theorem. We will now restrict our attention to **semisimple** Lie groups G . By this we will mean a connected linear real Lie group G with semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . "Linear" means that it has a faithful finite-dimensional representation, i.e., is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. In other words, G is the connected component of the identity in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$, where \mathbf{G} is a semisimple algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} . Typical examples of such groups include $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ (in the latter case $\mathbf{G} = SL_n \times SL_n$ and the real structure defined by the involution permuting the two factors).

A fundamental result about the structure of semisimple Lie groups is

Theorem 5.13. *(E. Cartan) Every semisimple Lie group G has a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$ which is unique up to conjugation.*

¹²Indeed, every $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ -module M with action $(a, b, v) \mapsto (a, b) \circ v$, $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in M$ is a \mathfrak{g} -bimodule with $av = (a, 0) \circ v$ and $vb = (0, -b) \circ v$, and vice versa.

Example 5.14. For $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ we have $K = SO(n)$ and for $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have $G = SU(n)$.

We will say that a continuous representation V of G is **admissible** if it is K -admissible with respect to a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$ (does not matter which since they are all conjugate).

Theorem 5.15. (*Harish-Chandra's admissibility theorem*, [HC2]) *Every irreducible unitary representation of a semisimple Lie group is admissible.*

We will not give a proof (see [HC2],[Ga]).

Remark 5.16. 1. This theorem extends straightforwardly to the more general case of real reductive Lie groups.

2. Let $G = \widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the universal covering of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then G is not linear (why?) and so it is **not** viewed as a semisimple Lie group according to our definition. In fact, Harish-Chandra's theorem does not hold as stated for this group, since it has no nontrivial compact subgroups. This happens because when we take the universal cover, the maximal compact subgroup $SO(2) = S^1$ gets replaced by the non-compact group \mathbb{R} . However, if we take for K the universal cover of $SO(2)$ (even though it is not compact) then Harish-Chandra's theorem extends straightforwardly to this case.

Exercise 5.17. Let M be an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module and

$$M^\vee := \bigoplus_{V \in \text{Irr}K} (\text{Hom}(V, M) \otimes V)^* \subset M^*$$

be the restricted dual to M . Show that M^\vee has a natural structure of an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module, and $(M^\vee)^\vee \cong M$.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.757 Representations of Lie Groups

Fall 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.